BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Staff of the Missouri Public Service)	
Commission,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. GC-2006-0491
)	
Missouri Pipeline Company, LLC;)	
Missouri Gas Company, LLC;)	
)	
Respondents.)	

RESPONDENTS' REPLY TO STAFF'S RESPONSE TO ITS REQUEST FOR MEDIATION

COME NOW Respondents Missouri Pipeline Company and Missouri Gas Company (hereafter "MPC" and "MGC") and Reply to Staff's Response to Request for Mediation. In support of its Reply, Respondents state as follows:

- 1. Staff's Response is unfortunate and comes as a surprise to Respondents. Staff's response shows that Staff is far beyond "impassioned" and demonstrates exactly why mediation should be pursued. The purpose of mediation is to have a neutral third-party help parties step back from their entrenched positions in effort to recognize common ground. Thus far, there has been no forum in which to seek a common ground. The parties need the help of a skilled person to help facilitate a negotiated resolution.
- 2. Staff states that Respondents have had months to request mediation and did not. This is not correct. Respondents remind the Commission that Respondents requested mediation when the initial complaint (GC-2006-0378) was filed and that Staff opposed mediation at that time on the grounds that it was premature until discovery was complete.

Respondents now request mediation because Staff's discovery is complete Unfortunately, even though that condition has been met, Staff now indicates that it is too late to seek mediation. This is disingenuous and reflects Staff's entrenched position.

- 3. It is unfortunate that Staff continues to respond with unfounded assertions. As stated in Respondents' previous pleadings, Respondents have done everything possible to cooperate with Staff's discovery requests. Staff's repetitive statements to the contrary do not change the fact that Respondents have turned over voluminous records, many of which were disclosed prior to the filing of the case with Respondents' full cooperation. Respondents are trying to offer an avenue to get beyond these unproductive hostilities and move forward with a negotiated resolution.
- 4. Respondents' Request is not made for the purpose of delaying the final outcome of matters as Staff suggests. Rather, Respondents are willing to try to resolve issues in a manner that will bring final resolution much sooner than protracted litigation and appeals. If Staff's position were followed, mediation would never be used and the public policy merits of mediation would be in vain.
- 5. Customers will not be prejudiced by undertaking mediation in December with the hearing proceeding in early 2007. The difference in time would be minimal. A resolution through mediation will allow the implementation of results much sooner that a protracted litigation and appeal.
- 6. Respondents are prepared to explore a negotiated resolution through mediation at this time. Respondents urge the Commission to require the parties to reasonably attempt to find common ground, since such opportunities have not been available without mediation.

WHEREFORE, Respondents respectfully request that the Commission to grant its Request for Mediation.

Respectfully submitted,

LATHROP & GAGE, L.C.

/s/ Paul S. Deford_

Paul S. Deford Mo. #29509 Suite 2800 2345 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, MO 64108-2612 Telephone: (816) 292-2000

Telephone: (816) 292-2000 Facsimile: (816) 292-2001

Aimee DG. Davenport Mo. #50989 314 E. High Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Phone: (573) 893-4336 FAX: (573) 893-5398

Email: adavenport@lathropgage.com

Attorneys for Respondents

Dated: December 1, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Respondents' Reply to Staff's Response to its Request for Mediation has been transmitted by e-mail or mailed, First Class, postage prepaid, this 1st day of December, 2006, to:

* Case No. GC-2006-0491

Name of Company Name of Party	Email Phone Fax	Mailing Address	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Missouri Public Service Commission General Counsel Office	GenCounsel@psc.mo.gov 573-751-2690 573-751-9285	P.O. Box 360	200 Madison Street, Suite 800	Jefferson City	MO	65102
Office of Public Counsel Mills Lewis	opcservice@ded.mo.gov 573-751-1304 573-751-5562	P.O. Box 2230	200 Madison Street, Suite 650	Jefferson City	МО	65102
AmerenUE Durley, Colly	Durley@smithlewis.com 573-443-3141 Ext 234 573-442-6686	P.O. Box 918	111 S. Ninth St., Suite 200	Columbia	MO	65205- 0918
AmerenUE Lowery James	lowery@smithlewis.com 573-443-3141 573-448-6686	P.O. Box 918	111 S. Ninth St., Suite 200	Columbia	MO	65205- 0918
AmerenUE Byrne Thomas	tbyrne@ameren.com 314.554.2514 314.554.4014	P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1310)	1901 Chouteau Avenue	St. Louis	МО	63166- 6149
Missouri Public Service Commission Shemwell Lera	Lera.Shemwell@psc.mo.gov	P.O. Box 360	200 Madison Street, Suite 800	Jefferson City	МО	65102
Municipal Gas Commission of Missouri Woodsmall David	dwoodsmall@fcplaw.com 573-635-2700 573-635-6998		428 E. Capitol Ave., Suite 300	Jefferson City	MO	65102
Municipal Gas Commission of Missouri Conrad Stuart	stucon@fcplaw.com 816-753-1122 816-756-0373		3100 Broadway, Suite 1209	Kansas City	MO	64111

Municipal Gas Commission of Missouri Kincheloe	dkincheloe@mpua.org 573-445-3279 573-445-0680	2407 W. Ash	Columbia	MO	65203
Duncan					

/s/	Paul	S. L	Deford	
-----	------	------	--------	--

Attorney for Respondents