NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW MONROE BLUFF EXECUTIVE CENTER 601 MONROE STREET, SUITE 301 P.O. BOX 537 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0537

February 13, 2001

TELEPHONE: (573) 634-2266 FACSIMILE: (573) 636-3306

FILED³ FEB 1 3 2001

The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Missouri Public Service Commission

Re: Case No. GO-2000-394

Dear Judge Roberts:

ROBERT K. ANGSTEAD

CATHLEEN A. MARTIN

STEPHEN G. NEWMAN

D. GREGORY STONEBARGER

ALICIA EMBLEY TURNER

MARK W. COMLEY

JOHN A. RUTH

Enclosed for filing please find the original and eight copies of a Response to Proposed Tariff Modifications.

Would you please see that this filing is brought to the attention of the appropriate Commission personnel.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH P.C.

By:

MWC:ab Enclosure

cc:

Hon. Vicky Ruth

Doug Micheel, Office of Public Counsel

Thomas R. Schwarz, General Counsel's Office

Michael C. Pendergast

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED
~ 0 1 9 ^
Service Commission

In the matter Laclede Gas Company's)	
Experimental Price Stabilization Fund.)	Case No. GO-2000-394

RESPONSE TO PROPOSED TARIFF MODIFICATIONS

COMES NOW Laclede Gas Company ("Laclede" or "Company") and for its

Response to the proposed tariff modifications submitted by the Staff of the Missouri

Public Service Commission ("Staff") and the Office of the Public Counsel ("Counsel") in
the above-captioned case, states as follows:

- 1. On or about February 5, 2001, Public Counsel and Staff submitted proposed modifications to the tariff provisions which govern the Company's Price Stabilization Program ("PSP").
- 2. Laclede does not believe it would be useful or appropriate to provide an extended critique of the submissions made by Staff and Public Counsel. Indeed, absent the opportunity to cross-examine Staff and Public Counsel regarding the effect and implications of their tariff proposals and to submit rebuttal evidence, Laclede does not believe that it can fully and effectively respond to such submissions. Suffice it to say that both proposals would effectively eliminate the PSP and replace it with an entirely new set of rules to govern the Company's hedging activities rules that Laclede believes would be counterproductive to any effective hedging efforts and, in certain respects, completely unworkable. Such a wholesale and unsupported evisceration of the PSP would also be flatly inconsistent with the terms of the PSP and the Company's tariffs, which specifically contemplate that the PSP may only be "revised," with such revisions being made only



where necessary to correct "major deficiencies" in the program. Neither tariff proposal can be fairly characterized as a "revision" to the PSP and neither has been supported as necessary to "correct a major deficiency." The Commission should accordingly find that such proposed tariff modifications are impermissible.

Wherefore, for the foregoing reasons, Laclede respectfully requests that the Commission find that the proposed tariff modifications submitted by the Staff and Public Counsel on or about February 5, 2001 in the above-referenced case are impermissible under the terms of the Company's PSP and existing tariffs.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael C. Pendergast #31763

at b. AAC

Laclede Gas Company

Assistant Vice President and

Associate General Counsel

Laclede Gas Company

720 Olive Street, Room 1520

St. Louis, MO 63101

(314) 342-0532 Phone

(314) 421-1979 Fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing Response to Proposed Tariff Modification has been duly served upon the General Counsel of the Staff of the Public Service Commission, Office of the Public Counsel and all parties of record to this proceeding by placing a copy thereof in the United States mail, postage prepaid, or by hand delivery, on this 13th day of February, 2001

Mark W. Comley