BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Tariff Sheets Designed to Implement General Rate Increases for Water and Sewer)	
)	Case No. WR-2000-281
)	Tariff No. 200000366
Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri)	Tariff No. 200000367
rice Area of the Company.)	

ORDER DIRECTING FILING

The Commission has determined that its resolution of this matter would be facilitated by the filing of Late-filed Exhibits as hereinafter described.

Each party or group of parties shall prepare and submit a summary of the financial impact of its position herein. The exhibit must be based upon the record as it presently exists.

On a single page, each party shall set out a scenario depicting the calculation of the revenue requirement according to its position in this case, listing the revenue to be generated with respect to each contested issue herein and depicting the proposed return on equity. If the party's position on return of equity is a range of values rather than a single value, the mid-point shall be used in developing the exhibit. If a party has proposed district-specific revenue requirements, those must be clearly depicted.

On a second sheet, each party shall show the rate impact for each class of customer of its revenue requirement and return on equity scenario.

With respect to the rate impact on classes of customers, each party shall



assume that the customer in question is an average customer for the class the customer represents. The exhibit shall show what monthly rate that customer is paying today and what monthly rate that customer will be paying one year from today if the party's position is adopted. If a party has proposed a phase-in, the exhibit must depict the monthly rate impact at one-year intervals over the life of the phase-in. If a party has proposed a surcharge, the exhibit must depict the monthly rate impact with the surcharge, at yearly intervals over its life, and without a surcharge. If a party has proposed district-specific pricing, the exhibit must reflect the monthly rate impact on each class of customers for each district.

Additionally, each party shall prepare and file annotations supporting its scenarios as described above. These annotations shall indicate where in the record the Commission may find each figure appearing in the scenarios and shall succinctly describe the assumptions underlying each figure therein. For example, if a party has taken the position that only some fraction of the cost of the new St. Joseph plant be included in rate base, the annotation shall so state and shall give the fraction. Each annotation shall be clearly keyed to the figure it supports. For customer classes, the annotations shall state the monthly average usage figure assumed in gallons.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That each party or group of parties herein shall prepare and file, on or before 4:00 p.m. on August 15, 2000, an annotated Late-filed Exhibit as described above, with service on every other party to be accomplished by 4:00 p.m. on August 15, 2000.

- 2. That objections, if any, to any Late-filed Exhibit prepared in response to this Order shall be filed no later than 4:00 p.m. on August 17, 2000.
 - 3. That this order shall be effective on August 15, 2000.

BY THE COMMISSION

Hok Hred Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Kevin A. Thompson, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 8th day of August, 2000.