

**Craig Mershon**

11931 El Sabado Drive  
St. Louis, MO 63138

**FILED**

**DEC 13 2013**

**Missouri Public  
Service Commission**

December 3, 2013

Missouri Public Service Commission  
Missouri Public Service Commission Data Center  
200 Madison Street  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65201

To Whom It May Concern:

Here is another pleading that I am filing because I am not being treated fairly by your agency so I am sending a pleading complaining about it. I would like you to file it as soon as possible. Thank you for your time and attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Craig D Mershon', written over a horizontal line.

Craig D Mershon

cc: Mr. Morris Woodruff, Secretary and Chief Judge  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
200 Madison Street  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65201

FILED

DEC 13 2013

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Missouri Public  
Service Commission

Craig Mershon )  
Complainant, )  
)  
)  
)

File No. 2013-0521

vs

Ameren Union Electric Company a/b/a Ameren Missouri  
Respondent,

**Motion To Set Aside The Regulatory Judges Order  
For A Evidentiary Hearing On December 16, 2013**

Comes now, Mr. Craig Mershon with a Motion to Set Aside the Regulatory Judges Order to have an evidentiary hearing on December 16, 2013. Mr. Mershon has asked to have time to prepare his case. He has not received any documents or interrogatories to support his position. Previously, Mr. Mershon asked that the hearing be held on January 16, 2014 or thereafter because of several reasons. The reasons are as follows:

1. The holiday season is upon everyone at this time and there will not be very many people with than the Commission office to provide documentation for Mr. Mershon so he can establish his case.

Mr. Mershon made this point in one of his pleadings objecting to the Opposing Attorneys and the Attorneys for the Commission. The Regulatory Judge refused to honor Mr. Mershon's request to extend the hearing until January 16, 2014, or thereafter giving the opposing side the advantage to win the case. This is an unfair advantage on the part of Mr. Mershon.

2. Mr. Mershon will not have enough time to receive documents to establish a strong case against Union Electric Company a/b/a Ameren Missouri. This gives the opposing side the advantage to win a case when Mr. Mershon does not have material to support his claim which the opposing side has all the information needed to win their case.

3. Mr. Mershon has been treated very unfairly by the Opposing Attorney and the Attorneys for the Commission. Mr. Mershon will not have enough time considering the holidays to get documents the opposing side has available for him to use to support his argument. It seems the Regulatory Judge is siding with the Opposing Attorneys and the Attorneys for the Commission. The Regulatory Judge works for the Commission.

Mr. Mershon does not believe he can be a neutral party. Mr. Mershon is asking that the Chief Judge intercede and set aside the hearing until a later date preferably January 16, 2014 or thereafter in St. Louis Missouri. Mr. Mershon believes even at that point since the Regulatory Judge works for the Commission he will not have a very good chance of winning his case there will be no neutral party dealing with this case.

Mr. Mershon does not have the resources to hire an attorney so he has Mr. Christopher Worth, Grass Roots Organizer for Paraquad Center for Independent Living to support him.


**Furthermore**, if the Chief Judge refuses to honor Mr. Mershon's request to give him more time to prepare for his hearing it should be made clear Mr. Mershon's disability will be a bigger issue even though it is at this point. In addition, the Opposing Attorney is saying the only issue Mr. Mershon is disputing is the harsh and cruel disconnection notices that are sent out threatening customers. Even disconnecting some of the many customers, many being African-Americans and people with disabilities who are unable because of finances to pay the company what they desire. Within a couple of days Mr. Mershon will have issues stated in a pleading. Summarizing this case, Mr. Mershon will also initiate litigation against several parties of the Commission; their attorneys Smith and Lewis LLP, as well as Ameren Missouri if an amicable and fair decision cannot be reached. Mr. Mershon is willing to work with the Opposing Attorney, Attorneys for the Commission and Ameren Missouri. They cannot continue to bulldoze Mr. Mershon into thinking they can beat him out without a fight.

Mr. Mershon has brought before the Regulatory Judge the unfair treatment he has received from the Opposing Attorney, Attorneys for the Commission and he has had a pre-hearing on the matter. The Regulatory Judge decided to side with the Opposing Attorney and the Attorneys for the Commission.

**Therefore**, a neutral party should be able to set a date to give Mr. Mershon time to gather information and have questions answered to support his case. He asks the neutral party should give him enough time to do some research on his case so that he can present a solid and credible case.

He is asking the date of December 16, 2013 be set aside to give him an opportunity to try to put a case together. If at any time a continuance is warranted it be granted to him.

Respectively submitted,



Craig D Mershon

**Craig Mershon**  
1931 El Sabado Drive  
St. Louis, MO 63138



360

**Missouri Public Service Commission Data Center  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
200 Madison Street  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65201**