Exhibit No.:

Issues: Updated Off-System Sales Witness: Shawn E. Schukar Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Supplemental Direct Testimony
Case No.: ER-2008-0318

Date Testimony Prepared: June 16, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2008-0318

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. SCHUKAR

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri June, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
III.	TEST YEAR OFF-SYSTEM SALES – UPDATED DATA	2
IV.	CONCLUSION	4

1	SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2		OF	
3		SHAWN E. SCHUKAR	
4		CASE NO. ER-2008-0318	
5		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	A.	My name is Shawn E. Schukar. My business address is One Ameren	
8	Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.		
9	Q.	Are you the same Shawn E. Schukar who previously filed testimony in	
10	this case?		
11	A.	Yes.	
12		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony?	
14	A.	AmerenUE filed this case based upon a test year consisting of the 12	
15	months ending March 31, 2008, using nine months of actual data and three months of		
16	budgeted data (for the months of January, February, and March 2008). As provided for		
17	in the Commission's Order Adopting Procedural Schedule and Test Year issued on		
18	May 29, 2008, I am updating the recommended level of off-system sales revenues to		
19	include in the Company's revenue requirement. These off-system sales revenues are		
20	based upon AmerenUE witness Timothy D. Finnell's updated PROSYM model run		
21	which includes updated data as discussed in Mr. Finnell's supplemental direct testimony.		
22	These updated off-system sales revenues also take into account capacity sales		
23	information, which has been updated to reflect actual data for the entire test year period		

1 and certain pro forma adjustments to reflect known capacity sales through the end of the 2 true-up period. These updates result in off-system sales revenues of \$449.7 million. I 3 provided a breakdown of this \$449.7 million between energy sales, capacity sales, and 4 ancillary service sales later in this testimony. 5 III. TEST YEAR OFF-SYSTEM SALES – UPDATED DATA 6 Q. Did using actual data for the months of January to March 2008 with 7 certain pro forma adjustments change the level of off-system sales revenues 8 associated with energy you recommend for inclusion in the Company's revenue 9 requirement? 10 A. Yes. As Mr. Finnell explains in his supplemental direct testimony, the 11 megawatt hours (MWh) available for off-system sales as determined by the PROSYM 12 model, using the updated normalized load based upon actual data for the test year, 13 decreased slightly. This resulted in modeled off-system sales revenues associated with 14 energy of \$434.9 million versus the model results used when my direct testimony was 15 filed -- \$443.2 million. 16 Q. Did using actual data for the months of January to March 2008 with 17 certain pro forma adjustments change the level of off-system sales revenues 18 associated with capacity you recommend for inclusion in the Company's revenue 19 requirement? 20 A. Yes. I have now included the actual known capacity sales through the end 21 of the true-up period, which results in recommended changes to the known level of 22 capacity sales and the lost opportunity associated with capacity sales that might have 23 been made from the Taum Sauk generation facility had it been available. The amount of

- 1 off-system sales associated with capacity based upon actual data with adjustments for
- 2 known sales through September 2008 results in off-system sales revenue associated with
- 3 capacity of \$11.3 million versus the \$7.6 million used when my direct testimony was
- 4 filed.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Please explain the adjustment to the level of off-system sales revenue associated with capacity.

A. The amount of off-system sales revenue associated with capacity reflects both the known capacity sales through September 2008 and the potential opportunity for capacity sales associated with the Taum Sauk Plant. The actual capacity sales through September 2008 increased the off-system sales revenue associated with actual capacity sales from the \$5.2 million known when my direct testimony was filed to the level known as of the filing of this testimony, or \$6.4 million. These additional capacity sales mean that AmerenUE has effectively sold all of its excess capacity for the period June 2008 through September 2008. This suggests that AmerenUE may have been able to sell additional capacity from the Taum Sauk plant if the plant had been available. While it is not certain that AmerenUE could have sold all of the additional 440 MW of capacity that would have been available had the Taum Sauk Plant been in service, I have erred on the side of assuming higher capacity sales and estimated the value of capacity sales for AmerenUE as if AmerenUE was able to sell the full amount of the Taum Sauk capacity. In pricing the Taum Sauk capacity that I am assuming could have been sold, I have used the average prices received by the Illinois operating subsidiaries owned by Ameren Corporation in response to their March 31, 2008, Capacity Request for Proposal. These results in an estimate for the amount of off-system sales associated with capacity that

19

20

21

Q.

A.

Yes, it does.

1 may have been sold if the Taum Sauk Plant was available of \$4.9 million, versus the \$2.4 2 million that was utilized when my direct testimony was filed. 3 Q. Has anything else changed in relation to your direct testimony? 4 A. Yes. The level of off-system sales revenues associated with the actual 5 capacity sales included in my direct testimony was calculated inaccurately due to a 6 computational error. The correct number should have been \$5.4 million versus the \$5.2 7 million that was in my direct testimony. I have corrected this error. 8 IV. **CONCLUSION** 9 Q. Please summarize the changes to the off-system sales revenues as a 10 result of updated information. 11 The net effect of using the updated information discussed in this testimony A. 12 is that my recommended level of off-system sales revenues has decreased by \$4.6 million 13 to \$449.7 million from the \$454.3 million reflected in my direct testimony. This \$449.7 14 million is comprised of \$434.9 million related to energy sales, \$11.3 million associated 15 with capacity sales, and \$3.5 million associated with ancillary services sales. This 16 decrease is due to higher normalized loads for AmerenUE, based upon the actual data for 17 the first quarter of 2008, resulting in lower off-system sales of energy (down 18 approximately \$8.1 million), which is offset by higher capacity sales (including assumed

4

Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?

sales from the Taum Sauk Plant [up approximately \$3.7 million]).

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE Tariff Filing to Increase Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.)) Case No. ER-2008-0318)				
AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN SCHUKAR					
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)					
Shawn Schukar, being first duly sworn on h	nis oath, states:				
1. My name is Shawn Schukar. I work	k in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am				
employed by Ameren Services Company as Vice President, Strategic Initiatives.					
2. Attached hereto and made a part her	reof for all purposes is my Supplemental Direct				
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 4 pages, all					
of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-					
referenced docket.					
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my a	inswers contained in the attached testimony to the				
questions therein propounded are true and	correct. Ah I Schul				
	Shawn E. Schukar				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16	th day of June, 2008. Wande Island Notary Public				
	riotaly I dolle				

My commission expires:

Amanda Tesdall - Notary Public
Notary Seal, State of
Missouri - St. Louis County
Commission #07158967
My Commission Expires 7/29/2011