John R. Ashcroft Administrative Rules Stamp Secretary of State RECEIVED Administrative Rules Division **RULE TRANSMITTAL** APR 1 2 2018 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Rule Number 4 CSR 240-31.011 20py Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking. Name of person to call with questions about this rule: Content Morris Woodruff Phone <u>573-751-2849</u> FAX <u>573-526-6010</u> Email address morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010 Email address Christine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.gov

Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO

TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BETAKEN

Emergency rulemaking, include effective date

☑Proposed Rulemaking

Withdrawal Rule Action Notice In Addition Rule Under Consideration

Request for Non-Substantive Change

Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking

Effective Date for the Order

□Statutory 30 days OR Specific date \_\_\_\_

Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text?  $\Box$ NO

□YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:



vern	Stamp
JOINTCOM	MMITTEE ON
APR 1	L 2 2019
ADMINISTR	ATIVE RULE



Commissioners DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

RYAN A. SILVEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

> MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

LOYD WILSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

April 12, 2018

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-31.011 Missouri USF Administration

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

## CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo, that the proposed rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rule conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rule complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: section 392.200.2, RSMo Supp. 2013, and sections 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rule, please contact:

Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 Morris.Woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Marrie J. Wooduf

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures



GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

February 7, 2018

Daniel Hall Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

ERIC R. GREITENS

Governor

This office has received your rulemaking relating to organization, powers, and meetings of the board (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.020; the MoUSFA (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.030; eligibility for funding – high cost areas (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.040; the MoUSF assessment (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.060; disbursement of MoUSF funds (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.090; review procedures for support payments (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.100; review of board and MoUSFA activities (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.110; lifeline program and disabled program (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.120; eligible telecommunications carrier requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.130; definitions (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.010; Missouri USF administration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.011; Missouri USF assessment (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.012; Missouri USF high cost support (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.013; lifeline and disabled programs (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.014; ETC requirements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.015; and ETC application requirements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.016.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, rescind regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review, we approve the submission of these rule rescissions and proposed rules to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel

### AFFIDAVIT

### PUBLIC COST

### STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) COUNTY OF COLE )

I, Rob Dixon, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule 4 CSR 240-31.011, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Rob Dixon

Director Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of 15th day of 15t



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

Notary Public

# RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE **ADMINISTRATIVE RULES** 

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF** ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT **Division 240—Public Service Commission** Chapter 31—Universal Service

#### PROPOSED RULE

### 4 CSR 240-31.011 Missouri USF Administration.

PURPOSE: This proposed rule explains how the Missouri USF is supervised and maintained.

(1) The board supervises the management of the Missouri USF. Notice of board meetings will be provided in accordance with Section 610.020, RSMo. The commission's website contains board ByLaws, prior board meeting minutes and a sign-up procedure for obtaining advance electronic notification of board meetings.

(2) The Missouri USF administrator is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the Missouri USF. Any action taken or decision issued by the Missouri USF administrator may be reviewed by the board if made in writing to the Missouri USF administrator within thirty (30) days of the date of action. Decisions regarding an appeal will be made by the board or as delegated by the board to its staff.

AUTHORITY: section 392.200.2, RSMo Supp. 2013, and sections 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000 (Original authority: 392.200, RSMo 1939, amended 1987, 1988, 1996; 392.248, RSMo 1996; and 392.470.1, RSMo 1987) Original rule filed Aug. 15, 1997, effective April 30, 1998. Amended: Filed June 30, 2005, effective Feb. 28, 2006. Amended: Filed Aug. 7, 2013, effective April 30, 2014.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, 200 Madison Street. P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City MO 65102-0360. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before July 2, 2018, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2018-0120. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for July 9, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services JOINT COMMITTEE ON Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

APR 1 2 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

# Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: November 17, 2017

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-31.011

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573-526-5631 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Proposed rule simplifies and streamlines rules, which will reduce any impact on small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Feedback was solicited in Case No. TW-2017-0078 and a workshop.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

The proposed rule applies to companies designated as telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers. No company will be adversely affected by the proposed rule.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

Ŧ

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Companies designated as telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_X\_\_

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.