BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Spectra)
Communications Group, LLC, for Approval of its)
Interconnection, Resale, and Unbundling) Case No. TK-2005-0453
Agreement with Chariton Valley Telecom) Case No. 1K-2003-0433
Corporation Under 47 U.S.C. § 252.)
)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

- 1. On May 31, 2005, Spectra Communications Group, LLC, filed an application with the Commission for approval of a proposed resale and facilities-based interconnection agreement (Agreement) with Chariton Valley Telecom Corporation. On June 30, 2005, the contracting parties filed Appendix F to be appended to the Agreement.
- 2. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff states that the Agreement, with Appendix F appended, meets the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. § 252(e)) in that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and is not against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

WHEREFORE, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Agreement, with Appendix F appended, pursuant to the Act and direct the parties to submit any modifications or amendments.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

/s/ William K. Haas

William K. Haas Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
william.haas@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 1st day of July, 2005.

/s/ William K. Haas

MEMORANDUM

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. TK-2005-0453		
	Party: Spectra Communications Group, LLC		
	Type of Certification:		
	None		
	Basic Local		
	Local (restricted to private line)		
	Local (no restrictions)		
	Interexchange		
	Party: Chariton Valley Telecom Corporation		
	None		
	Basic Local		
	Local (restricted to private line)		
	Local (no restrictions)		
	☐ Interexchange		
From:	Walt Cecil, Telecommunications Department		
	•		
	// WILL L 20 2005		
	WLV / June 30, 2005 Utility Operations Division/Date /s/ WKH June 30, 2005 General Counsel Office/Date		
	Utility Operations Division/Date General Counsel Office/Date		
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement		
•			
Date:	June 30, 2005		
Date Filed:	05/31/2005 Staff Deadline: July 7, 2005		
Date Pheu.	03/31/2003 Staff Doubling 1, 1 = 000		
The Telecor	mmunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted		
	the submitted (may check more than one):		
5 2	D. I. A		
\boxtimes	Resale Agreement		
\boxtimes	Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement		
	Wireless Interconnection Agreement		
The parties	s submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service		

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

		Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.	
	\boxtimes	Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.	
Interconnection Agreement Review Items			
\boxtimes	No app	plications to intervene filed.	
\boxtimes	Agree	ment signed by both Parties.	
assess: The relief/s should deling (N	ment. e Compaction of the instruction of the instru	any is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC any is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested in the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant ructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the lareport Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:	
		achment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special s: X Yes No	
(TBA) (CA). agreen	or are On Jun nent, id nents the	interconnection agreement contains rates identified as to be determined identified as to be found in a separately negotiated commercial agreement e 30, 2005 the parties filed an addendum to the proposed interconnection entified as Appendix F, in which the parties agree to submit any additional tey may negotiate in which rates heretofore identified as TBD or found in a for Commission approval. Staff recommends approval of the instant	

agreement.