

Matt Kohly

From: Matt Kohly [rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2007 1:58 PM
To: Joey H. Bales
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

We can make that time work. Please use the conference bridge information below -

bridge # - 573.777.1699
conference code - 304831
participant code - 52347

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Joey H. Bales [mailto:Joey.Bales@centurytel.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2007 2:49 PM
To: rmkohly@sockettelecom.com
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Matt:

I can have a time scheduled this Friday (12-7-07) at 9:30am for a call to review the forecasts that were provided by Socket. This was the earliest I could get everyone together on the phone.

If you can make it at this time please let me know and I will forward a bridge number for you to use. This was the only slot I could find open this week where access services would be available.

Thanks
Joey

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Kohly [mailto:rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 12:15 PM
To: rmkohly@sockettelecom.com; Joey H. Bales
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

I have yet to receive a response from you on the e-mail below or from anyone at CenturyTel/Spectra regarding settlement discussions. At this point, I believe any settlement discussions have been abandoned since CenturyTel never responded to Socket's last offer. That means there are no settlement discussions to serve as an excuse not to proceed and even if there were such discussions, I do not agree they would serve as a valid reason to refuse to interconnect.

We want to move forward with the direct connection outlined below.
Based

upon Spectra's complaints to the MO PSC, I would think Spectra would want to move forward on this as well. When can we schedule the NIT meeting?

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Kohly [mailto:rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]

Sent: Monday, October 22, 2007 8:37 AM
To: Joey H. Bales
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Joey,

Settlement discussions should not interfere with day to day operations. Regardless of what is discussed or agreed upon as part of any settlement, Socket has the right to interconnect on a direct or indirect basis with Spectra. Settlement discussions do not change Spectra's obligations.

We are now approaching a month since I first requested a meeting to discuss this interconnect. Spectra has been unwilling to discuss it and is apparently simply refusing to directly interconnect.

Lastly, I received an e-mail from Guy Miller indicating that settlement discussions should be put on hold until the PSC reaches a decision in the number porting complaint. We have no idea when that will be. Based upon that e-mail, I am not at all certain that settlement discussions are ongoing. If they are ongoing, the ball is in CTCL's court to respond to Socket's last offer.

-----Original Message-----

From: Joey H. Bales [mailto:Joey.Bales@centurytel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2007 4:29 PM
To: rmkohly@sockettelecom.com
Cc: Susan Smith
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Matt:

I am under the impression the discussions for settlement agreement is back on the table and I see no reason to discuss this at this time. As soon as a decision is made from the settlement I believe will be best to wait on the outcome.

Thanks
Joey

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Kohly [mailto:rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2007 4:09 PM
To: Joey H. Bales
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Joey,

I have not heard anything back on this. When can we schedule a NIT meeting?

Also, we have pretty much settled on Macon rather than Cameron for LATA 524 so we should discuss that interconnect as well.

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Kohly [mailto:rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 8:31 AM
To: Joey H. Bales
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Joey,

I have not heard anything back on this. When can we schedule a NIT meeting?

-----Original Message-----

From: Joey H. Bales [mailto:Joey.Bales@centurytel.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2007 5:25 PM
To: rmkohly@sockettelecom.com
Subject: RE: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Matt:

I will see what I can do and get back with you tomorrow.

Thanks
Joey

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Kohly [mailto:rmkohly@sockettelecom.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2007 5:09 PM
To: Susan Smith; Joey H. Bales
Cc: Kurt Bruemmer
Subject: Interconnect meeting with Spectra for LATA 522

Joey,

Socket is planning on directly connecting with Spectra in the Springfield LATA. The exchange where are planning to interconnect is Houston (HSTNMOXADS0) at the DS3 level. A copy of the forecast is attached. I do have some questions about 911 for that area. This will be Socket's initial POI in the LATA and we expect to exchange all traffic between Socket and Spectra for this LATA through this interconnect.

This will require a NIT meeting. I would like to have that this week so that we can begin this process. We can be available anytime after 11:00am tomorrow.

Also, we are looking at making similar interconnects in LATA 524 (most likely Cameron) and 521 (most likely Van Buren). I would like to have an initial discussion about those and then I will send forecast and have the follow-up NIT meeting.

Thanks,

Matt Kohly
Socket Telecom
573.777.1991, ext. 551