Exhibit No.: Issues: Normalized Billing Units Witness: James R. Pozzo Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2007-0002 Date Testimony Prepared: July 5, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES R. POZZO

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri July, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	DEVELOPMENT OF WEATHER NORMALIZED BILLING UNITS	2

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		JAMES R. POZZO
4		CASE NO. ER-2007-0002
5		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	А.	James R. Pozzo, Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services"), One
8	Ameren Plaz	za, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
9	Q.	What is your position with Ameren Services?
10	А.	I am a Rate Engineer in Ameren Services' Regulatory Policy Department.
11	Q.	What is Ameren Services?
12	А.	Ameren Services provides various corporate, administrative and technical
13	support serv	ices for Ameren Corporation ("Ameren") and its affiliates, including Union
14	Electric Con	npany d/b/a AmerenUE ("Company" or "AmerenUE"). Part of that work is
15	assistance in	the area of rate engineering, including work in the area of weather
16	normalizatio	on which is the subject of my direct testimony on this case.
17	Q.	Please describe your educational background, work experience and
18	duties of yo	ur position.
19	А.	I received the degree of Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering from
20	the Universi	ty of Missouri-Rolla, Missouri in December 1978.
21		I began working at Union Electric Company in January 1979 in the Power
22	Operations I	Department, working as an Engineer at the Ashley Plant for two (2) years and at
23	the Meramed	c Plant for five (5) years. During this time I was responsible for operations and

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

1 maintenance support for assigned plant equipment along with various other projects as

2 assigned.

3		I transferred into Union Electric's Rate Engineering Department in September
4	1985 and I a	ssumed my current position with Ameren Services upon completion of the
5	merger of C	IPSCO Inc. and Union Electric Company effective December 31, 1997.
6		My current duties and responsibilities include assignments related to the gas
7	and electric	rates of Union Electric Company, now doing business as AmerenUE; Central
8	Illinois Publ	ic Service Company, now doing business as AmerenCIPS; Central Illinois Light
9	Company, n	ow doing business as AmerenCILCO; and Illinois Power Company, now doing
10	business as A	AmerenIP. For each of these companies I participate in regulatory proceedings,
11	conduct rate	analyses, develop and interpret the gas and electric tariffs, and perform other
12	rate or regul	atory projects as assigned.
13	II.	DEVELOPMENT OF WEATHER NORMALIZED BILLING UNITS
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?
15	А.	The purpose of my direct testimony is to develop weather normalized test year
16	billing units	for the Company's electric operations. An Executive Summary of my testimony
17	is included i	n Attachment A of Company witness Wilbon L. Cooper's direct testimony.
18	Q.	Please explain what is meant by the term "billing unit."
19	А.	A billing unit is a quantity of electric customers, and usage (kilowatt-hours),
20	demand (kile	owatts) or reactive demand (kilovar) data to which filed rates are applied in
21	determining	customers' bills.

2

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

1

Q. Please describe the billing units used by AmerenUE.

2 AmerenUE uses a) customer count; b) kilowatt hours, which are energy units; A. 3 c) kilowatts, which are demand units; and d) kilovars, which are units of reactive demand. 4 Depending on a customer's rate class, two or more of these components are used to bill 5 virtually all customers. The weather normalized billing units I developed in this case are a 6 compilation of the individual customer billing units which occurred during the study period, 7 adjusted to reflect normal weather. The study period is the test year consisting of the twelve 8 months ending June 30, 2006, including nine months of actual data and three months of 9 budgeted data.

10

Q. What was the initial step you took in the development of the Company's 11 billing units for each customer class?

12 A. Existing Company reports contain aggregate kilowatt-hour sales and revenues 13 on a monthly basis for the Residential, Small General Service, Large General Service, Small 14 Primary Service, and Large Primary Service rate classes. A more detailed monthly report 15 provides the billing units that can be priced at the Company's filed rates to calculate customer 16 revenues. This report provides billing data both by revenue month, which is the month for 17 which the data was reported, and the primary month, which is the month the data should have 18 been reflected in customer bills. I used this report to assemble the billing data in the proper 19 primary month. I then applied the Company's existing filed rate values for each specific rate 20 class to the billing units for the class. This results in the "calculated revenue" for each class.

1	Q. Do the revenues calculated	d from this process exactly match the revenues
2	indicated on the Company's books ("rep	ported revenue") for the same time period?
3	A. While the comparison of ca	lculated revenue and reported revenue match
4	closely, there will always be some differen	ce between the two. This results from billing
5	adjustments which are made to a number of	f accounts each month due to corrected billings,
6	and initial and final bills, which apply to p	eriods that are either longer or shorter than the
7	Company's standard billing periods, causir	g such bills to be adjusted or prorated.
8	Q. Did you analyze all of the	rate classes using the billing unit reports?
9	A. No, I analyzed the Large Pr	imary Service class using individual customer data
10	because the class contains less than sixty c	ustomers and has a relatively simple rate structure.
11	Q. After you verified the billi	ing units associated with the Company's
12	reported revenues, how were these billing	ng units and revenues adjusted to reflect normal
13	weather?	
14	A. I used weather adjustment r	atios provided by Company witness Richard A.
15	Voytas for the billing month to adjust the r	nonthly reported sales to normal sales. The
16	weather adjusted kilowatt-hours were price	ed at the last kilowatt-hour rate block by month for
17	each rate class and added or subtracted fro	m actual billing units to develop normalized billing
18	units and revenues. The resulting normalized	zed monthly billing units were then divided into
19	the summer and winter billing periods for	presentation on Schedules JRP-E1 through
20	JRP-E6, attached hereto. Schedule JRP-E	7 is a summary of the weather normalized billing
21	unit kilowatt-hours and revenues. These w	eather normalized revenues and billing units are
22	used by Company witness William M. Wa	rwick in the development of his class cost of
23	service study and by Mr. Cooper, in his de	velopment of the Company's proposed rates in this

4

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

- 1 case. The normalized revenues are also used by Company witness Gary S. Weiss as an
- 2 adjustment to revenues in Mr. Weiss's cost of service study.
- 3 Q. What was the result of your analysis?
- 4 A. My analysis provides the normal billing units to be used to develop proposed

5 rates. The study also shows that sales should be reduced by 437,670 megawatt-hours and

6 revenues should be reduced by approximately \$37 million.

- 7 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES R. POZZO

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

James R. Pozzo, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is James R. Pozzo. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I

am employed by Ameren Services Company as a Rate Engineer in Regulatory Policy.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct

Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 5 pages and

Schedules JRP-E1 through JRP-E7, all of which have been prepared in written form for

introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony

to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

James R. Pozzo

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of July, 2006.

lotary Public

My commission expires:

CAROLYN J. WOODSTOCK Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Franklin County My Commission Expires: May 19, 2008

Residential Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Billing Components	-	Present
Summer (June - Septembe	er)	
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$7.25
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$15.00
Energy Charge:		
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	7.640 g
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	11.11 g
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	4.56 ç
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$7.25
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$15.00
Energy Charge:		
0- 750 Kwh	Cents per Kwh	5.420 g
All Kwh Over 750	Cents per Kwh	3.660 🤉
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	6.55 ¢
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	3.24 0

	Units	Rate	\$1,000	
Summer				
Customer Charge	4,047,891	\$7.25	\$29,347	
Customer Charge TOD	108	\$15.00	\$2	
Mwh	4,842,999	\$0.07640	\$370,005	
TOD On Peak Kwh	141	\$0.11110	\$16	
TOD Off Peak Kwh	307	\$0.04560	\$14	
_	4,843,447	-	\$399,384	
Winter				
Customer Charge	8,122,335	\$7.25	\$58,887	
Customer Charge TOD	217	\$15.00	\$3	
0-750 Mwh	4,979,288	\$0.05420	\$269,877	
Over 750 Mwh	3,334,003	\$0.03660	\$122,025	
TOD On Peak Kwh	287	\$0.06550	\$19	
TOD Off Peak Kwh	578	\$0.03240	\$19	
Total MWH	8,314,156		\$450,830	
Total Res	13,157,603		\$850,213	

Small General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Billing Components		Present	
Summer (June - Septer	iber)		
Customer Charge:			
Single Phase Service	Per Month	\$7.25	
Three Phase Service		\$15.10	
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$15.00	
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$30.00	
Energy Charge:			
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	7.42 ¢	
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	11.01 ¢	
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	4.49 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
Customer Charge:			
Single Phase Service	Per Month	\$7.25	
Three Phase Service	Per Month	\$15.10	
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$15.00	
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$30.00	
Energy Charge:			
Base Use	Cents per Kwh	5.53 ¢	
Seasonal Use	Cents per Kwh	3.20 ¢	
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	7.25 ¢	
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	3.33 ¢	

	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	369,238	\$7.25	\$2,677
Customer Charge - Three Phase	139,514	\$15.10	\$2,107
Single Phase Service TOD	544	\$15.00	\$8
Three Phase Service TOD	281	\$30.00	\$8
Mwh	1,268,678	\$0.0742	\$94,136
TOD On Peak Kwh	2,684	\$0.1101	\$296
TOD Off Peak Kwh	4,664	\$0.0449	\$209
Summer Total MWH	1,276,026	-	\$99,441
Winter			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	740,475	\$7.25	\$5,368
Customer Charge - Three Phase	281,232	\$15.10	\$4,247
Single Phase Service TOD	1,340	\$15.00	\$20
Three Phase Service TOD	585	\$30.00	\$18
Winter Base Mwh	1,871,325	\$0.0553	\$103,484
Winter Seasonal Mwh	419,840	\$0.0320	\$13,435
TOD On Peak Kwh	5,298	\$0.0725	\$384
TOD Off Peak Kwh	9,389	\$0.0333	\$313
Winter Total MWH	2,305,852	-	\$127,269
Total	3,581,878		\$226,710

ſ

Large General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Billing Components	Present	
Summer (June - September)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$66.00	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$80.00	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	7.41 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	5.58 ¢	
All over 300 kWh per KW	3.74 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.88 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.49 ¢	
Demand		
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$3.58	
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$66.00	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$80.00	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	4.64 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	3.48 ¢	
All over 350 kWh per KW	2.70 ¢	
Seasonal Energy Charge	2.70 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.27 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.15 ¢	
Demand		
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1.28	

Proof of Revenue	Units	Rate	\$1,000
Summer			
Customer Charge	37,552	\$66.00	\$2,478
Customer Charge TOD	84	\$80.00	\$7
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,139,107	\$0.0741	\$84,408
151-350 hours	1,222,263	\$0.0558	\$68,202
Over 350 hours	494,132	\$0.0374	\$18,481
Seasonal	113	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	2,000	\$0.0088	\$18
TOD Off Peak	3,158	-\$0.0049	-\$15
Demand	8,319,125	\$3.58	\$29,782
		-	\$203,360
Winter			
Customer Charge	75,312	\$66.00	\$4,971
Customer Charge TOD	168	\$80.00	\$13
Winter Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,897,091	\$0.0464	\$88,025
151-350 hours	2,021,758	\$0.0348	\$70,357
Over 350 hours	852,489	\$0.0270	\$23,017
Seasonal	334,520	\$0.0270	\$9,032
TOD On Peak	3,288	\$0.0027	\$9
TOD Off Peak	5,172	-\$0.0015	-\$8
Demand	15,226,610	\$1.28	\$19,490
		-	\$214,907
	7,961,473		\$418,267

Small Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Billing Components	Present	
Summer (June - September)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$210.00	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$224.00	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	7.04 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	5.31 ¢	
All over 350 kWh per KW	3.56 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.88 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.49 ¢	
Demand		
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$2.85	
Billing Kvars	24 ¢	
Rider B 34kv		
Per KW	81 ¢	
Rider B 138kv		
Per KW	95 ¢	
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$210.00	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$224.00	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	4.43 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	3.30 ¢	
All over 300 kWh per KW	2.58 ¢	
Seasonal Energy Charge	2.58 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.27 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.15 ¢	
Demand		
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1.04	
Billing Kvars	24 ¢	
Rider B 34kv		
Per KW	81 ¢	
Rider B 138kv		
Per KW	95 ¢	

Proof of Revenue			
_	Units	Rate	\$1,000
Summer			
Customer Charge	2,563	\$2 10.00	\$538
Customer Charge TOD	19	\$224 .00	\$4
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	466,903	\$0.0704	\$32,870
151-350 hours	530,728	\$0.0531	\$28,182
Over 350 hours	465,948	\$0.0356	\$16,588
Seasonal	-4,804	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	5,182	\$0.0088	\$46
TOD Off Peak	7,926	-\$0.0049	(\$39)
Demand	3,205,417	\$2.85	\$9,135
Billing Kvars	618,614	\$0.24	\$148
Rider B 34kv	324,507	\$0.81	(\$263)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$0.95	\$0
			\$87,210
Winter			
Customer Charge	5,078	\$210.00	\$1,066
Customer Charge TOD	40	\$224.00	\$9
Winter Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	780,677	\$0.0443	\$34,584
151-350 hours	926,556	\$0.0330	\$30,576
Over 350 hours	745,533	\$0.0258	\$19,235
Seasonal	155,255	\$0.0258	\$4,006
TOD On Peak	10,205	\$0.0027	\$28
TOD Off Peak	16,333	-\$0.0015	(\$24)
Demand	5,685,807	\$1.04	\$5,913
Billing Kvars	1,226,639	\$0.24	\$294
Rider B 34kv	563,733	\$0.81	(\$457)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$0.95	\$0
			\$95,230
	4,066,796		\$182,440

Large Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Billing Components		Present		
Summer (June - Septe	ember)			
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$210.00		
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$13.97		
Energy Charge:				
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.34 ¢		
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh		0.45 ¢		
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh		-0.25 ¢		
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	24 ¢		
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	81 ¢		
Rider B 138kv	Per KW	95 ¢		
Winter (October - May	()			
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$210.00		
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$6.34		
Energy Charge:				
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.06 ¢		
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh		0.2 ¢		
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh		-0.11 ¢		
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	24 ¢		
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	81 ¢		
Rider B 138kv	Per KW	95 ¢		

	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	242	\$210.00	\$51
Summer Mwh	1,492,472	\$0.0234	\$34,924
TOD On Peak	29,851	\$0.0045	\$134
TOD Off Peak	51,376	-\$0.0025	-\$128
Demand	2,669,326	\$13.97	\$37,290
Billing Kvars	325,275	0.24	\$78
Rider B 34kv	681,130	0.81	(\$552)
Rider B 138kv	177,388	0.95	(\$169)
			\$71,629
Winter			. ,
Customer Charge	488	\$210.00	\$102
Winter Mwh	2,653,640	\$0.0206	\$54,665
TOD On Peak	49,855	\$0.0020	\$100
TOD Off Peak	93,028	-\$0.0011	-\$102
Demand	4,848,009	\$6.34	\$30,736
Billing Kvars	609,400	\$0.24	\$146
Rider B 34kv	1,267,707	\$0.81	(\$1,027)
Rider B 138kv	355,245	\$0.95	(\$337)
	·	· · · ·	\$84,283
	4,146,112		\$155,912

Large Transmission Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

Summer (June - Septem	ber)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$210.00	
		• - • • • •	
Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$11.82	
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.242 ¢	
Line Loss Kwh	Cents per Kwh	3.25 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	24 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
<u>Winter (October - May)</u> Customer Charge	Per Month	\$210.00	
Customer Charge	Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand	\$210.00 \$4.50	
Customer Charge			
Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$4.50	

Proof of Revenue			
	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	4	\$210.00	\$1
Summer Mwh	1,351,282	\$0.02242	\$30,296
Line Loss Mwł	47837	\$0.03250	\$1,555
Demand	1,845,439	\$11.82	\$21,806
Billing Kvars	0	0.24	\$0
			\$53,657
Winter			
Customer Charge	8	\$210.00	\$2
Winter Mwh	2,712,757	\$0.01974	\$53,550
Line Loss Mwł	94,947	\$0.03250	\$3,086
Demand	3,960,905	\$4.50	\$17,840
Billing Kvars	0	\$0.24	\$0
-			\$74,477
	4,064,039		\$128,134
Annual Contribut	ion Factor		\$9,074
			\$137,208

AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 April-June Forecast

	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue
Residential	13,157,603	\$850,213,202
Small General Service	3,581,878	\$226,709,603
Large General Service	7,961,473	\$418,267,034
Small Primary Service	4,066,796	\$182,439,828
Large Primary Service	4,146,112	\$155,912,021
Large Transmission Service	4,064,039	\$137,208,536
Lighting	228,072	\$27,110,909
MSD		\$39,487
Total	37,205,973	\$1,997,900,620