Exhibit No.:

Issues: PSC Assessment

Contingency Fund

Witness: Jan

James A. Busch

Sponsoring Party:

MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Surrebuttal Testimony

File No.:

SR-2010-0320

Date Testimony Prepared:

December 28, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES A. BUSCH

TIMBER CREEK SEWER COMPANY

FILE NO. SR-2010-0320

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2010

Exhibit No. 2 (
Date 1-5-11 Reporter 74
File No. SR-2010-0320

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Application of Timber Creek Sewer Company Request for a Rate Increase. |) Case No. SR-2010-0320 | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES A. BUSCH | | | | | | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE) | | | | | | | |
| preparation of the following Surrebuttal consisting of pages of Surrebuttal T that the answers in the following Surrebutt | nis oath states: that he has participated in the Testimony in question and answer form, restimony to be presented in the above case, al Testimony were given by him; that he has answers; and that such matters are true to the | | | | | | |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014 Commission Number: 10942086 | 7 day of December, 2010. Justan Jundermeyer Notary Public | | | | | | |

| 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
|----------------------------|
| 8 9 10 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| |

1

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES A. BUSCH

TIMBER CREEK SEWER COMPANY

FILE NO. SR-2010-0320

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is James A. Busch and my business address is P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- Q. Are you the same James A. Busch that filed Direct and Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
 - A. Yes I am.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony of Timber Creek Sewer Company (Timber Creek or Company) witness Mr. Derek Sherry. Specifically, I will address Mr. Sherry's Rebuttal Testimony concerning the Contingency/Emergency Repair Fund.
 - Q. What is Timber Creek's proposal for a Contingency/Emergency Fund?
- A. In Mr. Sherry's Rebuttal Testimony, he indicates that the Company would indentify certain components that are critical for the Company and establish a ceiling for the amount of the fund. He then adds that this fund would be managed similar to a real estate escrow fund where agreed upon guidelines between the Company and PSC would establish acceptable uses for the fund, etc. (Sherry Rebuttal, page 5, lines 17 through 24).

| Q. Are there flaws in this approach | Q. | Are there | flaws in | this | approach |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----------|----------|------|----------|
|-------------------------------------|----|-----------|----------|------|----------|

- A. Yes. In, order to ensure that this type of mechanism would work properly, there must be appropriate standards in place to ensure the amount of dollars that would be collected, the limits to those dollars, the limits to the uses of those dollars, and the various level of protections for consumers would need to be discussed and determined before any type of fund could be proposed for Commission approval.
- Q. On page 6, lines 20 through 23 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Sherry indicates that Timber Creek would work with Staff to establish the parameters necessary to protect consumers. Does this alleviate Staff's concerns?
- A. No. Staff is interested in developing some sort of Contingency/Emergency Repair Fund. However, there are numerous details that need to be fully fleshed out to ensure that the fund is properly funded and all appropriate consumer safeguards are in place. The proper forum to continue working on these details is File No. WW-2009-0386. Trying to establish a fund in the days that are left before this case goes to hearing is not proper.
 - Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
 - A. Yes.