Exhibit No.:

Issues: Integration of GridAmerica
Witness: Roger Harszy
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: EO-2003-0271
Date Testimony Prepared: March 10, 2003

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EO-2003-0271

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ROGER HARSZY

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> Carmel, Indiana March 10, 2003

STATE OF INDIANA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

AFFIDAVIT

I, Roger Harszy, being first duly sworn, state that the foregoing Testimony was prepared by me or under my direction. I have reviewed the foregoing Testimony and it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Roger Harszy

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 2003.

Dorothy M. Shute

Notary Public, State of Indiana

My County of Residence: Hendricks My Commission Expires: May 8, 2009

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		ROGER HARSZY
4		CASE NO. EO-2003-0271
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Roger Harszy. My business address is 701 City Center Drive,
7	Carmel, Indi	ana 46032.
8	Q.	Please describe your educational background and industry experience.
9	A.	I hold a bachelor of science degree in electrical engineering from the
10	University o	f Illinois. My industry experience includes over 20 years in all aspects of
11	electric syste	em operations. This includes the dispatch of generation, operation of a high
12	voltage trans	smission system and implementation of an Open Access Transmission Tariff.
13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
14	A.	I am currently employed by the Midwest Independent Transmission System
15	Operator, In	c. ("MISO") as Director of Area Operations.
16	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?
17	A.	I am responsible for the operation of the Reliability Authority functions in
18	both Carmel	, Indiana and St. Paul, Minnesota. I am also Administrative Director for the
19	MISO center	r in St. Paul.
20	Q.	How long have you been employed by the Midwest ISO?
21	A.	I have been employed by MISO since June 2000.
22	Q.	On whose behalf are you submitting this testimony?
23	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE.

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony in this proceeding?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to express the views of the Midwest ISO with
3	regard to the	benefits of GridAmerica's contractual relationship with the Midwest ISO
4	generally, and	d AmerenUE's participation in the Midwest ISO via GridAmerica in particular.
5	Q.	Does the Midwest ISO support AmerenUE's participation in the Midwest
6	ISO through	its relationship with GridAmerica?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Why?
9	A.	The addition of Ameren's transmission system to the Midwest ISO will allow
10	the Midwest	ISO to function as a single, electrically contiguous, regional transmission
11	provider. By	having one, large, electrically contiguous RTO functionally controlling the
12	transmission	systems in the Midwest, the Midwest ISO will be able to offer non-
13	discriminator	y transmission service under a single transmission service tariff, the Midwest
14	ISO open acc	ess transmission tariff ("OATT"), under a larger footprint and wider area
15	purview which	ch will provide enhanced reliability and efficiency throughout the entire
16	Midwest regi	on. This will promote a one-stop shopping environment for transmission
17	customers an	d facilitate the development of a more robust electric energy market that will
18	benefit all use	ers of the transmission system.
19	Q.	Do you envision AmerenUE's participation in the Midwest ISO through
20	GridAmeric	a causing any operational or coordination difficulties?
21	A.	No, I do not. The Midwest ISO and GridAmerica, in accordance with orders
22	issued by the	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), have already established a
23	framework fo	or allocating the various functions and responsibilities between the Midwest ISO

- and GridAmerica. The proposed delineation of functions and responsibilities set forth in the
- 2 agreement between the Midwest ISO and GridAmerica permits the Midwest ISO to retain
- 3 ultimate control and authority over key operational aspects of the entire transmission system
- 4 within the Midwest ISO. In fact, many of GridAmerica's activities are being performed by
- 5 GridAmerica as a contractor for the Midwest ISO.
- 6 Q. Would the Midwest ISO have preferred that AmerenUE and the other
- 7 GridAmerica Companies joined the Midwest ISO directly rather than through the
 - GridAmerica ITC?

8

- 9 A. No, the Midwest ISO has no preference regarding this issue. In fact, the
- Midwest ISO has prided itself upon the openness and flexibility of its participation
- architecture. One of the benefits that has made the Midwest ISO so successful in growing
- the size of its organization is its openness to different forms of participation. In this regard,
- 13 MISO adopted Appendix I to the Midwest ISO Transmission Owners Agreement which
- provides for the development and operation of Independent Transmission Companies within
- the Midwest ISO. This appendix was approved by FERC on February 24, 2000. Thus, the
- 16 presence of the GridAmerica ITC within the Midwest ISO is a form of participation that the
- 17 Midwest ISO welcomes. In fact, the Midwest ISO is already accommodating the
- participation of other ITC's under the Midwest ISO tariff. These include International
- 19 Transmission Co. (formerly the transmission assets of Detroit Edison Co.) and TRANSLink
- 20 Transmission Co., LLC (which includes transmission assets of, among others, Xcel Energy,
- 21 Alliant Energy, MidAmerican Energy, Nebraska Public Power Dist. and Corn Belt Power
- 22 Cooperative).

1	Q. Will the Midwest ISO benefit from the presence of the GridAmerica ITC
2	operating within the Midwest ISO?
3	A. Yes. The operation and use of the transmission system under the functional
4	control of the Midwest ISO continues to undergo significant changes. The transaction
5	volumes conducted over the transmission grid continue to occur at unprecedented levels.
6	Furthermore, we anticipate even more changes will occur as the energy markets continue to
7	mature throughout the country. The proper maturation and success of the energy markets
8	will be in large part dependent on the prudent real-time operation of the transmission grid
9	and expansion of the existing transmission infrastructure; however, the expansion of the
10	transmission system can be extremely difficult.
11	GridAmerica, through its parent company National Grid, has already experienced
12	some of the growing pains that the development of a highly competitive energy market
13	imposes on the transmission system through its operations in European markets. The
14	Midwest ISO intends to leverage the experience gained by GridAmerica to enhance the
15	efficient operations of the Midwest ISO transmission grid.
16	Q. Is it important to the Midwest ISO that the Commission approve
17	AmerenUE's participation in the Midwest ISO through a contractual relationship with
18	GridAmerica expeditiously?
19	A. Yes. As mentioned earlier, AmerenUE's transmission system is critical to the
20	effective operations of the Midwest ISO since it connects the current non-contiguous systems
21	operating under the Midwest ISO. Delays in the integration of AmerenUE's transmission
22	system in part, or the transmission systems of the other GridAmerica companies as a whole

- 1 into the Midwest ISO operations will result in preventing the entire Midwest region from
- 2 enjoying the full and complete benefits of RTO operation.
- **Q.** Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.