

December 4, 2006

Spectra Communications Group, LLC
Attn: Carrier Relations
100 CenturyTel Drive
Monroe, LA 71203

Dear Carrier Relations:

On November 17, 2006, Socket Telecom, LLC ("Socket") provided forecasts and a description of its plans to indirectly interconnect with Spectra Communications Group LLC ("Spectra") pursuant to Article V, Section 7.0 of the Interconnection Agreement. Socket also requested a meeting to discuss that interconnection arrangement.

The meeting was held on December 4, 2006. At that meeting, Socket restated its plans. After limited discussion, representatives of Spectra took the position that Spectra was not going to indirectly interconnect with Socket. Socket considers Spectra's refusal to be a violation of the Interconnection Agreement

Socket considers Spectra's refusal to be dispute that qualifies for Expedited Resolution Procedures under Article III, Section 18.4 as it materially affects Socket's ability to provide service to end-user customers. The period for resolving this dispute begins on December 4, 2006 as Notice is provided via e-mail followed by registered mail. I will be Socket's representative during the negotiations to attempt to resolve this dispute within five business days.

In order to attempt to resolve this dispute during the five day period, it would be helpful for Spectra to state in writing the reason that Spectra believes it does not have to interconnect on an indirect basis pursuant to Article V, Section 7.0 with Socket as Socket requested. Given the timeframe for resolving disputes, Socket requests this by the close of business on Wednesday, December 8th.

Sincerely,

R. Matthew Kohly

Cc: Director – External Affairs