Exhibit No.: Issue: General Information Witness: Jill Schwartz Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Liberty Utilities Case No.: WR-2018-0170 Date Testimony Prepared: July 20, 2018

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Jill Schwartz

On behalf of

Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC d/b/a Liberty Utilities

July 2018



REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JILL SCHWARTZ LIBERTY UTILITIES (MISSOURI WATER) LLC. BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FILE NO. WR-2018-0170

TABLE OF CONTENT

I. WITNESS, COMPANY AND ISSUE INTRODUCTION	.1
II. RATE CASE EXPENSE	. 1
III. NARUC USOA COMPLIANCE	. 2
IV. OUTSIDE SERVICES/CONTRACT MAINTENANCE	.3
V. STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR A FUTURE RATE CASE	. 5
VI. RATE DESIGN	. 6
VII. RESPONSE TO OMCA	.6

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JILL SCHWARTZ LIBERTY UTILITIES (MISSOURI WATER) LLC. BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FILE NO. WR-2018-0170

1		I. WITNESS, COMPANY AND ISSUE INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Jill Schwartz. My business address is 602 South Joplin Avenue, Joplin, MO
4		64802.
5	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME JILL SCHWARTZ WHO PREVIOUSLY FILED DIRECT
6		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY UTILITIES?
7	A.	Yes, I am.
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS
9		CASE?
10	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to a number of the recommendations
11		made or positions taken by the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff")
12		and to the direct testimony of Mr. Don Allsbury filed on behalf of the Ozark Mountain
13		Condominium Association ("OMCA").
14		II. RATE CASE EXPENSE
15	Q.	DID STAFF IDENTIFY ANY ISSUES WITH RATE CASE EXPENSE FOR THIS
16		CASE?
17	A.	Yes. In the direct testimony of Staff Witness Harrison, Staff indicated that at the time of
18		their prefiled direct testimony the Company had only provided Staff a spreadsheet

- 1 reflecting rate case expenses of \$1,409 and noted that they had not received any invoices
- 2 to support the costs reflected in the spreadsheet.

3 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROVIDED A SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO

- 4 STAFF'S DATA REQUEST FOR RATE CASE EXPENSES?
- 5 A. Yes. On July 17, 2018 the Company filed a supplemental response to Data Request No.
- 6 0016, including an updated spreadsheet reflecting costs incurred for services rendered
- 7 through April 2018 and recorded in the general ledger as of May 2018, as well as copies
- 8 of the supporting invoices.
- 9 Q. WILL THE COMPANY CONTINUE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE
- 10 **REGARDING RATE CASE EXPENSE?**
- A. Yes. As the Company continues to incur and record costs associated with this rate case,
 we will provide updates to Data Request No. 0016.

13 Q. HOW MUCH RATE CASE EXPENSE HAS THE COMPANY RECORDED AS

- 14 **OF MAY 2018**?
- 15 A. The Company is mindful of the costs of rate cases and has worked hard to keep rate case
- 16 expenses low given the small customer base in this case. As reflected in the Company's
- 17 supplemental response to Data Request No. 0016, the Company has incurred \$20,517 for
- 18 services rendered as of April 2018.

19

III. NARUC USOA COMPLIANCE

- 20 Q. WHAT ISSUE DID STAFF IDENTIFY CONCERNING THE NATIONAL
- 21 ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITIES COMMISSION ("NARUC")
- 22 UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS ("USOA")?

1	А.	Staff Witness Harrison stated that the Company is not maintaining its books and records
2		in accordance with the version of the NARUC USOA adopted by the Missouri Public
3		Service Commission ("Commission") and in doing so, creates consistency and accuracy
4		of accounting issues because accounting data required under the 1996 version of the
5		USOA being used by the Company may be different from the older version adopted by
6		the Commission.
7	Q.	DID STAFF MAKE A RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THIS ISSUE?
8	A.	Yes. Staff recommends that the Company maintain its books and records in accordance
9		with the version of the NARUC USOA adopted by the Commission.
10	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION?
11	А.	In general, the Company is agreeable to begin maintaining the books and records of
12		Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC in accordance with the older version of the
13		NARUC USOA adopted by the Commission. However, in order to ensure that the
14		conversion is properly planned and executed, the Company requests a reasonable amount
15		of time to adopt the Commission's preferred version of the NARUC USOA.
16	Q.	WHAT DOES THE COMPANY RECOMMEND AS A REASONABLE AMOUNT
17		OF TIME TO MAKE THIS TRANSITION?
18	A.	The Company commits to maintain its books and records in accordance with the
19		Commission's adopted version of the NARUC USOA prior to filing its next rate case.
20		IV. OUTSIDE SERVICES/CONTRACT MAINTENANCE
21	Q.	DOES STAFF HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE COSTS INCURRED FOR
22		OUTSIDE SERVICES/CONTRACT MAINTENANCE?

1	A.	In his direct testimony, Mr. Harrison notes that the Company utilizes outside contractors
2		to perform water/wastewater operator functions, meter reading, maintenance and
3		operation duties for all of its systems except Noel, which is operated by Company
4		employees. Staff recommends that the Company perform a cost-benefit analysis prior to
5		its next rate case to determine if it is more cost effective to hire and use in-house
6		employees instead of using outside contractors.

7 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION?

8 A. The acquisitions of the Silverleaf and KMB water/wastewater systems in Missouri did not include the acquisition of any employees and as such were operated by outside 9 contractors. Since then, Liberty Utilities expanded its operational footprint in Missouri 10 11 with the acquisitions of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. in 2012, The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire") in 2017, and Ozark International which 12 was approved by the Commission in 2018. In light of its increased operations in 13 Missouri, the close proximity of the Ozark Mountain water/wastewater system to other 14 water/wastewater systems owned and being acquired, as well as the Company's ongoing 15 efforts to identify efficiencies and improve quality of service, in April 2018 the Company 16 terminated its contract with R K Water Operations LLC and began operating the Ozark 17 Mountain water/wastewater system with in-house employees. The Company is fully 18 committed to provide safe, reliable drinking water and wastewater services to customers 19 at reasonable and just rates and believes this is an important transition for this system. 20 DID STAFF PROVIDE ANY OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING Q. 21 22 **CONTRACT SERVICES?**

JILL SCHWARTZ REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1	А.	Yes. Staff Witness Gateley recommended in his direct testimony that the Company
2		investigate, and where feasible, install chlorine monitoring equipment to reduce
3		operational costs, as well as other prudent opportunities to reduce operational costs.
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO MR. GATELEY'S
5		RECOMMENDATION?
6	A.	As I mentioned above, the Company is always seeking to identify opportunities for
7		additional efficiencies. The Company is willing to work with Staff and the Department
8		of Natural Resources ("DNR") to identify and investigate options to monitor chlorine
9		levels remotely and reduce operational costs, while continuing to provide safe and
10		reliable service to our customers.
11		V. STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR A FUTURE RATE CASE
12	Q.	DID STAFF PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE TIMING
13		OF THE COMPANY'S NEXT RATE CASE?
14	A.	Yes. Staff recommends that the Company file another rate case within 18-24 months of
15		the effective date of this proceeding to incorporate the acquisition of 900 additional
16		customers approved by the Commission in Case No. WM-2018-0023, as well as to
17		demonstrate the Company's compliance with the Commission's adopted version of the
18		NARUC USOA.
19	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION
20		REGARDING THE TIMING OF THE COMPANY'S NEXT RATE CASE?

1		VI. RATE DESIGN
2	Q.	DID STAFF PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION ON RATE DESIGN IN THIS
3		CASE?
4	A.	Yes. Staff Witness Barnes recommended in his direct testimony that the Commission
5		maintain the current water and sewer rate design.
6	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON
7		RATE DESIGN?
8	A.	No. As stated on page 8 of my direct testimony in this case, the Company proposes to
9		consolidate the customer rates for its KMB and Noel water customers and its KMB sewer
10		customers. Additionally, as I discuss in my direct testimony, rate consolidation, or
11		single-tariff pricing as addressed by Mr. Barnes, provides benefits to customers to
12		spreading the cost of service over a larger customer base.
13	Q.	DID STAFF PROVIDE AN ALTERNATIVE RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL?
14	A.	Yes. As an alternative to maintaining the Company's current rate design, Staff
15		recommends that the Commission consolidate the rates for Liberty's KMB water system.
16	Q.	WOULD THE COMPANY BE AGREEABLE TO STAFF'S ALTERNATIVE
17		RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL?
18	A.	While the Company continues to support its rate design proposal in this case, it would be
19		agreeable to consolidating the seven sets of rates for the KMB water systems.
20		VII. RESPONSE TO OMCA
21	Q.	WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THE DIRECT TESTIMONY PROVIDED BY
22		OMCA?

A. The direct testimony of Mr. Don Allsbury, filed on behalf of OMCA, identified a list of
 issues dating back to 2009.

3 Q. WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THE ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY OMCA?

A. In general, all of the issues identified by Mr. Allsbury relate to quality of service and
operational issues, primarily water main breaks and water pressure issues.

6 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY 7 OCMA?

8 A. As discussed earlier in my rebuttal testimony, prior to April 2018, Ozark Mountain was primarily operated by an outside contractor, R K Water Operations LLC. In April 2018, 9 after several issues concerning the quality of service provided by R K Water Operations, 10 11 the Company made a decision to terminate its contract. The Ozark Mountain water and wastewater system is now operated by Company employees. The Company's transition 12 to direct operation of its water and wastewater systems dates back to May 2017 when the 13 Company hired Mr. Paul Carlson to manage and operate its Missouri water/wastewater 14 systems. Both Mr. Carlson and the Company are committed to providing high quality, 15 safe, reliable service to the Company's water/wastewater customers in Missouri. As I 16 understand it, Mr. Carlson and Mr. Allsbury have been in communication on numerous 17 occasions and in February of 2018 met to review and walk through the issues identified 18 19 by OMCA, most of which date back many years in the past.

20

21

Q.

AND MR. ALLSBURY?

A. While I was not present at that meeting, it is my understanding based on my
 conversations with Mr. Carlson, as well as the fact that the issues identified and included

7

WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME OF THE MEETING BETWEEN MR. CARLSON

JILL SCHWARTZ REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

9	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
8		complete by the end of August 2018.
7		outages. The Company anticipates that the installation of these generators will be
6		pressurized water system so that customers will continue to have water during power
5		As a result, the Company is currently installing generators in Ozark Mountain's
4		Specifically, Mr. Allsbury identified multiple issues and reports of water pressure issues.
3		preparing a list and plan to remedy the issues and concerns raised by OMCA.
2		has already made significant improvements in the quality of service provided and is
1		in Mr. Allsbury's direct testimony do not extend beyond January 2018, that the Company

10 A. Yes, it does.

AFFIDAVIT OF JILL SCHWARTZ

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF JASPER)

On the <u>20th</u> day of July 2018, before me appeared Jill Schwartz, to me personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that she is Senior Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs of Liberty Utilities – Central Region and acknowledges that she has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>20th</u> day of July, 2018.

ANGELA M. CLOVEN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Jasper County My Commission Expires: November 01, 2019 Commission Number: 15262659

Notary Public

My commission expires: