

Exhibit No.:	
Issue:	Facility Capacity
Witness:	Robert O Gaebe
Sponsoring Party:	Aqua Missouri
Type of Exhibit:	Surrebuttal Testimony
Case No.:	SC-2007-0044, et al.
Date Testimony Prepared:	February 2, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

AQUA MISSOURI, INC.

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF**

ROBERT O. GAEBE

BECKER v. AQUA MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. SC-2007-0044 et al.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Jason Becker,
Becker Development Company,

Complainant,

vs.

Aqua Missouri, Inc.,

Respondent.


Cause No. SC-2007-0044 et al.

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT O. GAEBE


STATE OF MISSOURI)

COUNTY OF)


Robert O. Gaebe, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of ___ pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.


Robert O. Gaebe

Before me personally appeared Robert O. Gaebe, who being duly sworn stated that the foregoing is true and correct.


Notary Public Shelly L. Groteluschen

My Commission Expires: March 4, 2010



SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROBERT O. GAEBE

BECKER v. AQUA MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. SC-2007-0044 et al.

Q. Please state your full name.

A. Robert O. Gaebe

Q. Have you previously testified in this matter?

A. Yes, I have previously submitted Direct Testimony.

Q. Has there been any change in your testimony since you previously gave your Direct Testimony?

A. No.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

A. To provide Surrebuttal Testimony to the report filed by Thomas Wells on behalf of Complainant Becker.

Q. Have you reviewed the report of Thomas Wells filed as Rebuttal Testimony in this case?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you identified any problems or deficiencies with that report?

A. Yes. I noticed what seems to be an error in the item #15 testimony of Becker Development Company. The third page of the "Lake Carmel Lagoon Volume Confirmation" for Jason Becker by Thomas Wells is a sketch of the three cells with field

1 topographical shots labeled on it. I believe point number 70 is on the perimeter of the
2 secondary cell not the primary cell. If this change is made to the sketch, the berm
3 between the primary cell and the other two will be relatively straight and of uniform
4 width. This straight uniform berm configuration can be seen in the field as well as on
5 satellite imagery. This revision will most likely change the calculated quantities for the
6 primary and secondary cells in the Wells report. My notations regarding this error are
7 attached hereto as Exhibit A to my Surrebuttal Testimony.

8 Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?

9 A. Yes.

