Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Witness: Andrew Schwantner

Sponsoring Party: Big River Telephone

Company, LLC

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: TC-2007-0085

BIG RIVER TELEPHONE COMPANY, LLC

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ANDREW SCHWANTNER

TC-2007-0085

August 27, 2007

STATE OF MISSOUCI)	gg.
COUNTY OF St. LOUIS CO)	SS.
BEFORE THE MISSOURI PU	BLIC	SERVICE COMMISSION
BIG RIVER TELEPHONE COMPANY, LLC,)	
Complainant,)	
V.)	Case No. TC-2007-0085
SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. D/B/A AT&T MISSOURI,))	
Respondent.)	
AFFIDAVIT OF ANI	<u>DREW</u>	SCHWANTNER
COMES NOW Andrew Schwantner duly sworn, deposes and states:	r, of lav	wful age, sound of mind and being first
My name is Andrew Sch Compliance for Big River Telephone Comp		er. I am the Manager of Contract LC.
2. Attached hereto and made a Testimony in the above-referenced case.	part h	ereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal
3. I hereby swear and affirm testimony are true and correct to the best of	my kn $\frac{1}{2}$	y statements contained in the attached owledge and belief. Olivery Schwantner
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to be August, 2007.	efore n	14
My Commission Expires: (SEAL)	FÁL P	was
Frank Gregory, Note St. Louis County, State My Commission Expires Commission Number	ary Pub of Misso s 9/11/2	on 2 010 \$

Case No. TC-2007-0085 Surrebuttal Testimony of Andrew Schwantner On Behalf of Big River Telephone Company, LLC August 27, 2007

PRE-FILED SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ANDREW SCHWANTNER

1

Q.

PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

2	A.	Andrew Schwantner.
3	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME ANDREW SCHWANTNER THAT FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
7	A.	I am responding to the rebuttal testimony of AT&T.
8	Q.	MS. JOSEPHSON AND MR. WHITE CONTEND THAT AT&T NEVER MADE
9		ANY ASSURANCES WITH REGARD TO THE TREATMENT OF
10		UNBUNDLED LOCAL SWITCHING. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION
11		OF ANY SUCH DISCUSSIONS?
12	A.	Yes, I do. I was on the calls with Mr. Howe during most of the conversations with Ms.
13		Josephson and Mr. White. I was with Mr. Howe and privy to the conversation wherein
14		there was a specific discussion regarding the handling of Big River's UNE-P customers
15		and how those customers would be handled in light of the execution of the LWC. As
16		Mr. Howe stated in his direct testimony, he expressed a concern that Big River's UNE-

Case No. TC-2007-0085 Surrebuttal Testimony of Andrew Schwantner On Behalf of Big River Telephone Company, LLC August 27, 2007

1		P customers would get caught up in a mass conversion that AT&T was planning with
2		other CLECs who used UNE-P and did not have a new interconnection agreement with
3		271 unbundled local switching as Big River had. Ms. Josephson said that if Big
4		River's UNE-P customers did get caught up in such a conversion, it would simply be a
5		billing error that would be corrected by AT&T.
6	Q.	In her rebuttal testimony (p. 6-7), Ms. Josephson also takes exception with your
7		comments regarding your allegations that Ms. Josephson threatened to file a
8		complaint with the Commission if Big River did not provide a transition plan. Are
9		her comments accurate?
10	A.	I would say that her comments are more non-responsive to the question rather than
11		inaccurate. In fact, she did threaten to file a complaint with the Commission.
12	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
13	A.	Yes.