## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption	)	
Of the PURPA Section 111(d)(11) Net Metering	)	Case No. EO-2006-0493
Standard as Required by Section 1251 of the	)	
Energy Policy Act of 2005.	)	

# RESPONSE OF AMERENUE TO STAFF'S SUGGESTIONS REGARDING FUTURE PROCEEDINGS

COMES NOW Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE) and for its response to *Staff's Suggestions Regarding Future Proceedings* states as follows:

### I. BACKGROUND

1. On June 23, 2006, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) established this case to consider and make a determination as to whether to adopt the net metering standard established in Section 1251 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005). Specifically, the net metering standard, the standard in question, requires the Commission to make a determination as to whether

Each electric utility shall make available upon request net metering service to any electric consumer that the electric utility serves. For purposed of this paragraph, the term 'net metering service' means service to an electric consumer under which electric energy generated by that electric consumer from an eligible on-site generating facility and delivered to the local distribution facilities may be used to offset electric energy provide by the electric utility to the electric consumer during the applicable billing period. (PURPA §111(d)(11)).

- 2. On September 15, 2006, AmerenUE and other parties in this case filed response to a list of questions found in the Commission order of August 17, 2006.
- 3. On September 22, 2006, a technical conference was held for the purpose of determining whether a consensus could be reached on how this case should proceed.
- 4. On September 29, 2006, Staff made a filing titled *Staff's Suggestions Regarding*Future Proceedings. While the pleading restated Staff's position that this case could be closed

based on prior state action, specifically Section 386.887 RSMo (Cum. Supp. 2006) and 4 CSR 240-20.065, it also recommended the following actions be taken if the case is not closed: that an EX case be opened to consider limited revisions to Rule 4 CSR 240-20.065; that an EW case be opened to consider recommending revisions to Section 386.877 RSMo (Cum. Supp. 2006); and that this case remain open to allow the Commission to adopt the recommended changes to 4 CSR 240-20.065 that may result from the EX case.

### II. AMERENUE'S REPONSE

- 5. As it stated in its September 15, 2006 pleading, AmerenUE also believes that this case may be closed by the Commission without further consideration of the above cited standard because of prior state action. The legislature of the State of Missouri has already considered and implemented standards for net metering. As Staff points out, this issue was addressed in 386.887 RSMo (Cum. Supp. 2006), entitled the Consumer Clean Energy Act. This Act sets standards for the interconnection of qualified net metering units with electric utilities in the State of Missouri. Further, the Commission has considered and adopted regulations for net metering and interconnection in 4 CSR 240-20.065 et. seq. This is also sufficient to qualify as prior state action.
- 6. Certain parties have argued that the net metering standard is not precisely the same as the standards contained within EPAct 2005 and thus does not qualify as prior state action. AmerenUE disagrees with this overly strict interpretation of EPAct 2005. There is no requirement that the states adopt the exact language of the EPAct 2005 standard. EPAct 2005 uses the phrase "comparable standard" when discussing the prior state action exemption. In fact, EPAct 2005 defines a prior state action to include the scenario when a standard has been considered and not adopted. The net metering standards contained within the above cited statute and rules are sufficiently comparable to the standards which the Commission would consider

under EPAct 2005. They provide for a method of net energy metering and establish standards

for measuring electric generation by consumers as well as for billing and crediting the consumer

for such generation. This addresses the issues contained within the EPAct 2005 section on net

metering.

7. As the prior state action exception in PURPA §112(a) has been met, the

Commission is not obligated to undertake any further consideration of this standard and should

make a finding as such and close the case.

WHEREFORE, AmerenUE respectfully requests that the Commission accept this

Response to the Staff Suggestions Regarding Future Proceedings and find that (a) it has no

obligation to consider the net metering standard found within Section 1251 of EPAct 2005

because of prior state action on this topic; (b) that the prior state action adequately addresses this

topic; and (c) that this case should be closed.

Respectfully submitted,

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

d/b/a AmerenUE

By Thomas M. Byrne

Steven R. Sullivan, #33102

Sr. Vice President, General

Counsel and Secretary

Thomas M. Byrne, #33340

Managing Assoc. General Counsel

Ameren Services Company

P.O. Box 66149

St. Louis, MO 63166-6149

(314) 554-2514 (phone)

(314) 554-4014 (fax)

ssullivan@ameren.com

tbyrne@ameren.com

Dated: October 13, 2006

3

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 13th day of October. 2006.

Thomas M. Byrne

Thomas M. Byrne

General Counsel Office Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 GenCounsel@psc.mo.gov

Lewis Mills Office Of Public Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

Dennis Frey Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Denny.Frey@psc.mo.gov

James M. Fischer Kansas City Power & Light Company 101 Madison--Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 jfischerpc@aol.com

David Woodsmall AG Processing, Inc 428 E. Capitol Ave., Suite 300 Jefferson City, MO 65102 dwoodsmall@fcplaw.com

Stuart W. Conrad AG Processing, Inc 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111 stucon@fcplaw.com

Russell L. Mitten Aquila Networks 312 E. Capitol Ave P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102 rmitten@brydonlaw.com

Diana M. Vuylsteke Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Henry B. Robertson Audubon Missouri 705 Olive Street Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101

Shelly Woods

P.O. Box 899

Kathleen G. Henry Audubon Missouri 705 Olive Street, Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101 khenry@greatriverslaw.org

hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Curtis D. Blanc Missouri Department of Natural Resources Kansas City Power & Light Company 1201 Walnut, 20th Floor Kansas City, MO 64106 Curtis.Blanc@kcpl.com