Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
http://www.psc.state.mo.us

April 15, 2002

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. TO-2002-423

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a **STAFF RECOMMENDATION.**

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

David A. Meyer
Associate General Counsel
(573) 751-8706
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
dmeyer@mail.state.mo.us

DAM:ccl
Enclosure
cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In re the Master Interconnection and Resale Agreement Between Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a Sprint, and Jilapuhn, Inc. d/b/a VI-Telco	))))	Case No. TO-2002-423

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation respectfully states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Master Resale Agreement for the State of Missouri between Jilapuhn, Inc. d/b/a VI-Telco and Sprint Missouri, Inc. ("Interconnection Agreement") filed by Sprint, Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

2. Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint has authority to provide telephone service to the public in Missouri, which the Commission restated most recently in Case No. TA-88-87 (granting United Telephone Company of Missouri's application for a restatement of its certificate of public convenience and authority) United Telephone Company of Missouri has since become Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint. Jilapuhn, Inc. d/b/a VI-Telco does not hold a Missouri Certificate of Service Authority, and has no pending application before the Commission.

3. The terms of the Interconnection Agreement do not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Interconnection Agreement and are not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e), the Commission is to

approve a negotiated interconnection agreement unless the terms of the agreement discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or implementation of the agreement or any portion thereof is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

WHEREFORE, because the terms of this agreement satisfy the standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. §252(e), in that they do not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Interconnection Agreement and are not against the public interest, convenience and necessity, the Staff recommends the Commission grant approval of the Interconnection Agreement, and that the Commission direct the parties to the agreement to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Interconnection Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

David A. Meyer
Associate General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 46620

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8706 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
dmeyer@mail.state.mo.us

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 15th day of April, 2002.

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No.: **TO-2002-423**

Parties: **Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint; and Jilapuhn, Inc. d/b/a VI-Telco**

From: Phil Garcia
Telecommunications Department

Rick Voight 04/12/02
Utility Operations Division/Date

Wm K Haas 04/15/02
General Counsel's Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Master Interconnection and Resale Agreement

Date: April 11, 2002

Date Filed: March 08, 2002

Staff Deadline: April 29, 2002

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

☒ Resale Agreement

☒ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement

☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? ☐ Yes ☒ No

From	Initials	Date	Revised
Phil Garcia	pg	April 11, 2002	
Wm. Voight			
Mr. VanEschen			
Legal			

SR

04-12-02 10:13 RCVD

APPENDIX A

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

☒ No applications to intervene filed (Intervention Deadline 04-09-2002).

☒ Terms and Rates in Agreement are similar to those contained in previously-approved Agreements; e.g: Case TO-2001-192: Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint; and Pathnet, Inc.

☒ Agreement signed by both Parties.

☐ Both Parties have Basic Local certificates.
(Jilapuhn, Inc. d/b/a VI-Telco does not have any Missouri certificate nor any application with the Missouri PSC)

Attachment Notes:

none

Service List for
Case No. TO-2002-423
Verified: April 15, 2002 (ccl)

Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Lisa Creighton Hendricks
Sprint - Legal and External Affairs
6450 Sprit Parkway
MS: KSOPHN0212-2A253
Overland Park, Kansas 66251