STATE OF MISSOURI 1 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 5 Prehearing 6 7 June 8, 2004 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 1 9 10 In the Matter of the Joint) Application of Missouri-American) Water Company and Cedar Hill 11) Utility Company, Inc., for)Case No. SM-2004-0275 Authority for Missouri-American) Utility Company, Inc., for 12 Water Company to Acquire Certain) Assets of Cedar Hill Utility 13) Company, Inc., and in Connection) Therewith, Certain Other Related 14) Transactions) 15 16 MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR Midwest Litigation Services 21 714 W. High Street 22 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 636-7551 23 24 25

1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission: 3 Mr. Cliff Snodgrass Missouri Public Service Commission 4 P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street 5 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-3966 6 7 For Office of Public Counsel: 8 Ms. Ruth O'Neill Office of Public Counsel 9 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 10 (573) 751-1304 11 For Missouri American Water Company: 12 Ms. Meredith Perkins 13 Association Corporate Counsel 535 N. New Ballas Road St. Louis, MO 64141 14 (314) 996-2352 15 For Cedar Hill Utility Company: 16 17 Mr. Mark P. Johnson Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal 18 4520 Main, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 (816) 460-2424 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Good
3	afternoon, everyone. We're here for a
4	prehearing conference in Case No.
5	SM-2004-0275, which concerns the application of
6	Missouri American Water Company and Cedar Hill
7	Utility Company for authority for Missouri
8	American to acquire the assets of Cedar Hill.
9	And we'll begin by taking entries of appearance
10	begin withing Staff.
11	MR. SNODGRASS: Yes. Good afternoon,
12	Judge. My name is Cliff Snodgrass. I
13	represent the Staff of the Missouri Public
14	Service Commission today. My mailing address
15	is P.O. Box 360 here in Jefferson City Missouri
16	65102.
17	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And for
18	Public Counsel?
19	MS. O'NEILL: Yes. Good afternoon.
20	Ruth O'Neill for the Office of the Public
21	Counsel. Our mail address is P.O. Box 2230,
22	Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
23	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And for
24	Missouri American?
25	MS. PERKINS: Good afternoon. This

1 is Meredith Perkins. I represent Missouri 2 American Water Company. My address is 535 North New Ballas Road, St. Louis, Missouri 3 63141. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Andjust so the 5 6 record is clear, Ms. Perkins is appearing today 7 by telephone. And for Cedar Hill Utility 8 Company? 9 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor. 10 Mark Johnson of the law firm of Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100, 11 Kansas City, Missouri, 64111, appearing on 12 behalf of Cedar Hill Utility Company. 13 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And Mr. 15 Johnson is also on the telephone. All right. Well, the reason I asked for this conference is 16 that there is a -- an issue in dispute in this 17 case concerning the recovery of the acquisition 18 19 premium resulting from the sale of the company. And I want to ask you today, first of all, is 20 there a need for the hearing? Or can the 21 22 parties give me a stipulation of facts and we 23 can decide this on the briefs? In other words, 24 are there any factual issues in dispute, or is 25 this just a legal dispute? Let me ask Staff

1 first.

2	MR. SNODGRASS: Well, I think that
3	our initial position in this case is that we're
4	not willing to defer the issue of the
5	acquisition premium to a later date until we
6	have some further discussions with the company.
7	And I think that's going to go forward perhaps
8	after after this meeting here with you
9	today, Judge, or prior to the end of the day.
10	That was sort of my understanding.
11	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
12	MR. SNODGRASS: The second issue that
13	Staff is concerned with in this case is while
14	we may not disagree with the company's position
15	that the ag. processing case can be
16	distinguished from the facts at hand in this
17	case, Staff's concern that agreeing with that
18	particular position may in some way be viewed
19	as a staff agreeing with the proposition that
20	only the moving party can determine the issues
21	by their pleadings or their application.
22	Staff's concerned that they might be hampered
23	in some way by raising any issues that it might
24	choose if it goes along with this idea in this
25	particular case. That would be Staff's

1 position at this point in time.

2	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. These sound
3	- like they're pretty much legal issues rather
-	
4	than any sort of factual dispute.
5	MR. SNODGRAS: It does it does
6	sound that way, your Honor, yes.
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Let me ask
8	you, Ms. Perkins, for Missouri American, what's
9	your take on that? Are there any factual
10	issues in dispute here?
11	MS. PERKINS: I believe they probably
12	are legal issues and there are no factual
13	issues. That's correct.
14	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And same for
15	you, Mr. Johnson?
16	MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I would agree.
17	I don't believe there's any dispute as to what
18	the amount of the premium would be, just how it
19	should be treated.
20	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And Public
21	Counsel?
22	MS. O'NEILL: Your Honor, I I tend
23	to agree. I think that the issue here is a
24	legal one. My office also is opposed to any
25	recovery acquisition premium, and we believe

1 that it would be appropriate for the Commission 2 to rule on that at this time. But I don't think it's a factual issue. I think it's just 3 a question of interpretation of the law. 4 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Well, then, 6 perhaps we won't actually need to have an evidentiary hearing. I would need -- if we try 7 8 and decide this solely on legal issues, I'm 9 going to need a factual background -- some sort 10 of stipulation of facts from the parties. I 11 know we have been reversed in the past By court of Appeals, when we try and just issue an order 12 with legal issues only, and they say, Well, 13 14 what are the facts? MR. SNODGRASS: I understand, Judge. 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If we don't have a 17 stipulation, I don't have any facts to tell the 18 Court. So what I'm going to do is ask you to 19 file a -- either a -- a report indicating what you can do or as a -- or a proposed procedural 20 schedule by one week from today, which would be 21 22 June 15th. At that time, either tell me that 23 you're going to give me a stipulation of facts 24 and tell me when or give me a procedural 25 schedule leading to a hearing. Anything else

1 that anyone wants to bring up while we're on 2 the record? 3 MR. JOHNSON: Judge, this is Mark 4 Johnson. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, Mr. Johnson. 6 MR. JOHNSON: If the parties are able to enter into a stipulation of facts, would you 7 8 also want us to propose a briefing schedule? 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. 10 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. MS. PERKINS: I just had a question, 11 this is Meredith Perkins, as a point of 12 clarification, because Missouri American's 13 14 response to staff recommendations were on three 15 points. And I understand that procedural hearing was only with respect to the 16 17 acquisition premium. Should we be addressing 18 those two other issue as well? JUDGE WOODRUFF: You'll have to 19 refresh my memory. What were the other issues? 20 MS. PERKINS: One of the issues was 21 22 whether -- I guess the recommendation was to 23 include Cedar Hill in the next rate case. And 24 the second issue -- the third issue, I guess, 25 was recording rate base on the book. And we

1	wanted clarification whether an adjustment
2	could be made to the rate base.
3	JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm sorry. I
4	overlooked those. And, yes, you should deal
5	with all the issues that are out there.
6	MS. PERKINS: Okay. Thank you.
7	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else while
8	we're still on the record? All right. Well,
9	with that, then, that will concludes the on the
10	record portion. We're off the record.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	