```
1
                       STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
                   PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 3
 4
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
 6
                    Local Public Hearing
 7
                        April 29, 2010
 8
                       Fulton, Missouri
 9
                           Volume 1
10
     In The Matter Of The
                                 )
    Application Of Mid MO )
Sanitation, LLC For A ) File No. SR-2010-0095
11
     Small Company Rate
                                )
12
     Increase
                                 )
                                  )
13
14
15
                        KENNARD L. JONES, Presiding
                             SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
16
17
     REPORTED BY:
18
     Pamela Fick, RMR, RPR, CCR# 447
     Midwest Litigation Services
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CHRISTINA BAKER, Senior Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230
4	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230 (573) 751-4857
5	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
6	and the Public.
7	SAM RITCHIE, Legal Counsel
8	P.O. Box 360
9	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-3234
10	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
11	Service Commission.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE JONES: We are on the record with 3 Case No. SR-2010-0095, the case of Mid MO Sanitation, 4 LLC, small company rate increase. This is the local 5 public hearing for this rate increase. My name is б Kennard Jones. I am the regulatory law judge 7 presiding over this matter. 8 There has been a question-and-answer 9 session prior to this, and right now we are on the 10 record to create a transcript for the Commissioners to be able to review prior to making a decision in 11 12 this case. With that, let's go ahead and get 13 14 started. What I'm going to do is call you up in the order that you signed up. If you'll come up here and 15 use the microphone. I'll swear you in, you give 16 testimony. And I doubt it, but if I have any 17 18 questions, they'll probably be questions for clarification to -- to get from you, and then I'll 19 20 excuse you and then go to the next person. 21 And if after we go through the whole 22 list, there's anyone else that wants to give 23 testimony, I'll present that opportunity to you. 24 Okay. First we'll call Bob Burch. And 25 raise your right hand.

1 (The witness was sworn.) 2 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may have a 3 seat. Please restate and spell your name for the 4 court reporter. 5 MR. BURCH: Bob, B-o-b, Burch, б B-u-r-c-h. 7 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may 8 proceed. 9 MR. BURCH: Really, I just have a 10 comment, and I'd like to know why we can't postpone this because none of us were notified prior to 11 yesterday. We -- you know, we have no representation 12 13 of the commercial sites here and only about 20 14 percent of the homeowners. And I feel like more 15 would be here had they had adequate notification. 16 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Let me -- before 17 you leave, I realize that you've asked a question, 18 and I'll say this to the rest of you. At this point I -- I won't answer any questions, so I'll take your 19 question to be one of rhetoric -- a rhetorical 20 21 question, and I'm assuming that's what you intended? 22 MR. BURCH: Uh-huh. JUDGE JONES: Okay. All right. You may 23 be excused. We'll call the next witness. I don't 24 25 know if it's Jo Ann or --

1 MR. SWENSON: John. 2 JUDGE JONES: Oh, John. Okay. 3 MR. SWENSON: John, J-o-h-n, Swenson, 4 S-w-e-n-s-o-n. 5 JUDGE JONES: Can you raise your right б hand. 7 (The witness was sworn.) 8 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may 9 proceed. MR. SWENSON: I simply want to object to 10 any rate increase on our sewer charges because we 11 12 have not had adequate notice. The Staff here admitted that they had not sent out notice, and the 13 14 operator admitted that he had not sent out notice by mail to us, so what we got was thirdhand. 15 16 We have not had any financial 17 information available to us so that we could in any 18 way challenge the reasonableness of the financial information involved in -- in deciding on a rate 19 increase. So I think that the lack of notice has 20 21 prejudiced our ability to really comment in any 22 intelligent way. JUDGE JONES: Okay. Thank you. Next 23 I'll call Rita Adams. Can you raise your right hand. 24 25 (The witness was sworn.)

1 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may be 2 seated. 3 MS. ADAMS: Rita Adams, R-i-t-a, 4 A-d-a-m-s. And the two comments that I just would 5 like to have on record is that, number one, for б possible future rate hearings if this one is able to 7 be postponed, would be to actually have them at the Millersburg Christian Church at Millersburg where 8 9 everyone lives and where the businesses are located. 10 It was very difficult for people working in Columbia and in the Millersburg area to get to 11 Fulton by 5:30 for a meeting when people don't even 12 13 get off work until 5:00. 14 And then my second statement is just to object to any rate increase. We moved to the 15 subdivision in November of '07 and was told at the 16 17 time that the monthly sewer bill fees were \$30 a 18 month, which we agreed to that. And then last year it went up to the 64.66, and then, you know, not even 19 a year later they're asking for a future one of 20 21 75.35. 22 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Thank you. Lisa 23 Johnson. 24 (The witness was sworn.) 25 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may be

1 seated.

MS. JOHNSON: Lisa, L-i-s-a, Johnson, 2 3 J-o-h-n-s-o-n. 4 JUDGE JONES: You can proceed. 5 MS. JOHNSON: I just concur with my own 6 neighbors about the -- I object to the rate hike and 7 was hoping that we would be able to postpone it or 8 continue it for a later date so we have time to learn 9 more about it and get our questions answered as far 10 as expenses and what the increase would be going towards and such. So I think we could use a little 11 12 more time to get our folks here. 13 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Thank you. I 14 believe it's Tim Froggatt -- Froggatt. 15 MR. FROGGATT: You were right the first 16 time. 17 (The witness was sworn.) JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may 18 19 be seated. MR. FROGGATT: It's Tim, T-i-m, 20 21 F-r-o-g-g-a-t-t. 22 JUDGE JONES: You may proceed. 23 MR. FROGGATT: I just want to object to the rate increase, and then just basically to 24 25 reiterate that we didn't have any notice, no

7

financial information and very limited amount of any
 preparation that we could have had.

JUDGE JONES: Okay. Dave McCarthy.
(The witness was sworn.)
JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may

6 be seated.

7 MR. McCARTHY: David, D-a-v-i-d, McCarthy, M-c-C-a-r-t-h-y. I think most of those in 8 9 the subdivision feel that in the last year we've had 10 a good job of taking care of our sewer system out there, and that that is a relief because we've had 11 12 numerous problems with it before. I think we want to 13 make sure that Bill is able to make ends meet on that 14 financially out there, but I think also we were kind of pulled real tight here on the time to get prepared 15 for this, and there's a lot of things that we didn't 16 17 get a chance to find out about.

18 For example, the copy of the audit and 19 the cost of operating the system out there. Bill's entitled to a fair profit on that, I think, and we 20 21 appreciate the proper maintenance he's doing right 22 now. And I think that it would be fair to have 23 another hearing on this where we could get most of the subdivision together to hear it. And I thank 24 25 you.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. And
 finally, Kevin Macker.

3 (The witness was sworn.)
4 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may
5 be seated.

б MR. MACKER: Kevin, K-e-v-i-n, Macker, 7 M-a-c-k-e-r. And I agree with the rest of the neighbors about no notification, and we need to have 8 9 another hearing if we can. I also believe that we 10 need to have the financial information along with the audit so we -- if we have any questions about those 11 12 expenses, it can be explained. That's about it. JUDGE JONES: Okay. I don't know if I 13 14 should be doing this or not, but it seems to be a practical thing to say, at least, if you-all didn't 15 receive notice, that is a problem. I will say that. 16 17 And I -- I don't know if you-all talked about this during your question-and-answer session, 18 but there is what we call an operational law date in 19 this case. That date is June 1st, which means we 20 21 have only several weeks before that tariff goes into 22 effect or not. So anything we do has to be done 23 really quick. 24 And I'll also say this, something

25 you-all may not know, which is irrelevant to you

specifically, but from a practical standpoint is relevant. The Commission's calendar for May is probably -- I've been at the Commission for eight years now, and it's probably the fullest I've ever seen a calendar. There are literally hearings every day for the month of May.

7 But in the meantime, there has to be a 8 solution to this problem, and it seems that a lot 9 of -- for everyone as far as I can tell that has 10 testified -- and I'm assuming that those of you who 11 haven't, shared the same sentiment, you want 12 information to be able to respond to.

Now, I don't know to what -- maybe I can have an attorney here tell me. Can they get all the information -- all the information's in the record, right?

17 MR. RITCHIE: I've directed them to the 18 EFIS system where they can view the Company/Staff 19 disposition agreement, and they'll be able to see 20 some of the details of how we reached our proposed 21 figure.

JUDGE JONES: Okay. And all of -- do all of you have access to the Internet and will be able to view that information? Because that's all the information I have too. Everything that's in that record that you will be able to view is all that the Commissioners and myself are able to view. What I'm thinking -- and I -- and I'm just trying to get a general consensus from you-all and feedback from the attorneys here that are present, is that you be given time -- let me back up.

7 It is possible to have another local 8 public hearing. Logistically, I don't know how 9 possible it is, but it's certainly possible. And I'm 10 not trying to avoid that, but to offer something that at least addresses your -- your concerns is that 11 you-all be given time to look at that information 12 that's available and then file comments in response 13 14 to the information you've been able to view. 15 Three people filed comments based on the

Company's initial letter, so I'm assuming that, well, 16 17 28 people can file comments after looking at -- at the information that's in our system. Is that 18 19 something you-all might be amenable to? Nod yes, no, one way or the other. Is that -- is that mic 20 21 portable? And this is Mr. McCarthy. 22 MR. McCARTHY: Thank you, your Honor. I 23 would like to request that the president of our subdivision, if it's possible, to either go online 24 25 and gather that information for us or get somebody to

1 help do -- get that somehow and get it out to the rest of the people and to everybody in the 2 3 subdivision. Is that possible? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, I don't see 5 why not. б MR. McCARTHY: Because a lot of people 7 might not be able to get into that system. I couldn't. We -- we have to have some system out 8 9 there in order to get that information together to 10 everyone up there. I don't think everyone has a computer. So that's my comment. Thank you. 11 12 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Now, let me get some feedback from the Office of Public Counsel. 13 14 What do you think about that, Ms. Baker? 15 MS. BAKER: Quite frankly, I am going to go back and look at the schedule in this case. I 16 17 know that we've already had one extension to see 18 exactly what -- what is available to it, but I'd say 19 that I'm very disappointed that your order as far as notice did not go out. I know that it was a short 20 21 time frame anyway. 22 JUDGE JONES: Well, the order went out. 23 The notice didn't go out. MS. BAKER: That's what I'm saying. 24 25 JUDGE JONES: Okay.

1 MS. BAKER: I'm sorry that they did not 2 follow the -- the order that came out, and especially 3 given the short time frame that was allowed for this 4 local public hearing, basically just a couple of 5 weeks after my request. So I -- I would imagine that 6 Public Counsel will be filing something. 7 JUDGE JONES: Okay. So you're --8 MS. BAKER: Not --9 JUDGE JONES: -- withholding your 10 response until you have time to -- as a lawyer would --11 12 MS. BAKER: Yes, yes. JUDGE JONES: -- to gather your 13 14 thoughts? 15 MS. BAKER: Yes. Because we just found out sitting -- sitting up front. 16 JUDGE JONES: Well, I just -- I just --17 18 I just found out sitting here that -- I assumed that you-all got notice by mail yesterday which was the 19 impression I got. And I was also specifically told 20 21 by someone that notice was mailed last Wednesday, so 22 I'll deal with that on a personal level. 23 I don't particularly appreciate being lied to, so --24 25 MR. RITCHIE: The notice not being sent

out is also news to the Staff as of -- as of this
 afternoon, or at least myself.

JUDGE JONES: Well, at least yourself, 3 4 because someone in particular -- let me put it this 5 way: If I asked you, when did notice go out and then б someone says last Wednesday, that means, for whatever 7 reason, they know it went out last Wednesday. So that's a lie as far as I'm concerned and nothing 8 9 else. There's no gray area about that. Truth is 10 truth. It's just that simple.

But it sounds like from what I'm hearing here, you-all may be amenable to that. Ms. Baker, however, I don't want to say she's your attorney, but she represents the public at large, as I'm sure you-all have discovered during the question-and-answer session, and after her review, we'll try to see how to fix this.

18 Now, I can tell you this: If we have to 19 gather again, I will probably be looking at the third 20 week in May. That's the timeline that I'm looking 21 at. Now, I don't know how that can -- how that's 22 going to work with the effective date of the tariff 23 and whatnot, but that's what I'll be looking at if we have to gather again, because I have a hearing for 24 25 the next two weeks starting Monday with another -- a

1 rate case.

So with that, does anyone else have any suggestions -- I'm speaking particularly to the attorneys -- on how to address this problem today? MS. BAKER: We -- we have apologized profusely, and we have stated that we appreciate those who did come and those who passed around the information to those who did not know. JUDGE JONES: Okay. MS. BAKER: And other than that, I don't know what else to say. JUDGE JONES: Okay. Well, with that, then, we'll go off the record. Thank you all for coming out. (WHEREUPON, the local public hearing was adjourned.)