Exhibit No.: Issues: Weather Normalization, AROs, Rate Case Expense, Credit Card Fees, Capital Structure, Cost of Debt, Stipulation Conditions Witness: Sheri Richard Type of Ex: Surrebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: The Empire District Electric Company Case No.: ER-2019-0374 Date Testimony Prepared: March 2020

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

**Surrebuttal Testimony** 

of

Sheri Richard

on behalf of

The Empire District Electric Company a Liberty Utilities Company

March 2020



#### SHERI RICHARD SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF SHERI RICHARD THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2019-0374

SUBJ	IECT	PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT	2
III.	ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS	3
IV.	RATE CASE EXPENSE AND CREDIT CARD FEES	7
V.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE, DEBT, AND STIPULATION CONDITIONS	
VI.	CORRECTIONS	9

#### SHERI RICHARD SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF SHERI RICHARD THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2019-0374

#### 1 I. INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Sheri Richard. My business address is 602 South Joplin Avenue, Joplin,
MO, 64802.

#### 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. as the Director of Rates and
Regulatory Affairs for Liberty Utilities Central Region, which includes The Empire
District Electric Company, a Liberty Utilities company ("Liberty-Empire" or
"Company"), as well as gas, water and wastewater utilities serving in the Central
Region.

# 11 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME SHERI RICHARD THAT FILED DIRECT AND 12 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY 13 EMPIRE?

14 A. Yes.

## 15 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN 16 THIS PROCEEDING?

A. My surrebuttal testimony addresses the following issues contained in the rebuttal
testimonies of the respective witnesses:

NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWON USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incremental customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's		Rebuttal Testimony								
Staff Witness Bolin       Asset Retirement Obligations         OPC Witness Conner       Rate Case Expense and Credit Card Fees         OPC Witness Murray       Capital Structure, Cost of Debt and Stipulation Conditions         I also make a correction to my Rebuttal Testimony with regard to a padjustment.         WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT         WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH         COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA         FACTOR?         Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to recent that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage.         DID       THE       COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT         NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV       USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE?         No.       The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's transmitter of the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's transmitter of the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's transmitter of the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's transmitter of the second The second The second the second the second the	Sponsoring Party	Торіс								
OPC Witness Conner         Rate Case Expense and Credit Card Fees           OPC Witness Murray         Capital Structure, Cost of Debt and Stipulation Conditions           I also make a correction to my Rebuttal Testimony with regard to a padjustment.           WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT           WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH           COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA           FACTOR?           Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to record that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first           usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage.           DID         THE           NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV           USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE?           No.         The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's method block usage.	Staff Witness Bocklage	Weather Normalization Adjustment								
OPC Witness Murray       Capital Structure, Cost of Debt and Stipulation Conditions         I also make a correction to my Rebuttal Testimony with regard to a padjustment.         WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT         WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH         COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA         FACTOR?         Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to recent that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first         usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage.         DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT         NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV         USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE?         No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incremental customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custower's dill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's the customer's consumption in the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's custo	taff Witness Bolin Asset Retirement Obligations									
also make a correction to my Rebuttal Testimony with regard to a predjustment. WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA FACTOR? Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to reconstructed hat a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incremental customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custof bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's consumption.	OPC Witness Conner	Rate Case Expense and Credit Card Fees								
djustment. WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA FACTOR? Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to rece hat a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementary sustomer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the plock, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custof bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second Chis demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's Company applied to usage and the block where the customer's	OPC Witness Murray	OPC Witness Murray Capital Structure, Cost of Debt and Stipulation Conditions								
WHAT CONCERNS DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA FACTOR? Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to rece that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's		tion to my Rebuttal Testimony with regard to a payr								
COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZA FACTOR? Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to rece that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	VEATHER NORMAI	LIZATION ADJUSTMENT								
FACTOR? Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to rece that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	WHAT CONCERNS I	DID STAFF WITNESS BOCKLAGE HAVE WITH TH								
Witness Bocklage claims that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to rece that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. <b>DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT</b> <b>NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV</b> <b>USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE</b> ? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	COMPANY'S APPL	ICATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZATIO								
that a relationship exists between usage per customer and the percentage of first usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. <b>DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT</b> <b>NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV</b> <b>USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE?</b> No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's	FACTOR?									
usage, and as a result, needs to be adjusted to reflect per block usage. <b>DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT</b> <b>NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV</b> <b>USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE?</b> No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementation customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custof bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer's customer's customer's customer's a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's customer'	Vitness Bocklage clain	ns that Liberty-Empire's proposed method fails to recogni								
DID THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEAT NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments increments customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer's	hat a relationship exists	s between usage per customer and the percentage of first blo								
NORMALIZATION FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETV USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments incrementa customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	sage, and as a result, ne	eeds to be adjusted to reflect per block usage.								
USAGE PER CUSTOMER AND BLOCK USAGE? No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments increments customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	DID THE COME	PANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATH								
No. The Company applied the weather normalization adjustments increments customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	ORMALIZATION I	FACTOR IGNORE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEE								
customer's consumption. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	JSAGE PER CUSTON	MER AND BLOCK USAGE?								
block, the weather impact was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custo bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	Io. The Company app	plied the weather normalization adjustments incrementally								
bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	ustomer's consumptior	n. If a customer's bill included only consumption in the fi								
This demonstrates a relationship to usage and the block where the customer'	lock, the weather impa	act was applied to the first block. Likewise, if the custome								
	bill included second block usage, the weather impact was applied to the second block.									
usage falls	This demonstrates a rel	lationship to usage and the block where the customer's to								
usage fails.	usage falls.									
DOES THE COMPANY'S METHOD REFLECT HOW WEATHER WO	DOES THE COMPAN	NY'S METHOD REFLECT HOW WEATHER WOUI								

21 IMPACT THE USAGE INCLUDED IN EACH BLOCK?

A. Yes. The weather adjustment would, by its nature, apply to the highest block in
which a customer's consumption occurred. For example, a customer that used only
500 kWh only consumed energy in the first block. As such, any adjustment for
weather would be applied incrementally to the 500 kWh usage and the adjustment
would be included in the first block. Likewise, the weather adjustment for a customer
that consumed energy in the second block would be applied incrementally to the

## 9 Q. DOES THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION OF THE WEATHER 10 NORMALIZATION FACTOR PRODUCE EXPECTED RESULTS?

11 Yes. As is commonly understood, customers utilize a base level of usage regardless A. 12 of temperature. As weather gets more extreme, a customer will either require more 13 energy to cool or heat their home. In addition, since the average customer uses 14 slightly over 1,000 kWh, it makes sense that more of the weather sensitivity would 15 get applied to the second block, which is usage above 600 kWh. As expected, under 16 the Company's method, the second block of usage is adjusted more than the first 17 block. Therefore, we believe the Company's proposed methodology more accurately 18 reflects a normal level of kWh sales by block.

19

III.

1

#### ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

#### 20 Q. DID THE COMPANY INCLUDE AN ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

## 21 ("ARO") IN ITS REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATION IN THE 22 CURRENT CASE?

A. Yes. The Company included ARO balances in rate base for costs paid to remove
asbestos at the Asbury and Riverton generating units, as well as, costs paid to settle

obligations related to the coal ash ponds at Asbury, Iatan, and Riverton. In addition,
 the Company included an income statement adjustment for amortization of the
 associated AROs (IS ADJ 35).

4

5

### Q. STAFF WITNESS BOLIN DESCRIBES AN ARO AS A NON-LEGAL OBLIGATION. IS THAT CORRECT?

A. No. An ARO is not a "non-legal" obligation as witness Bolin asserts, nor is it the
same as asset removal costs. As I stated in my Direct Testimony, an ARO is a legal
obligation associated with a tangible long-lived asset that results from the acquisition,
construction, development, or normal operation of a long-lived asset, in which the
timing or method of settlement is conditional on a future event.

## 11 Q. WHEN AND HOW ARE AROS RECOGNIZED IN THE COMPANY'S 12 BOOKS AND RECORDS?

A. An ARO is a liability that is recognized when incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair
 value can be made, and it should be initially measured at fair value. If fair value
 cannot be reasonably estimated, the ARO should be recognized when a reasonable
 estimate of fair value can be made, see Surrebuttal Schedule SDR-1.<sup>1</sup>

#### 17 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF AN ARO?

A. Yes. A good example is lease of land related to a wind farm. Wind turbines may
exist on land leased for thirty years from a landowner with a lease requirement to
remove the wind turbines at the end of the thirty years. The lease requirement to
remove the wind turbines is an ARO.

#### 22 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF ASSET REMOVAL COSTS?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deloitte - A Roadmap to Accounting for Environmental Obligations and Asset Retirement Obligations 2018, Pg. 72.

1	A.	Yes. Utilizing the same wind farm example, the wind turbine's depreciation rate
2		includes an asset removal cost component that relates to the replacement of individual
3		units of property that are expected to be replaced over the 30 year life of the wind
4		farm due to equipment failure or damage.
5	Q.	DOES WITNESS BOLIN BELIEVE ARO COSTS ARE THE SAME AS
6		REMOVAL COSTS AND THAT THEY ARE INCLUDED IN THE
7		COMPANY'S DEPRECIATION RATES?
8	А.	Yes, it appears so. In Staff witness Bolin's surrebuttal testimony, she states
9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Empire has already received rate recovery of future asset removal costs through application of depreciation rates which contain a cost of removal component. Allowing recovery of ARO costs in addition to the cost of removal accruals included in Empire's depreciation expense will likely result in double recovery of certain asset removal costs.
15 16	Q.	DO THE COMPANY'S DEPRECIATION RATES INCLUDE ARO OR
17		<b>DISMANTLEMENT COSTS</b> ?
18	A.	No. Ms. Bolin is correct that the Company's depreciation rates include recovery for
19		asset removal costs. However, asset removal costs included in depreciation rates are
20		not the same as the costs to satisfy a legal obligation. The Company's depreciation
21		rates only include removal costs of individual components of property as described in
22		Dockets ER-2016-023 and ER-2004-0570. No dismantlement costs or ARO costs are
23		included. <sup>2</sup>
24	Q.	DOES THE FERC UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS DEFINITION FOR
25		ASSET REMOVAL COSTS INCLUDE AROS?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Docket ER-2016-023 Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Josh Robinett pg. 9 ln2 13-16 and ER-2004-0570 Report and Order pg. 29-53.

A. No. AROs are specifically excluded. Per FERC's definition of cost of removal "...It
 does not include the cost of removal activities associated with *asset retirement obligations* that are capitalized as part of the tangible long-lived assets that give rise
 to the obligation..."<sup>3</sup>

5

6

### Q. HAS THE COMPANY INCLUDED AMORTIZATION FOR THESE ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS PRIOR TO THIS CASE?

- A. No. To my knowledge, the Company has not sought recovery or recovered through
  depreciation or amortization these costs before the current rate application.
- 9 Q. STAFF WITNESS BOLIN CLAIMS RATE TREATMENT FOR AN ARO
  10 SHOULD ONLY BE CONSIDERED IF THE AMOUNT IS KNOWN AND
  11 MEASURABLE. DO YOU AGREE?
- A. Yes. As stated above, an ARO is only recognized when the legal obligation is
  incurred, which means it is known, and only if a reasonable estimate of the fair value
  can be made, which means it is measurable.

# Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE REASONS FOR INCLUSION OF THE ARO ASSET AND THE AMORTIZATION OF SUCH IN THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED REVENUE REQUIREMENT.

A. AROs are legal obligations that the Company has incurred. The costs are known and are measurable, and they have not previously been recovered through rates. Therefore, there is no double recovery of costs nor any question that the Company has already paid to satisfy the obligations or will pay to satisfy the obligation. For these reasons, the costs for the AROs described above should be included in the determination of the Company's revenue requirement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://ecfr.io/Title-18/pt18.1.101</u>

1

#### IV. RATE CASE EXPENSE AND CREDIT CARD FEES

- 2 Q. DID OPC WITNESS CONNER CHANGE HER RATE CASE EXPENSE
   3 ADJUSTMENT IN HER REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes. Witness Conner agrees with Staff's adjustment to include \$560 for certain travel
  expenses the Company incurred when traveling to Jefferson City, Missouri. Witness
  Conner also removes the line loss study expense from rate case expense and includes
  a normal level in the Company's cost of service. While the Company believes its
  travel expenses are reasonable, it does not oppose the \$560 adjustment for the travel
  expenses to Jefferson City, nor does the Company oppose the normalization of the
  Loss Study costs.

#### 11 Q. DOES OPC WITNESS CONNER ALSO ADDRESS CREDIT CARD FEES?

12 A. Yes. She opposes in her Rebuttal Testimony inclusion of credit card fees in the13 Company's cost of service.

## 14 Q. IS OPC WITNESS CONNER CONSISTENT IN HER RECOMMENDATIONS 15 ON HOW TO TREAT PAYMENT OPTIONS?

No. She appears to support including all options in the cost of service except the 16 A. 17 option to pay with a credit card, even though a significant portion of our customers<sup>4</sup> 18 utilize this option. Ms. Conner does not dispute that costs for payment options, such 19 as online payments, kiosks, and lockboxes should be included in the Company's cost 20 to serve its customers. However, her rationale for not including the credit card fees 21 focuses on unquantified statements that only customers who have the 'means to pay' 22 via credit cards benefit. Ms. Conner ignores the benefits paying by credit card affords 23 our customers as paying over the phone with a credit card is a benefit for those that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OPC witness Amanda Conner Direct Testimony, pg. 9, lns. 20-21: "...25% of Empire customers used credit cards to pay their electric bill."

may be confined to their home, paying with a credit card benefits those who may be
 short on funds, and paying with a credit card is an efficient method of payment saving
 time and money for our customers versus driving to a walk-in facility.

#### 4 V. <u>CAPITAL STRUCTURE, DEBT, AND STIPULATION CONDITIONS</u>

#### 5 Q. IS OPC WITNESS MURRAY CORRECT IN HIS ASSUMPTION THAT YOU

### 6 ARE THE PRIMARY COMPANY WITNESS FOR THE CAPITAL 7 STRUCTURE AND COST OF DEBT?

8 No. Company witness Robert Hevert testified in his Direct Testimony about the cost A. 9 of debt, and the Company's capital structure. In addition, Company witness Mark 10 Timpe submitted Rebuttal Testimony explaining in detail a financing arrangement 11 between the Company and LUCo and cost savings related to financing arrangements the 12 Company has experienced since it was acquired. I testified to the cost of debt utilized 13 in the calculation of the revenue requirement. The cost of debt, a contractual 14 obligation used in the revenue requirement calculation, was supported by WP 6.1. 15 WP 6.1 reconciles to the Company's ledger account for long term debt and provides 16 details of the long term debt. WP 6.1 was provided to the parties as part of the 17 Company's workpapers. I have also attached WP 6.1 here as Surrebuttal Schedule 18 SDR-2.

# 19 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROVIDED TESTIMONY OF ITS COMPLIANCE 20 WITH THE MERGER FINANCING CONDITION ORDERED IN EM-2016 21 0213?

A. Yes. Company witness Robert Hevert testified in his Direct Testimony as to how the
 Company has complied with the stipulation conditions related to cost of capital and
 capital structure as ordered in EM-2016-0213.

1	Q.	OPC WITNESS MURRAY ALLEGES THE COMPANY HAS NOT
2		COMPLIED WITH CONDITION 3 SECTION G AS ORDERED IN EM-2016-
3		0213 WITH REGARD TO DATA REQUEST RESPONSES. DO YOU AGREE?
4	A.	No. In responding to data requests, the Company has complied with the merger
5		stipulation and the Commission's rules. The merger stipulation referenced above
6		specifically contemplates objections for lack of relevance.
7	VI.	CORRECTIONS
8	Q.	ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS THAT NEED TO BE MADE TO YOUR
9		<b>REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?</b>
10	A.	Yes. In my Rebuttal Testimony, I stated that Staff used incorrect test year balances
11		for incentive pay in their payroll adjustment. I go on to state that had they used the
12		correct amount, the test year balance for payroll and overtime would have been
13		\$38,771,948, resulting in a pro forma adjustment of \$1,902,843, at September 30,
14		2019.
15	Q.	WHAT CORRECTIONS WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE?
16	A.	The Company's response to data request MPSC DR 33.1 attached hereto as
17		Surrebuttal Schedule SDR-3, shows the total amount of incentive compensation that
18		was included in Liberty-Empire's general ledger during the test year, however, this
19		data request was not filed until after the deadline for rebuttal testimony. As a result, I
20		am correcting my position from my Rebuttal Testimony.

# Q. WHAT SHOULD THE CORRECT LEVEL OF TEST YEAR PAYROLL AND OVERTIME HAVE BEEN?

A. The test year level of payroll and overtime that should have been used by Staff,
excluding the amount of expensed incentive compensation from MPSC DR 33.1, is

1	\$37,267,266.	This	results	in	Staff's	pro	forma	adjustment	being	\$3,407,524	for
2	payroll and ov	vertim	e at Sep	ten	nber 30,	2019	).				

- **3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**
- 4 A. Yes.

#### SHERI RICHARD SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### VERIFICATION OF SHERI RICHARD

Sheri Richard, under penalty of perjury, declares that the foregoing surrebuttal testimony is true and correct to the best of her/his knowledge, information, and belief.

/s/Sherí Ríchard

Sheri Richard Director Rates and Regulatory Affairs

## Chapter 4 — Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations

This chapter provides an overview of the accounting and disclosure requirements for AROs in ASC 410-20, along with certain interpretive guidance on applying the scope, initial recognition, initial measurement, and subsequent measurement provisions of this accounting guidance. Chapter 5 then provides examples of AROs commonly encountered in certain industries, along with a discussion of accounting and financial reporting issues that companies in those industries commonly encounter when accounting for such AROs.

#### 4.1 Overview of ASC 410-20

ASC 410-20 provides the relevant guidance on accounting for AROs and generally applies to "[l]egal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that result from the acquisition, construction, or development and (or) the normal operation of a long-lived asset" (ASC 410-20-15-2). An ARO is recognized when incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, and it should be initially measured at fair value. If its fair value cannot be reasonably estimated, the ARO should be recognized when a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made.

When initially recognizing an ARO, an entity should capitalize the asset retirement cost by increasing the long-lived asset's carrying value by the same amount as the ARO. Subsequently, changes to the ARO should be recognized for changes due to the passage of time (accretion of the ARO) and revisions to either the timing or the amount of the original estimate of cash flows used for measuring the fair value of the liability. The entity should recognize changes due to the passage of time as an operating expense and an increase to the ARO by applying an interest method allocation to the ARO at the beginning of the period, using the credit-adjusted risk-free rate at the time the initial ARO was recognized and measured. Changes in subsequent measurement of the ARO resulting from revisions to the estimated timing or amount of cash flows should be recognized as an increase or decrease in the carrying amount of the ARO and the related long-lived asset. The entity should measure increases in estimated cash flows by using the current credit-adjusted risk-free rate (creating an additional "layer" of the ARO), and it should measure decreases in estimated cash flows by using the care as an initially recognized. In addition, the entity should subsequently recognize as expense (depreciate) the amount capitalized as part of the cost of the related long-lived asset by using a systematic and rational method over the long-lived asset's economic useful life.

Application of the guidance in ASC 410-20 can be complex and requires significant management estimates and judgment. The sections below further discuss the scope of ASC 410-20 as well as the initial and subsequent recognition and measurement provisions of this guidance, including some of the practical challenges that entities may encounter in applying those provisions.



The Empire District Electric Company Missouri Jurisdiction Docket No. ER-2019-0374 Surrebuttal Schedule SDR-2

Line		GL			Amount	Annual
No.	FERC	Account	Description	Reference	Per Books	Costs
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	221	221500	4.65% Series, Due 6/1/2020	TB 03-19	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 4,650,000
2	221	221801	5.875%, Due 2037		80,000,000	4,700,000
3	221	221803	5.2% Series, Due 9/1/2040		50,000,000	2,600,000
4	221	221804	3.58% Series, Due 2027		88,000,000	3,150,400
5	221	221805	3.73% Series, Due 5/30/2033		30,000,000	1,119,000
6	221	221806	4.32% Series, Due 5/30/2043		120,000,000	5,184,000
7	221	221807	4.27% Series, Due 12/01/2044		60,000,000	2,562,000
8	221	221808	3.59% FMB Series due 8-20-2030		60,000,000	2,154,000
9	223	223120	4.53% Note Payable to LUC, due 6-1-2033		90,000,000	4,077,000
10	224	224102	6.7% Series, Due 2033		62,000,000	4,154,000
11	224	224103	5.8% Series, Due 7/1/2035	$\checkmark$	40,000,000	2,320,000
12			Premium, Discounts and Expense		-	1,120,660
13			Total Long Term Debt		\$ 780,000,000	\$ 37,791,060
14			Annual Cost Rate:			4.85%

#### SURREBUTTAL SCHEDULE SDR-3



The Empire District Electric Company A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC Data Request – 0033.1

Date of Response: 03/09/2020 Respondent: Christi Botts/Mindy Forbes

Data Request Received: 02/11/20 Request No. 0033.1

Submitted by: Caroline Newkirk (caroline.newkirk@psc.mo.gov)

#### **REQUEST:**

In DR 0033 Staff asked for the dollar amount and accounts to which incentive compensation was recorded. Staff was provided with the attachment labeled: "MPSC\_DR\_0033 – Incentive Plan." After a follow-up phone call on 2/6/2020 Empire further clarified that this breakdown of incentive pay was for the short term plans of the Empire Electric district only. Please provide the account numbers and corresponding amounts that are recorded in Empire Electric's general ledger for ALL incentive pay for the test year (04/2018 – 03/2019). Please be sure to include both short and long term plans, both direct and indirect assigned amounts, and all districts including Empire Electric, Empire Labs, LU Labs, and Central Region.

#### **RESPONSE:**

Please refer to the below attachments for incentive compensation recorded in the Company's test year for Empire Electric, Empire LABS, LU LABS and Central Region (which includes accruals and true-up entries for incentive compensation). As previously mentioned the Company did not track for general ledger purposes the split between Short Term and Long Term incentives during the test year for indirect charges.

- <u>Summary Incentive Compensation</u>
- Incentive Pay Accrual STIP & LTIP

For the direct account numbers please refer to the document labeled: "Incentive Pay Accruals – STIP & LTIP"

The respective general ledger accounts for LABS US, ELABS and Central Region are charged to the following accounts:

- 923510 Corporate Services (LABS US & ELABS)
- 923610 Business Services (LABS US & ELABS)
- 923810 Central Indirect Allocs

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 0033.1

STIP/LTIP	Amount	Source
Empire Incentive	3,268,253	Incentive Pay Accruals - STIP LTIP
Empire 2017 Accrual	(762,580)	Incentive Pay Accruals - STIP LTIP
Empire 2018 Accrual	-	Incentive Pay Accruals - STIP LTIP
Empire	402,725	Incentive Pay Accruals - STIP LTIP
LABS - US	296,919	Indirect Invoices
ELABS	128,377	Indirect Invoices
Central Region	744,534	Indirect Invoices
Total Incentive Per GL:	4,078,229	

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 TME 3-31-19 STIP Costs

Unit Account Dept Product Line Descr	Amount Journal ID Period	Year Date
163001 Total	1,754.79	. <u></u>
163316 Total	14,298.36	
184331 Total	34,894.72	
184620 Total	643,731.59	
184621 Total	5,042.01	
184622 Total	11,969.03	
184630 Total	72,911.00	
184810 Total	13,989.53	
184920 Total	-	
500036 Total	24,423.91	
500039 Total	204,099.47	
501601 Total	8,342.54	
510030 Total	80,911.57	
535301 Total	15,244.66	
541304 Total	13,642.55	
546204 Total	28,290.57	
546205 Total	38,195.60	
546207 Total	249,168.89	
547605 Total	1,191.82	
547606 Total	1,191.82	
548123 Total	14,725.43	
549120 Total	20,537.37	
551201 Total	101,108.47	
556410 Total	11,912.75	
556412 Total	83,859.24	
556413 Total	87,073.99	
560628 Total	6,051.90	
560629 Total	9,920.38	
561404 Total	29,027.95	
568631 Total	18,825.91	
570517 Total	2,455.04	
571001 Total	33,830.35	
571062 Total	2,951.15	
580001 Total	98,297.50	
580002 Total	2,540.97	
580627 Total	17,920.69	
580628 Total	8,188.12	
580686 Total 583019 Total	9,551.88	
583500 Total	(212.34) (212.34)	
586028 Total	573.53	
588120 Total	11,470.03	
588120 Total	11,470.03	
588621 Total	6,604.72	
588623 Total	8,273.03	
588630 Total	23,877.67	
555555 10141	23,077.07	

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 TME 3-31-19 STIP Costs

\$90001 Total         25,559.28           \$90620 Total         8,273.03           \$90630 Total         15,416.59           \$93062 Total         38,732.33           \$93500 Total         2,316.48           \$94062 Total         5,511.62           901001 Total         216,464.59           902007 Total         16,729.06           902007 Total         9,377.49           903013 Total         883.00           903022 Total         16,884.21           903028 Total         19,947.90           903101 Total         27,419.15           906043 Total         21,007.03           907101 Total         27,419.15           908043 Total         54,516.69           908106 Total         24,856.65           908106 Total         21,007.03           908106 Total         21,007.03           908106 Total         21,24           912002 Total         212.24           912002 Total         212.24           912002 Total         212.24           912002 Total         21,24           912002 Total         24,475.87           920504 Total         2,428.83           920501 Total         2,428.83	Unit Account Dept Product Line Descr	Amount	Journal ID	Period	Year	Date
590630 Total       15,416.59         593500 Total       38,732.33         593500 Total       2,316.48         594062 Total       5151.62         901001 Total       216,464.59         902007 Total       9,337.49         903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903022 Total       16,884.21         903023 Total       89,062.11         903013 Total       883.00         903023 Total       16,884.21         903024 Total       17,063.21         907101 Total       77,419.15         908043 Total       21,007.03         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       25,867.87         908106 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       24,28.83         920201 Total       24,475.87         920301 Total       2,428.83         920301 Total       2,4475.87         920301 Total       2,4475.87         920501 Total       2,151.02         920501 Total       2,1470.03         920707 Total       2,1470.03         920707 Total       14,470.03         920707 Tota	590001 Total	25,559.28				
593062 Total       38,732.33         593060 Total       2,316.48         593062 Total       5,511.62         901001 Total       126,464.59         901201 Total       16,729.06         902007 Total       9,337.49         903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         90310 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       7,063.21         908043 Total       21,007.03         908043 Total       21,007.03         908104 Total       21,007.03         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912002 Total       212.24         912002 Total       21,224         912002 Total       21,24         912002 Total       24,475.87         920501 Total       24,475.87         920501 Total       21,151.02     <	590620 Total	8,273.03				
593500 Total       2,316.48         594062 Total       5,511.62         901001 Total       216,464.59         901201 Total       9,337.49         902007 Total       9,337.49         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         90701 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       21,007.03         908101 Total       21,224         908106 Total       212.24         908107 Total       212.24         912022 Total       212.24         912022 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       212.24         912022 Total       11,7861.67         920301 Total       24,475.87         920301 Total       24,475.87         920301 Total       24,475.87         920301 Total       25,486.90         920701 Total       21,151.02         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       25,486.90         920701 Total       25,486.90         920700 Total	590630 Total	15,416.59				
594062 Total       5,511.62         901001 Total       216,464.59         901201 Total       16,729.06         902007 Total       9337.49         903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903023 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       25,867.87         912022 Total       212.24         912022 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       88,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       81,312.67         920204 Total       24,475.87         920205 Total       12,102.77         920504 Total       21,410.277         920705 Total       21,151.02         920705 Total       11,470.03         920205 Total       11,470.03         920205 Total       -         920205 Total       14,470.03         920205 Total       -         920205 Total	593062 Total	38,732.33				
901001 Total       216,464.59         901201 Total       16,729.06         902007 Total       9,337.49         903013 Total       833.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       21,007.03         908101 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       21,027.03         908107 Total       212.24         91202 Total       212.24         91202 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       21,24         91202 Total       11,27,861.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       12,102.77         920505 Total       12,102.77         920705 Total       21,151.02         920705 Total       21,151.02         920705 Total       11,470.03         920705 Total       11,470.03         922005 Total<	593500 Total	2,316.48				
901201 Total       16,729.06         902007 Total       9,337.49         903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       29,659.74         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       21,24         912022 Total       212.24         912022 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       31,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       24,28.83         920601 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       21,486.90         920703 Total       21,486.90         920703 Total       11,470.03         920703 Total       -         920703 Total       -         920703 Total	594062 Total	5,511.62				
902007 Total       9,337.49         903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       21,007.03         908101 Total       21,007.03         908101 Total       21,007.03         908102 Total       212.24         912002 Total       212.24         912002 Total       31,360.37         902021 Total       31,326.7         902021 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       2,428.83         920201 Total       2,428.83         920504 Total       12,102.77         920504 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       25,486.90         920701 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       136,298.99         922000 Total       136,298.99         922000 Total       5,213.9	901001 Total	216,464.59				
903013 Total       883.00         903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908104 Total       21,007.03         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912022 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920701 Total       21,151.02         920701 Total       25,486.90         920701 Total       25,486.90         920700 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       136,298.99         922000 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	901201 Total	16,729.06				
903022 Total       16,884.21         903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         9080043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       21,007.03         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       21,224         912002 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       2,4475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       21,102.77         920505 Total       21,151.02         920701 Total       21,151.02         920705 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       21,470.03         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	902007 Total	9,337.49				
903028 Total       19,947.90         903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       49,655.65         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912002 Total       212.24         912002 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       212,7861.67         920205 Total       127,861.67         920205 Total       2,4475.87         920501 Total       2,1151.02         920601 Total       25,486.90         920703 Total       25,486.90         920703 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922700 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922000 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	903013 Total	883.00				
903110 Total       7,063.21         907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       49,655.65         908104 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       24,75.87         920201 Total       24,75.87         920201 Total       24,75.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       24,75.87         920701 Total       24,15.02         920701 Total       21,151.02         920701 Total       25,486.90         920701 Total       21,151.02         920700 Total       21,151.02         920700 Total       21,151.02         920700 Total       11,470.03         9220700 Total       -         922070 Total       136,298.99         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	903022 Total	16,884.21				
907101 Total       27,419.15         908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       49,655.65         908104 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       54,516.69         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920201 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       21,102.77         920701 Total       25,486.90         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922000 Total <td< th=""><th>903028 Total</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	903028 Total					
908043 Total       59,659.74         908101 Total       49,655.65         908104 Total       21,007.03         908105 Total       54,516.69         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         92050504 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         9202050 Total       21,485.90         920750 Total       21,151.02         920750 Total       21,486.90         920750 Total       21,487.93         920750 Total       21,487.93         920750 Total       21,487.93         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -	903110 Total					
908101 Total       49,655.65         908104 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       54,516.69         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       24,475.87         920505 Total       21,102.77         920601 Total       21,102.77         920701 Total       21,102.77         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         920705 Total       -         922000 Total <t< th=""><th>907101 Total</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	907101 Total					
908104 Total       21,007.03         908106 Total       54,516.69         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         920200 Total       -         920503 Total       -         922050 Total       136,298.99         922503 Total       5,213.98	908043 Total					
908106 Total       54,516.69         908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       25,486.90         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         920200 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	908101 Total	49,655.65				
908107 Total       25,867.87         912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         920200 Total       -         920200 Total       -         920705 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98	908104 Total					
912002 Total       212.24         912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920201 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922700 Total       5,213.98						
912025 Total       31,360.37         920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920261 Total       127,861.67         920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       25,486.90         920703 Total       25,486.90         922000 Total       -         922700 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920101 Total       38,094.83         920201 Total       81,312.67         920261 Total       127,861.67         920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920201 Total       81,312.67         920261 Total       127,861.67         920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         922503 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920261 Total       127,861.67         920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920301 Total       24,475.87         920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920705 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922503 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920504 Total       2,428.83         920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920601 Total       45,620.22         920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920615 Total       12,102.77         920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920701 Total       21,151.02         920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920703 Total       25,486.90         920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
920750 Total       11,470.03         922000 Total       -         922503 Total       -         922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98						
922000 Total     -       922503 Total     -       922700 Total     136,298.99       935024 Total     5,213.98						
922503 Total     -       922700 Total     136,298.99       935024 Total     5,213.98		11,470.03				
922700 Total       136,298.99         935024 Total       5,213.98		-				
<b>935024 Total</b> 5,213.98						
			-			
	Granu (Oldi	3,208,233.10	=			

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 2017 Accural Reversal

Unit	Account	Dept	Product	Line Descr		Amount	Journal ID	Period	Year	Date
	163316 Total					(6,077.20)				
	184331 Total	I				(6,077.20)				
	184620 Total	I				(62,641.70)				
	184630 Total	I				(19,888.20)				
	184810 Total	I				(6,930.25)				
	500039 Total	I				(51,733.64)				
	535301 Total	I				(17,762.45)				
	541304 Total	I				(15,872.50)				
	546204 Total	I				(8,668.00)				
	546205 Total	I				(12,219.50)				
	546207 Total	I				(61,695.47)				
	548123 Total	I				(3,551.50)				
	549120 Total	I				(8,668.00)				
	551201 Total	I				(14,206.00)				
	556412 Total	I				(15,350.50)				
	556413 Total	I				(15,350.50)				
	560628 Total	I				(1,800.50)				
	561404 Total	I				(8,362.00)				
	568631 Total	I				(7,202.00)				
	570517 Total	I				(15,321.00)				
	571001 Total	I				(9,184.50)				
	580001 Total	I				(9,501.95)				
	580002 Total					(1,836.90)				
	580627 Total	I				(5 <i>,</i> 981.50)				
	588621 Total	I				(1,836.90)				
	588630 Total	I				(5,510.70)				
	590001 Total	I				(9,501.95)				
	590630 Total	I				(4,181.00)				
	593062 Total					(7,347.60)				
	901001 Total					(54,411.00)				
	920101 Total					(81,761.60)				
	920201 Total					(14,328.00)				
	920261 Total					(30,960.60)				
	920301 Total					(13,832.94)				
	920601 Total					(18,231.60)				
	920701 Total					(25,725.00)				
	922700 Total	I			_	(109,068.15)				
	Grand Total				=	(762,580.00)				

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 2018 Accural Reversal

Unit	Account Dept Product	Line Descr	Amount	Journal ID	Period Year	Date
	163001 Total		-			
	163316 Total		-			
	184331 Total		-			
	184620 Total		(0.00)	)		
	184621 Total		-			
	184622 Total		-			
	184630 Total		0.00			
	184810 Total 500036 Total		-			
	500039 Total		0.00			
	501601 Total		-			
	510030 Total		0.00			
	535301 Total		-			
	541304 Total		(0.00)	)		
	546204 Total		(0.00)	)		
	546205 Total		-			
	546207 Total		0.00			
	547605 Total		-			
	547606 Total		-			
	548123 Total		-			
	549120 Total 551201 Total		- (0.00)	)		
	556410 Total		(0:00)	)		
	556412 Total		-			
	556413 Total		-			
	560628 Total		0.00			
	560629 Total		-			
	561404 Total		-			
	568631 Total		-			
	570517 Total		(0.00)	)		
	571001 Total 571062 Total		-			
	580001 Total		- 0.00			
	580002 Total		-			
	580627 Total		-			
	580628 Total		-			
	580686 Total		-			
	583019 Total		-			
	583500 Total		-			
	586028 Total		-			
	588120 Total		-			
	588130 Total 588621 Total		-			
	588623 Total		-			
	588630 Total		-			
	590001 Total		-			

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 2018 Accural Reversal

Unit Account Dept Product	Line Descr	Amount	Journal ID	Period	Year	Date
590620 Total		-				
590630 Total		(0.00)				
593062 Total		-				
594062 Total		-				
610707 Total		-				
680025 Total		-				
681031 Total		-				
682034 Total		-				
685002 Total		-				
901001 Total		(0.00)				
901201 Total		-				
902007 Total		-				
903013 Total		-				
903022 Total		-				
903028 Total		-				
903110 Total		-				
907101 Total		-				
908043 Total		-				
908101 Total		-				
908104 Total		-				
908106 Total		-				
908107 Total		-				
912002 Total		-				
912025 Total		-				
920101 Total		0.00				
920201 Total		-				
920261 Total		0.00				
920301 Total		-				
920601 Total 920615 Total		-				
		-				
920701 Total 920703 Total		-				
920750 Total		-				
922700 Total		- 0.00				
935024 Total		-				
Grand Total		0.00				
		0.00				

A Liberty Utilities Company Case No. ER-2019-0374 MPSC DR 33.1 TME 3-31-19 LTIP Costs

Unit Account Dept Product Line Descr	Amount Journal ID Period Year
184620 Total	(51,213.25)
184630 Total	(51,213.25)
920101 Total	(118,562.62)
920102 Total	501,374.23
922700 Total	122,340.32
Grand Total	402,725.43