Exhibit No.: Issues: Ra Cl Witness: M Sponsoring Party: M Type of Exhibit: Ro Case No.: El Date Testimony Prepared: Ja

Rate Design Class Cost of Service Michael S. Scheperle MO PSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2012-0345 January 16, 2013

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2012-0345

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2013

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empire District) Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri) Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric) Service Provided to Customers in the) Missouri Service Area of the Company)

Case No. ER-2012-0345

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **COUNTY OF COLE**)

Michael S. Scheperle, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of _____ pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Michael 5. Schept Michael S. Scheperle

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15^{+1} day of January, 2013.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014 Commission Number: 10942086

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
3	OF		
4	MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE		
5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY		
6	CASE NO. ER-2012-0345		
7			
8	Interclass Revenue-Neutral Rate Design Differences1		
9	DSM Cost Recovery Rate Design		

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE			
4	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY			
5	CASE NO. ER-2012-0345			
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.			
7	A. My name is Michael S. Scheperle and my business address is Missouri Publi	ic		
8	Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.			
9	Q. Are you the same Michael S. Scheperle who filed, on December 13, 2012	2,		
10	direct testimony in question and answer format and as part of the Missouri Public Servic	e		
11	Commission Staff's (Staff's) Rate Design and Class Cost-of-Service Report?			
12	A. Yes, I am.			
13	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?			
14	A. The purpose of this testimony is to respond to the revenue-neutral adjustment	ts		
15	5 requested by The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire") for any increase ordered by			
16	6 the Commission in this case. I further discuss the differences in various rate schedules' rates			
17	7 for recovery of demand-side management ("DSM") programs' costs as proposed by Empire			
18	and by Staff. Staff recommends that the Commission not adopt Empire's DSM cost recover	ſy		
19	method, because it does not comply with the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act's			
20	0 ("MEEIA") provisions concerning opt-out customers.			
21	Interclass Revenue-Neutral Rate Design Differences			
22	Q. What are the interclass revenue-neutral adjustment proposals recommended b	y		
23	Empire and Staff?			

1

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

Outlined below in Table 1 are Empire's¹ and Staff's interclass revenue-neutral 1 A. 2 recommendations. It appears that Empire's and Staff's revenue-neutral recommendations are 3 similar for the commercial space heating class, special transmission service contract: Praxair, 4 total electric building class, feed mill and grain elevator class, large power class, and the 5 lighting and miscellaneous class with both Empire and Staff recommending the system 6 average increase for these classes. The minor differences are the residential class, commercial 7 building class and general power class. Based on Staff's class cost-of-service "(CCOS") 8 study. Staff recommends adjustments be made first on a company-wide revenue neutral basis 9 to the residential class, commercial building class and general power class. The residential 10 class should receive a positive 0.5% adjustment. The commercial building class and general 11 power class should receive a negative adjustment of approximately 0.82%. These 12 adjustments are minor and entail less than 1% revenue-neutral adjustments.

13

14

Q. Did any other party in this case file a class cost-of-service ("CCOS")study or rate design recommendation except Empire and staff?

15

16

A. No. No other party filed a CCOS study or rate design recommendation in direct testimony except Empire and Staff.

¹ Empire requestes to distribute the 7.60% increase evenly to all customer classes. Empire is requesting a 7.60% across the board increase to all base tariff charges for all rate plans with the exception of the Residential (RG) and Commercial (CB) rate plans. Empire has requested a 15.2% increase in the customer charge for the RG and CB rate plans (Direct Testimony, Aaron Doll, p. 12). Additionally, Empire requests revenue-neutral cost shifts for the Municipal Street Lighting and Private Lighting (Direct Testimony, Samuel McGarrah, p. 4).

	Empire	Staff
Customer Class	Proposal	Proposal
Residential	Sys. Avg.	+.5% + Sys. Avg.
		-0.82% + Sys.
Commercial Building	Sys. Avg.	Avg.
Commercial Space		
Heating	Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.
		-0.82% + Sys.
General Power	Sys. Avg.	Avg.
Special Transmission		
Service Contract: Praxair	Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.
Total Electric Building	Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.
Feed Mill and Grain		
Elevator	Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.
Large Power	Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.
Lighting and		
Miscellaneous (Street,		
Private, Special,	Intaclass shifts	
Miscellaneous)	+ Sys. Avg.	Sys. Avg.

DSM Cost Recovery Rate Design

Q. Does Staff agree with Empire's DSM cost recovery rate design

recommendation?

A. No, Staff does not. Empire's recommendation is that the revenue requirement
for recovery of DSM programs' costs be included in retail rates without an opt-out provision
to comply with Section 393.1075, RSMo (Supp. 2011). Section 393.1075.7, .8, .9 and .10
state:

7. Provided that the customer has notified the electric corporation that the customer elects not to participate in demand-side measures offered by an electrical corporation, none of the costs of demand-side measures of an electric corporation offered under this section **or by any other authority**, and no other charges implemented in accordance with this section, shall be assigned to any account of any customer, including its affiliates and subsidiaries, meeting one or more of the following criteria:

- (1) The customer has one or more accounts within the service territory of the electrical corporation that has a demand of five thousand kilowatts or more;
 - (2) The customer operates an interstate pipeline pumping station, regardless of size; or

(3) the customer has accounts within the service territory of the electrical corporation that have, in aggregate, a demand of two thousand five hundred kilowatts or more, and the customer has a comprehensive demand-side or energy efficiency program and can demonstrate an achievement of savings at least equal to those expected from utility-provided programs.

- 8. Customers that have notified the electrical corporation that they do not wish
 to participate in demand-side programs under this section shall not
 subsequently be eligible to participate in demand-side programs except under
 guidelines established by the commission in rulemaking.²
- 9. Customers who participate in demand-side programs initiated after August
 1, 2009, shall be required to participate in program funding for a period of time
 to be established by the commission in rulemaking.³
- 17 10. Customers electing not to participate in an electric corporation's demand18 side programs under this section shall still be allowed to participate in
 19 interruptible or curtailable rate schedules or tariffs offered by the electric
 20 corporation.
- 21 (Emphasis added).
- 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. What is Staff's interpretation of Section 393.1075?
- A. Staff interprets the "or by any other authority" language within Section
- 24 393.1075 (as highlighted above) to include recovery of DSM programs' costs the Commission
- 25 approved as part of its June 1, 2011 Order Approving Global Agreement⁴ in Case No.
- 26 ER-2011-0004. In other words, Staff interprets Section 393.1075 to allow a customer, who
- 27 otherwise qualifies, to opt-out of DSM programs and DSM programs' costs that the

 $^{^2}$ Guidelines are established in 4 CSR 240-20.094(6)(H): "Revocation. A customer may revoke an opt-out by providing written notice to the utility and commission two to four (2-4) months in advance of the calendar year for which it will become eligible for the utility's demand-side program's costs and benefits. Any customer revoking an opt-out to participate in a program will be required to remain in the program for the number of years over which the cost of that program is being recovered, or until the cost of their participation in that program has been recovered."

³ Guidelines are established in 4 CSR 240-20.090(6)(I): "A customer who participates in demand-side programs initiated after August 1, 2009, shall be required to participate in program funding for a period of three (3) years following the last date when the customer received a demand-side incentive or a service."

⁴ The Global Agreement specifies the following in Paragraph 13. d: "Authorize3 continued amortization of the DSM regulatory asset for cost incurred during the Regulatory Plan for a term of 10 years. The costs of the DSM market potential study will be included in the regulatory asset;" and in Paragraph 13. e: "Authorize an amortization for DSM program costs incurred after the end of the Regulatory Plan and prior to program implementation under MEEIA for a term of six years."

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

Commission has not approved under the MEEIA and the Commission's MEEIA rules and,
 therefore, not pay the costs of those programs.

3

Q. What is Staff's recommendation for recovery of DSM programs' cost?

A. Staff recommends that there be a separate DSM programs' cost recovery rate
on rate schedules (residential class, commercial building class, commercial space heating
class, general power class, special transmission service contract: Praxair class, total electric
building class, feed mill and grain elevator class, and large power class) along with another
rate to reflect either: 1) rate including the DSM cost recovery rate (applied to those who have
not opted out of DSM), or 2) rate excluding the DSM cost recovery rate (applied to those who

11

12

Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

Q.