1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
б	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	Local Public Hearing
8	January 26, 2010
9	Osage Beach, Missouri Volume 2
10	
11	
12	<pre>In the Matter of Lake Region Water) & Sewer Company's Application To) Implement A General Rate Increase) File No. SR-2010-0110 In Water and Sewer Service)</pre>
13	
14	In The Matter of Lake Region Water)
15	<pre>& Sewer Company's Application To) Implement A General Rate Increase) File No. WR-2010-0111 In Water and Sewer Service)</pre>
16	
17	
18	HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding,
19	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
25	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES

1 APPEARANCES: 2 3 MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law 4 Newman, Comley & Ruth 601 Monroe, Suite 301 5 6 P.O. Box 537 Jefferson City, MO 65102 7 (573)634-2266 8 comleym@ncrpc.com 9 FOR: Lake Region Water & Sewer Company. 10 CHRISTINA BAKER, Assistant Public Counsel 11 12 Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 13 Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230 14 15 (573)751-4857 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel 16 17 and the Public. 18 JAIME OTT, Legal Counsel 19 Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 20 21 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102 22 23 (573)751-3234 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public 24 25 Service Commission.

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Good evening. It's 3 Tuesday, January 26, 2010. The Missouri Public Service 4 Commission has set this time for a local public hearing in 5 File Nos. SR-2010-0110 and WR-2010-0111 in which Lake б Region Water & Sewer Company seeks to implement a general rate increase for its water and sewer service in Missouri. 7 8 My name is Harold Stearley, and I'm the 9 presiding officer for tonight's hearing. Unfortunately, 10 none of the Commissioners were able to come tonight. They're all engaged in other activities and hearings. 11 12 However, I want to assure you that any of you that would 13 like to speak tonight, your comments will be made part of the record in this case and all the Commissioners will be 14 reviewing those comments. 15 Additionally, I know Staff has provided 16 17 comment cards if you-all would rather file written 18 comments, and you can also make comments on our website for PSC as well. 19 20 I do have one name on our signup sheet. 21 The way the procedure will work for this is we will call 22 the witness. If you don't feel comfortable sitting up here in front of everyone, you're welcome to stay at your 23 24 seat, but I will swear you in, ask you a couple

25 preliminary questions and have you state and spell your

name for our court reporter so we get the record correct,
 and then you'll be allowed to give whatever comments you'd
 like to give.

Does anyone have any questions about the procedure we'll follow this evening? All right. Well, we'll begin by taking entries of appearance from counsel for the parties here, starting with Lake Region Water & Sewer Company.

9 MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge Stearley. The record should reflect the entry of appearance of Mark 10 W. Comley of Newman, Comley & Ruth, PC, 601 Monroe, 11 Suite 301 in Jefferson City, Missouri, on behalf of Lake 12 13 Region Water & Sewer Company. 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Comley. The Office of the Public Counsel. 15 16 MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor. 17 Christina Baker, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public 18 19 Counsel and the ratepayers. 20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Ms. Baker. And 21 the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 22 MS. OTT: Judge, let the record reflect Jaime Ott, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, 23 24 on behalf of the Staff.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. We have had a

couple other entities intervene in our case. Are any of 1 2 the intervenors represented by counsel tonight? 3 (No response.) 4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Let the record reflect 5 that intervenors have made no appearance tonight. б All right. Well, starting with the one name I have on my list, I'll call Marge Elrod. Ms. Elrod, 7 8 if you'd please raise your right hand. 9 (Marge Elrod was sworn.) 10 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. Please be seated. And if you'd begin by spelling your name for our 11 12 court reporter. 13 MS. ELROD: Marge Elrod, M-a-r-g-e, last name E-l-r-o-d. 14 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Elrod, are you a 16 customer of Lake Region? 17 MS. ELROD: Yes, I am. 18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. You may 19 proceed. 20 MS. ELROD: All right. Thank you. I read 21 with great interest most of the testimony that was entered in the PSC Staff's website. I have several comments. I 22 23 still have several unanswered questions. First an 24 editorial comment on the lack of representation of the PSC Commissioners. I wish their schedule would have allowed 25

them to be here, because you can read the verbiage, but I 1 2 also think they need to understand some of the emotion. 3 Realistically, had this been a 10 percent 4 rate increase, I probably wouldn't even have bothered, but 5 the idea that it started out as a 70 percent increase and б now it's a 61 percent increase, in this day and age I 7 think it's incumbent upon all companies to watch how their 8 money's being spent, especially when they're spending 9 mine.

10 So with that in mind, I have a few comments I'd like to make. I'll start first with the sewer and 11 water availability, the \$300 annual fee that's charged to 12 13 undeveloped lots. The monthly fee, it was supposed to be 14 \$10 a month for, I think, water and 15 a month for sewer. Anyway, it comes up to 300 a year. So it says the monthly 15 16 fee is to be used for construction, operation, maintenance 17 and eventual hookup to the underwater -- or underground 18 central sewer system.

Well, with that in mind, it's absolutely my belief that \$300 should be used to keep the existing system in appropriate working condition so that when an undeveloped lot is developed, that we're ready to use it. If there's any increases, any corrections, any maintenance that needs to be done, it's absolutely my belief that \$300 fee should be used to offset that.

б

From everything I can read, it appears that the money initially went into the same checking account and was distributed differently, but, in fact, that \$300 being collected off of each undeveloped lot, which there are numerous, is not part of this rate hearing and those numerous funds are not being used to keep the money -- or keep the infrastructure going.

8 So part of that as well, when you're 9 looking at how the money was spent and where it went, I 10 believe that's inappropriate as well. And I understand 11 that some of this was created when the development was 12 initially started and subsequently was sold to Lake 13 Regional, but with that in mind, I absolutely believe that 14 there is a problem.

15 The auditor, Ted Robertson, identified that 16 there was double counting of debt service cost. I'm not 17 sure who the accountant is for Lake Region Water, but you 18 might want to look for someone else.

When you look at the fact that, according to this testimony it says they have an operator. Well, an looks like one, to provide daily operational and maintenance services. But with that in mind, when you look at the costs that were spent, it was pretty darn significant of the money.

25

So you look at -- when I look at labor, and

while I am not an accountant, I'm fairly dangerous, and you look at the labor costs that are charged, to me it absolutely doesn't relate to a single person or even several people, or if it is, I want to become one of their employees. It looks like 38,000 and change was charged to a water account labor and 115,000 and change went to sewer labor for a total of 153,700.

8 Typically from an accounting perspective, 9 labor is, in fact, the direct labor to keep something in 10 operational that has nothing to do with management or direct supervision. So I have a significant issue, and I 11 absolutely believe that the \$300 that have been collected 12 13 on each undeveloped lot absolutely should be part of the 14 rate structure as revenues that were generated for Lake 15 Region.

With respect to, then, of testimony from 16 17 Gary (sic) Featherstone, when you look at some of the 18 rates -- some of the errors that were identified, I have 19 some fairly significant challenges there. Whether you're 20 looking at management of a company or you're looking at 21 board of a company, part of being a public utility or 22 under the discretion of the Public Service Commission is to ensure that you have judicious use of expenses. 23 24 I'm trying to figure out how three people 25 spend \$500 a month in travel expenses for a total of

1 \$18,000 a year when you're looking at Lake Regional. I'm 2 not sure how you can come up with enough mileage even at 3 47 and a half cents to come up with \$18,000 in travel. 4 Two, I believe that the fees that were paid 5 to each of them, 23,000 and change, were excessive if б you're looking at a board. Typically you're talking a few hundred dollars. I've been on lots of boards, and you get 7 8 paid a few hundred dollars to come to a meeting. It 9 certainly isn't in the thousands.

10 So with that in mind, with all the discrepancies that have been identified, while a rate 11 12 increase may be justified, I certainly think there's more 13 questions that need to be answered, more accounting records that need to be culled through, and I'd much 14 rather see a clean set of records that accurately reflect 15 16 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles before I could 17 support a rate increase, and I would hope the Public 18 Service Commission would agree with that. 19 That concludes my testimony. 20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Elrod, if you'd please stay seated, I'm going to ask if the attorneys present 21 22 have questions for you. Then I may have a couple quick questions for you as well. 23 24 Attorneys, any questions for Ms. Elrod?

MS. BAKER: I do, if you want me to begin.

25

1 You were -- about the availability fees, you were reading 2 from a document. What document was that? 3 MS. ELROD: Direct testimony of Ted 4 Robertson. 5 MS. BAKER: Was there -- was there another б document that you were reading that was saying what the 7 availability charges were to be for or was that --8 MS. ELROD: That's from --9 MS. BAKER: That was from his. Okay. 10 MS. ELROD: This was -- it said it was Schedule 3 of Mr. Meyer's rebuttal testimony. That 11 12 document stated that the monthly fee was to be used for 13 construction, operation, maintenance and eventual hookup 14 of the underground central sewer system. 15 MS. BAKER: I just wanted to make sure you 16 were not having another document in your hand that needed 17 to go in. 18 MS. ELROD: No. 19 MS. BAKER: Are you an owner of a lot that 20 pays availability charges? 21 MS. ELROD: Yes, I am, as well as -- we 22 have one undeveloped lot and we have one home. So we're 23 getting hit both ways. 24 MS. BAKER: How long have you paid that 25 availability charge?

1 MS. ELROD: Four years. 2 MS. BAKER: I think that's all the 3 questions that I have. Thank you. 4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any questions, Ms. Ott? 5 MS. OTT: No, I do not. 6 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Comley? 7 MR. COMLEY: None, thank you. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Elrod, have you had any service issues or problems with the service that 9 10 you're getting? 11 MS. ELROD: No, I haven't. 12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Have you had any billing 13 problems? 14 MS. ELROD: No. 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Customer service problems? MS. ELROD: No. I don't have an issue with 16 17 the service at all. JUDGE STEARLEY: That's all the questions I 18 19 would have. Any additional comments? MS. ELROD: No. 20 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Well, thank 22 you very much for participating. 23 MS. ELROD: Thank you. 24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Elrod was the only one who signed our signup sheet, but we're here and available 25

to hear anyone's comments or testimony they'd like to 1 2 give. We certainly want to offer the opportunity to. I 3 don't think it was a scary experience for Ms. Elrod, was 4 it? 5 MS. ELROD: No. 6 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. So by all means, if 7 anyone --8 MR. FINN: I think I would like to make a 9 statement. JUDGE STEARLEY: And if you'd state and 10 11 spell your name for us. 12 MR. FINN: G-a-r-y is the first name, and 13 last name's Finn, F-i-n-n. 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Finn, if you'd please raise your right hand. 15 16 (Gary Finn was sworn.) 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may be seated. And are you a customer of Lake Region? 18 MR. FINN: Yes. 19 20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may 21 proceed. 22 MR. FINN: Unfortunately, I didn't do all 23 the homework that Marge did. I didn't know it was 24 available. I wish I had because it looks like it was very 25 interesting.

1 I heard the -- the question was asked 2 earlier, and I don't -- I had not studied any figures to 3 back it up, so -- but my question -- not my question. My 4 comment is that intuitively it should cost more to operate 5 an older system than it does a newer system. That would 6 take some unusual accounting to come up with some numbers 7 that would support higher costs to operate a newer system 8 than an older system.

9 So -- and I was wondering when I looked at this thing that it was a higher increase on Shawnee Bend 10 than it was on Horseshoe Bend, and I thought, that's odd 11 12 because I know that one is older than this one. Sure 13 enough, I found out tonight that it is. I just think it's 14 very strange that, I would assume -- I don't even know this, but I would assume that maintenance of these two 15 16 systems is included in the rate. Obviously it would be 17 included in the rate.

So why is the rate going to be higher on the new one than the old one? I just -- I find that very strange. Doesn't make good accounting or good business sense to me. And that's really the only comment that I had.

JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Do you have
any questions from counsel?
MS. BAKER: Do you own a lot or do pay an

1 availability fee? 2 MR. FINN: Yes, I do own a lot. We own a 3 home. 4 MS. BAKER: How many lots? 5 MR. FINN: One. 6 MS. BAKER: How long have you paid the 7 availability fee? 8 MR. FINN: I guess for a year. We've been in the house for a year and a half, so -- and I haven't 9 paid any attention to what -- I get a bill and I pay it. 10 11 I get a water bill and I pay it. I don't know if I've had 12 a separate bill or not. I'm not sure. I probably have, I 13 guess, because I have a home on it. 14 MS. BAKER: That's the only question I have. Thank you. 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Ott? 16 17 MS. OTT: No questions. JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Comley? 18 MR. COMLEY: No questions. 19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Then, Mr. Finn, I'll ask 20 21 you the same questions I asked Ms. Elrod. Have you had 22 any service issues with the company? 23 MR. FINN: No, not a one. 24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any billing or service issues? 25

MR. FINN: No. 1 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you for 3 your testimony. 4 All right. Anyone else like to speak? MS. BAKER: I would like to tell you that 5 б this is a good opportunity to take advantage of because 7 this is your opportunity to speak to the Commission. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes. Please come forward. 9 MR. PARHAM: May I just make a brief 10 comment, please? 11 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes, you may. I like to 12 swear everyone in because it becomes part of the official record when I do that. Do you have any objection to that? 13 MR. PARHAM: No. 14 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Would you please state and 16 spell your name for us. 17 MR. PARHAM: My name is Don Parham, D-o-n, 18 P-a-r-h-a-m. JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Parham, if 19 20 you'd please raise your right hand. 21 (Don Parham was sworn.) 22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be 23 seated. 24 MR. PARHAM: Thank you. 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: I assume you too are a

1 customer of Lake Regional? 2 MR. PARHAM: I am. 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Please proceed. 4 MR. PARHAM: Just some preliminary 5 comments, if I may, to be sure that I have all my facts correct. Every month I receive a statement from Lake 6 7 Region Water & Sewer Company, and on this statement there 8 are three specific entities, products or service, whatever 9 you wish to call them, water, sewer and one for fire 10 hydrants. That's my first -- I want to be sure those 11 facts are correct. 12 On Shawnee Bend, there are 600 customers. 13 The proposed water increase is 28 percent. The proposed sewer increase is 70 percent. On Horseshoe Bend, there 14 are 140 customers. The water increase is 28 percent, and 15 16 the sewer increase is 51 percent. And the purpose of this 17 annual increase is to increase operating revenues in the sum of \$331,000. 18 My question is, do all of those -- are all 19 20 of those facts true and correct to the best of your 21 knowledge and belief? 22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Are you directing your questions towards me, Mr. Parham? 23 24 MR. PARHAM: No. I just want to know, as a general statement. I understand I can't ask you 25

1 questions.

25

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. 3 MR. PARHAM: I just want to be sure that 4 those are the correct facts that I'm dealing with. 5 And then I have two questions. The actual б rate increase, are they cumulative or are they separate? 7 If they are cumulative, the true rate increase for Shawnee 8 Bend is 98 percent. For Horseshoe Bend, it is 79 percent. 9 If they are not cumulative, then what is the true rate 10 increases for both Shawnee Bend and Horseshoe Bend? 11 And my second question that I would like answered at some point is, this rate increase is being 12 13 asked for operating revenues. That is within itself 14 somewhat inordinate. Rate increases are normally associated with expenses, not revenues. And if they are 15 16 going to get a \$331,000 rate increase, what service 17 improvement am I going to see as a result of that? 18 That's all. JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. I'm going to 19 20 check with counsel here in just a second to see if they 21 had any questions for you. But I would encourage you, if 22 you haven't had the opportunity to do so, before you leave tonight, we have representatives from our Staff and 23 24 representatives from the company here who may be able to

directly answer those questions of yours.

MR. PARHAM: Okay. I will do that. 1 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Baker, any questions? 3 MS. BAKER: Do you own an undeveloped lot 4 that you pay an availability fee on? 5 MR. PARHAM: I do not own an undeveloped б lot, no. I live in Porto Cima. 7 MS. BAKER: That's the only question I 8 have. 9 MS. OTT: I have no questions. 10 MR. COMLEY: I have none either. 11 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Parham, the only 12 questions I have are the same I've asked the other 13 witnesses. Have you had any service issues with Lake Region? 14 15 MR. PARHAM: None at all. 16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any issues with billing or 17 customer service? 18 MR. PARHAM: None. JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank very much for your 19 20 comments. 21 MR. PARHAM: Thank you. 22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Would anyone else like to 23 offer any comments? Yes, please come forward. If I could 24 also have you state and spell your name for us. MR. BECKER: Sure. It's Mike Becker, 25

B-e-c-k-e-r, and I'm a resident of Porto Cima. 1 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Becker, if you'd 3 please raise your right hand. 4 (Mike Becker was sworn.) 5 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be б seated. Again, I assume you are a customer of Lake 7 Regional's? 8 MR. BECKER: I am. 9 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may proceed. 10 MR. BECKER: I've heard several comments here about availability fees. I guess I'd like to comment 11 on availability fees if I might. I currently own a 12 13 developed lot, so I'm not currently paying availability fees, but I did pay availability fees on that lot for a 14 number of years, about five years before we built the 15 16 house, so I have some familiarity with them. 17 My numbers may not be exactly right, but in 18 Porto Cima I believe there are about almost 1,300 undeveloped lots, and if, in fact, each of those lots pays 19 20 \$300 availability fees, that sum might approach 385, 21 \$390,000, and I think that makes it a little clearer than 22 just saying 300. 23 If I understand it correctly also, the 24 availability fee is \$87 on Shawnee Bend. There's a little 25 over 3,000 lots there, and so that may calculate out to

\$300,000 or so. And if I understand it correctly, those 1 2 availability fees are considered as part of Ozark Shores' 3 rate development, but they are not with Porto Cima. 4 So to sort of hitch on to Marge's comments, 5 it certainly would seem to be beneficial to all of us if б those fees could be included both in the calculation of the rates as well as the operation of the system. 7 8 I think that's probably all I have to say 9 at this point. JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you. 10 Questions for Mr. Becker? 11 12 MS. BAKER: I have no questions. Thank 13 you. MS. OTT: No questions. 14 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Comley? 16 MR. COMLEY: None, thank you. JUDGE STEARLEY: I would have the same 17 questions for you, sir. Have you had any service issues 18 with the company? 19 20 MR. BECKER: No service issues. 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Or billing or customer 22 service? 23 MR. BECKER: Minor billing issue that was 24 immediately fixed. JUDGE STEARLEY: I thank you very much for 25

1 your testimony.

2 MR. BECKER: All right. You're welcome. 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Is there anyone else who 4 would like to speak? I'd like to encourage everyone to 5 feel comfortable with speaking in front of us. б All right. Well, seeing no other volunteers, I do want to remind you that we do have 7 8 comment cards you can get from our staff out front if 9 you'd like to file written comments. You can also access 10 through our web page, and you can also call us or the Office of Public Counsel if you'd like to register 11 12 comments on the rate increase. 13 The evidentiary hearing for these cases is currently set to run from March 29th to April 2nd. At the 14 15 evidentiary hearing where the parties present their 16 evidence, the public is represented by the Office of 17 Public Counsel. So you do have a legal representative 18 there that will be there on your behalf at that hearing as 19 well. 20 I guess I don't have anything else to take 21 up. There are no further witnesses. We will go ahead and 22 adjourn. The local public hearing in Files SR-2010-0110 23 and WR-2010-0111 is hereby adjourned. Thank you all very 24 much for coming.

25

(The local public hearing was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. 4 COUNTY OF COLE) 5 6 I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified 7 Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation 8 Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the 9 10 time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; 11 that I then and there took down in Stenotype the 12 proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at 13 such time and place. 14 15 Given at my office in the City of Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri. 16 17 18 19 20 Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR 21 22 23 24 25