

STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service  
Commission held at its office  
in Jefferson City on the 12th  
day of June, 1990.

In the matter of the request for community optional )  
service from Contel of Missouri, Inc.'s Marshfield ) CASE NO. TO-90-204  
exchange to Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's )  
Strafford exchange. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The Commission has received a petition signed by residents of the Marshfield exchange requesting extra-exchange calling at less than toll rates from the Marshfield exchange served by Contel of Missouri, Inc. (Contel) to the Strafford exchange served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWB).

By order issued March 7, 1990, the Commission directed Contel to perform a calling usage study covering one billing cycle in order to ascertain whether a sufficient community of interest exists between these exchanges to justify providing them with community optional service (COS).

On May 29, 1990, Contel filed the results of the calling usage study performed in said exchanges. The results of said study indicate that a community of interest does not exist between these exchanges.

In its Report and Order issued in Case No. TO-87-131, the Commission found that a community of interest would be demonstrated if a calling usage study showed that six calls per access line per month are made on the average from the petitioning exchange to the requested exchange and two-thirds of the customers in the petitioning exchange make two or more calls per month to the requested exchange. *In re the investigation of experimental extended measured service (EMS)*, Case No. TO-87-131 (December 29, 1989, clarified January 23, 1990).

The results of the calling usage study performed herein indicate that no more than 0.738 calls per access line per month were made on the average from the

petitioning exchange to the requested exchange and only 9.34 percent of the customers in the petitioning exchange made at least two calls per month to the requested exchange.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission determines that the above-referenced case should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

ORDERED: 1. That the above-referenced case is dismissed hereby.

ORDERED: 2. That this order shall become effective on the 22nd day of June, 1990.

BY THE COMMISSION



Harvey G. Hubbs  
Secretary

(S E A L)

Steinmeier, Chm., Rauch, McClure,  
and Letsch-Roderique, CC., Concur.  
Mueller, C., Absent.