

Exhibit No.:
Issue: Revenue Requirement
Witness: Jill Schwartz
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Liberty Utilities
(Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.
d/b/a Liberty Utilities
Case No.: GR-2018-0013
Date Testimony Prepared: September 21, 2017

**Before the Public Service Commission
of the State of Missouri**

Direct Testimony

of

Jill Schwartz

On behalf of

**Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.
d/b/a Liberty Utilities**

September 2017



DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
JILL SCHWARTZ
LIBERTY UTILITIES
BEFORE THE
MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE NO. GR-2018-0013

1

INTRODUCTION

2

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

3

A. My name is Jill Schwartz. My business address is 602 South Joplin Avenue, Joplin,
4 MO 64802.

4

5

Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

6

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Services Corp. as the Senior Manager of Rates
7 and Regulatory Affairs for Liberty Utilities Central Region, which includes Liberty
8 Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities, The Empire District
9 Electric Company (“Empire”), and Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC.

10

Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?

11

A. I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a
12 Liberty Utilities (“Liberty Utilities” or “Company”).

12

13

**Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
14 BACKGROUND.**

14

15

A. In 2001, I completed my Bachelor of Science in Accounting from the John E. Simon
16 School of Business at Maryville University in St. Louis, Missouri and I am currently
17 pursuing a Masters of Accountancy. Before working for Liberty Utilities, I was
18 employed by The Boeing Company for approximately fourteen years in a variety of
19 accounting capacities, ensuring compliance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation

19

1 Mandatory Disclosure rule and developing and delivering labor compliance training
2 for all Boeing employees.

3 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC**
4 **SERVICE COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER REGULATORY AGENCY?**

5 A. While I have not testified before the Missouri Public Service Commission, I have
6 testified on behalf of the Company before the Illinois Commerce Commission and the
7 Iowa Utilities Board.

8 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS**
9 **PROCEEDING?**

10 A. The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to address the Company's overall revenue
11 requirement, rate base and rate base adjustments that should be used to establish rates
12 for Liberty Utilities. In addition, my testimony addresses how the Company has
13 satisfied the Commission's minimum filing requirements and various filing
14 requirements pursuant to prior stipulations and agreements.

15 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES WITH YOUR TESTIMONY?**

16 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following schedules:

- 17 • WP-1 Revenue Requirement Model
- 18 • WP 7-1 Plant in Service
- 19 • WP 7-3 Accumulated Depreciation
- 20 • WP 7-4 Customer Advances and Deposits
- 21 • WP 7-5 Underground Storage
- 22 • WP 7-6 Prepayments
- 23 • WP 7-8 Rate Base Offset
- 24 • WP 7-9 Energy Efficiency

- 1 • WP ADJ 2 Rate Base Offset
- 2 • WP ADJ 3 AMR Plant Addition
- 3 • WP ADJ 4 Vehicles Plant Addition
- 4 • WP ADJ 5 Main Replacement Plant Addition
- 5 • WP ADJ 6 Hannibal Shop Plant Addition

6 **Q. WAS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE SCHEDULES OBTAINED**
7 **OR DERIVED FROM THE BOOKS AND RECORDS OF THE COMPANY?**

8 A. Yes, the information contained in the schedules I am sponsoring was obtained or
9 derived from the books and records of Liberty Utilities for the twelve months ended
10 June 30, 2017.

11 **MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENTS**

12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS PART OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 A. This portion of my testimony details how the Company met the Commission MFR's
14 outlined in 4 CSR 240-3.030 and 4 CSR 240-3.235. 4 CSR 240-3.030 sets forth the
15 following requirements which were met and are located behind the transmittal cover
16 letter labeled "MFR Exhibit No. 2."

17 A. Letter of Transmittal

18 B. General information, including:

- 19 1. the amount of dollars of the aggregate annual increase and percentage of
20 increase over current revenues;
- 21 2. names of counties and communities affected;
- 22 3. the number of customers to be affected;
- 23 4. the average change requested in dollars and percentage change from current
24 rates;

- 1 5. the proposed annual aggregate change by general categories of service and by
2 rate classifications;
- 3 6. any press releases relative to the filing; and
- 4 7. a summary of the reasons for the proposed changes.

5 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING THIS INFORMATION?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. WAS THIS INFORMATION PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR**
8 **DIRECT SUPERVISION?**

9 A. Yes.

10 **Q. WHAT ARE THE OTHER MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENTS?**

11 A. Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.235 details the requirement of a gas utility to submit
12 a depreciation study as part of a general rate case.

13 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY PERFORMED A DEPRECIATION STUDY?**

14 A. Yes, the Company is presenting its full and complete detailed depreciation study in
15 this proceeding, which is sponsored by Company Witness Dane Watson.
16 Accordingly, Liberty Utilities has met that requirement in 4 CSR 240-3.235.

17 **REVENUE REQUIREMENT**

18 **Q. WHAT IS MEANT BY THE TERM “REVENUE REQUIREMENT”?**

19 A. A utility’s “revenue requirement” is the sum of its operations and maintenance
20 (“O&M”) expenses, depreciation expense, income and other taxes and a fair return on
21 the utility’s rate base. The revenue requirement is determined based on utility
22 revenue and expenses in a “test year.” When the revenue requirement exceeds the
23 utility’s test year revenues, a revenue deficiency exists, and a rate increase is required.

24 **Q. WHAT TEST YEAR IS LIBERTY UTILITIES PROPOSING IN THIS CASE?**

1 A. Liberty Utilities is proposing use of the twelve months ended June 30, 2017 as the
2 historic test year.

3 **Q. WILL LIBERTY UTILITIES BE REQUESTING THAT THE TEST YEAR BE**
4 **UPDATED?**

5 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities is requesting an update through December 31, 2017. It is the
6 Company's belief, based on past experience, that this should provide sufficient time
7 for Staff to prepare its direct case using December 2017 data. It will of course be
8 appropriate to replace these projections with actual information as the case
9 progresses.

10 **Q. IS LIBERTY UTILITIES REQUESTING A "TRUE-UP" PROCESS?**

11 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities is proposing a true-up for known and measurable changes
12 through March 31, 2018. The impact of the true up process has been included in the
13 Company's revenue requirement.

14 **Q. BASED ON LIBERTY'S TEST YEAR REVENUES, IS THE COMPANY**
15 **ABLE TO EARN A FAIR RETURN ON ITS INVESTMENTS?**

16 A. No. Based on the Company's test year rate base, revenues and expenses, the
17 Company's current rate of return is 3.0%.

18 **Q. WHAT IS THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR LIBERTY UTILITIES'**
19 **MISSOURI GAS OPERATIONS?**

20 A. Liberty Utilities has an overall revenue requirement for its Missouri natural gas
21 operations of \$33,315,800 and a current revenue deficiency of \$7,467,858.

22 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WP-1 REVENUE REQUIREMENT MODEL.**

23 A. WP-1 Revenue Requirement presents the Company's proposed revenue requirement
24 and the overall revenue requirement calculation. WP-1 Rate Base reflects the

1 Company's test year rate base, including pro forma adjustments and the resulting pro
2 forma rate base. WP-1 Operating Income provides the test year statement of
3 operating income with pro forma adjustments and the resulting pro forma operating
4 income. WP-1 Rate Base Adjustments and WP-1 Operating Income Adjustments
5 summarize the known and measurable adjustments to rate base and operating income
6 that the Company reasonably expects through the true-up period. WP-1 Income
7 Taxes calculates income taxes based on state and federal effective tax rates. WP-1
8 Interest Synchronization calculates the synchronized interest expense based on the
9 Company's rate base and weighted cost of debt. WP-1 Rate of Return presents the
10 overall cost of capital used in the calculation of the revenue requirement, which will
11 be addressed in detail by Company witness Keith Magee.

12 **RATE BASE**

13 **Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S PRO FORMA RATE BASE?**

14 A. As shown on WP-1 Rate Base, the Company's pro forma rate base is \$99,166,650. It
15 is comprised of the test year rate base of \$90,044,339, with pro forma adjustments
16 totaling \$9,122,312.

17 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPONENTS OF THE RATE BASE**
18 **CALCULATION SHOWN ON WP-1 RATE BASE.**

19 A. Rate base is comprised of the following components:

20 (i) Gas Plant in Service, less the Accumulated Depreciation;

21 (ii) Allowance for Cash Working Capital;

22 (iii) Gas Storage;

23 (iv) Energy Efficiency Regulatory Asset; and,

24 (v) Prepaid Expenses.

1 Rate Base is reduced by the following components:

2 (vi) Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (“ADIT”);

3 (vii) Customer Advances for Construction;

4 (viii) Customer Deposits; and,

5 (ix) Rate Base Offset.

6 The detail underlying each component of the rate base calculation is contained in the
7 work papers filed in this case.

8 **Q. HOW HAS THE COMPANY DEVELOPED ITS PROPOSED GAS PLANT IN**
9 **SERVICE BALANCE FOR ITS RATE CASE FILING?**

10 A. The proposed gas plant in service balance uses actual data through June 30, 2017. The
11 proposed adjustments are for the forecasted plant additions and retirements that the
12 Company reasonably expects to occur, based on the approved budget and corporate
13 model, during the update period of July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 and the
14 true up period of January 1, 2018 through March 31, 2018.

15 **Q. WHAT PLANT INVESTMENT COSTS ARE INCLUDED IN RATE BASE?**

16 A. The majority of plant investment included in the Company’s rate base is classified as
17 Distribution Plant, Transmission Plant, and General and Intangible Plant. In addition
18 to providing natural gas utility service in Missouri, the Company also provides
19 natural gas utility service in Illinois and Iowa and as such the jurisdictional rate base
20 includes an allocation of shared services.

21 **Q. WHAT ASSETS ARE INCLUDED IN THE SHARED SERVICES**
22 **ALLOCATION THAT IS INCLUDED IN THE JURISDICTIONAL RATE**
23 **BASE?**

1 A. The shared services allocation is found in WP 7-2 SS Plant. In general, the Company
2 consider an asset to be a shared services asset if it provides benefit to all of the
3 jurisdictions within Liberty Utilities. Specifically, these assets include the building
4 and land located in Jackson, Missouri, computing hardware and software, and shared
5 vehicles and equipment. It is allocated among the three states based on four factors:
6 utility plant in service, customer counts, labor costs, and operating expenses.

7 **Q. WHAT AMOUNT OF GAS PLANT IN SERVICE HAS THE COMPANY**
8 **INCLUDED IN ITS TEST YEAR RATE BASE?**

9 A. As shown on WP-1 Rate Base, Gas Plant in Service included in the rate base is
10 \$154,867,739 based on plant balances as of June 30, 2017. This includes all Missouri
11 direct plant, as well as Missouri's allocated portion of shared services plant. There are
12 pro forma adjustments totaling \$10,243,546 to recover known and measurable
13 changes to plant in service through the true up period.

14 **Q. WHAT PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO GAS PLANT IN**
15 **SERVICE?**

16 A. The Company proposes several pro forma adjustments to gas plant in service as
17 follows: the addition of automated meter reading ("AMR"), PVC and steel main
18 replacements, the construction of a new shop in Hannibal, vehicle additions, and
19 adjustments resulting from an updated cost allocation manual ("CAM"), merit
20 increases and vacant positions.

21 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE AMR PROJECT.**

22 A. The Company proposes an addition of \$7,295,000 for the implementation of AMR.
23 As addressed by Company witness, Mike Beatty, AMR is the technology of
24 automatically collecting consumption, diagnostic and status data from metering

1 devices and transferring that data to a central database for billing, troubleshooting and
2 analysis. An advantage to AMR is that billing can be based on near real-time
3 consumption rather than on estimates based on past or predicted consumption which
4 can help both the Company and customers better control the use and prediction of gas
5 usage.

6 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE AMR WILL BE IN SERVICE AND USED**
7 **AND USEFUL WITHIN THE TRUE-UP PERIOD?**

8 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities reasonably expects the implementation of AMR will be
9 complete, in service, and used and useful by March 31, 2018.

10 **Q. WHAT IS THE RATE BASE IMPACT FOR THE ADDITION OF AMR?**

11 A. As reflected on WP ADJ 3, the Company proposes an addition to gas plant in service
12 of \$7,295,000, which results in offsetting rate base adjustments for accumulated
13 depreciation and accumulated deferred income taxes (“ADIT”). The Company
14 calculates accumulated depreciation associated with the addition of AMR to be
15 \$365,480, and ADIT of \$1,297,867. As a result, the net impact for the addition of
16 AMR is an increase to rate base of \$5,631,654.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PVC AND BARE STEEL MAIN REPLACEMENTS**
18 **PROJECT.**

19 A. As discussed by Company witness Mike Beatty, the Company plans to invest
20 approximately \$1,350,000 to replace bare steel and polyvinyl chloride (“PVC”)
21 mains.

22 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THE MAIN REPLACEMENTS WILL BE**
23 **IN SERVICE, USED AND USEFUL WITHIN THE TRUE-UP PERIOD?**

1 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities reasonably expects that the bare steel and PVC main
2 replacements will be complete, in service, and used and useful by March 31, 2018.

3 **Q. WHAT IS THE IMPACT TO RATE BASE FOR THE BARE STEEL AND**
4 **PVC MAIN REPLACEMENTS?**

5 A. Like the adjustment for the addition of AMR, WP ADJ 5 calculates the offsetting rate
6 base adjustments for accumulated depreciation and ADIT associated with the bare
7 steel and PVC main replacements. The Company proposes an adjustment of
8 \$223,856 to ADIT and \$25,110 to accumulated depreciation associated with the PVC
9 and bare steel main replacement project.

10 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S ADJUSTMENT FOR THE**
11 **HANNIBAL SHOP.**

12 A. WP ADJ 6 presents the Company's adjustment for the construction of a new shop in
13 Hannibal, Missouri as discussed by Company witness Mike Beatty. The Company
14 proposes an addition to gas plant in service for \$200,000, and offsetting adjustments
15 to accumulated depreciation of \$5,620 and ADIT of \$189.

16 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THE NEW SHOP WILL BE IN SERVICE,**
17 **USED AND USEFUL WITHIN THE TRUE-UP PERIOD?**

18 A. Yes. The Company reasonably expects the construction of the shop in Hannibal will
19 be complete and in service by March 31, 2018.

20 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR VEHICLE ADDITIONS.**

21 A. As reflected on WP ADJ 4, and discussed by Company witness Mike Beatty, the
22 Company is replacing its aging service fleet and proposes a net increase to rate base
23 of \$907,208 for the addition of vehicles and equipment.

1 **Q. WILL THE VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT BE IN SERVICE, USED AND**
2 **USEFUL WITHIN THE TRUE-UP PERIOD?**

3 A. Yes. The Company reasonably expects all vehicles and equipment will be in service,
4 used and useful by March 31, 2018.

5 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROPOSED ANY ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO**
6 **GAS PLANT IN SERVICE?**

7 A. Yes. As discussed in Company witness, Charlie Evans' direct testimony, the
8 Company is proposing adjustments for merit increases and vacant positions that will
9 result in an increase to gas plant in service as a result of increased capitalized labor
10 costs. Also, Company witness, Ashley Hiatt presents the adjustment to decrease rate
11 base for changes associated with the revised cost allocation manual.

12 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY INCLUDE ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME**
13 **TAXES IN ITS RATE BASE?**

14 A. Yes. Company witness John Ostrander presents the Company's accumulated
15 deferred income taxes included in the revenue requirement.

16 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CUSTOMER ADVANCES SHOWN ON WP-1 RATE**
17 **BASE.**

18 A. Customer advances for construction are cash advances paid by customers that are
19 subject to refund to the customer in whole or in part. The payments are received from
20 customers in connection with extensions of facilities used by Missouri gas customers.
21 As reflected on WP 7-4, the thirteen-month average of customer advances for
22 construction applicable results in a \$34,741 reduction to rate base.

23 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CUSTOMER DEPOSITS SHOWN ON WP-1 RATE**
24 **BASE.**

1 A. Customer deposits are cash deposits required from customers as a condition of initial
2 or continued service under the rules set forth in the Company's tariffs approved by
3 the Commission. As reflected on WP 7-4, the thirteen-month average of customer
4 deposits results in a \$1,967,340 reduction to the Company's test year rate base.

5 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE AMOUNT OF GAS STORAGE INCLUDED IN**
6 **RATE BASE.**

7 A. WP 7-5 reflects the amount of gas stored underground for the test year based on the
8 thirteen-month average balance as of June 30, 2017.

9 **Q. HAS THE BALANCE OF GAS STORAGE DECREASED SINCE THE**
10 **COMPANY'S LAST GENERAL RATE CASE, DOCKET NO. GR-2014-0152?**

11 A. Yes. As reflected on WP 7-5 and WP-1, the Company has included \$3,686,813 in its
12 test year rate base, which represents a decrease in comparison to the gas storage rate
13 base amount in the 2014 case. The primary driver of the decrease is the cost of gas.
14 Additionally, the decreased usage during winter results in more gas left in storage
15 causing us to inject less and the gas injected is at a lower cost resulting in lower
16 balances.

17 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY INCLUDE AN ALLOWANCE FOR CASH**
18 **WORKING CAPITAL IN RATE BASE?**

19 A. Yes. Company witness Tim Lyons presents the lead lag study prepared for Liberty
20 Utilities, as well as the calculation for the allowance for cash working capital.

21 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATE BASE OFFSET INCLUDED IN THE**
22 **REVENUE REQUIREMENT.**

23 A. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Agreement approved in Case No. GM-2012-0037, the
24 rate base offset is intended to reduce the Company's rate base for rate making

1 purposes in the context of future proceedings, crediting customers with a return on the
2 rate base offset through lower rates and charges in future periods. It is being
3 amortized over a ten year period that began on March 24, 2012.

4 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE RATE BASE**
5 **OFFSET?**

6 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities proposes an adjustment for the nine additional months of
7 amortization that will occur within the true-up period from July 2017 through March
8 2018. This adjustment reduces the rate base offset and increases rate base by
9 \$1,222,500.

10 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PREPAID EXPENSES INCLUDED IN THE**
11 **COMPANY'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT.**

12 A. WP 7-6 presents the prepaid expenses, which are primarily comprised of insurance,
13 membership dues, the annual assessment of the Commission, and other various items
14 paid in advance by the Company. The average monthly balance of prepaid expenses
15 included in the Company's revenue requirement is \$253,077 applicable to Missouri
16 gas operations for the thirteen months ended June 30, 2017.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REGULATORY ASSET FOR ENERGY**
18 **EFFICIENCY INCLUDED IN RATE BASE.**

19 A. The Energy Efficiency program initiated by Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos")
20 was adopted by Liberty Utilities as part of the Stipulation and Agreement in Case No.
21 GM-2012-0037. Liberty Utilities agreed to continue certain ratemaking agreements
22 which were previously stipulated to by Atmos in its prior general rate case
23 proceeding, Case No. GR-2010-0192, including the utilization of a regulatory asset
24 account mechanism for additional monies required to fund the program. The

1 beginning balance, per Commission Case No. GR-2014-0152, of \$51,911 was to be
2 amortized over 6 years. The amortization expense as of June 30, 2017 is \$21,630,
3 resulting in a remaining balance of \$30,282 to be amortized.

4 Additionally, the Company has incurred an additional \$185,432 over and above the
5 \$150,000 included in base rates, which has been incurred in the test year and recorded
6 in the Regulatory Asset FERC Account 186.2. As reflected on WP-1 Rate Base, the
7 Company has included \$215,713 in rate base for the unrecovered Energy Efficiency
8 costs.

9 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO THE ENERGY**
10 **EFFICIENCY REGULATORY ASSET?**

11 A. Yes. Liberty Utilities proposes an adjustment to capture the additional nine months of
12 amortization that will occur within the true-up period, totaling \$37,394. This
13 adjustment reduces the ending balance reflected on WP-1 Rate Base to \$178,319.

14 **COMPLIANCE WITH STIPULATION AND AGREEMENTS**

15 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH THE STIPULATION AND**
16 **AGREEMENTS AS ORDERED BY THE COMMISSION IN CASE NOS. GM-**
17 **2012-0037 AND GR-2014-0152?**

18 A. Yes, the Company is in compliance with the Stipulation and Agreement approved by
19 the Commission in Case No. GM-2012-0037, as well as the Partial Stipulation and
20 Agreement as to Certain Issues dated August 12, 2014 (“Partial Stipulation”) and the
21 Revised Second Partial Stipulation and Agreement as to Certain Issues dated
22 September 10, 2014, approved by the Commission in Case No. GR-2014-0152.

23 Among the major areas are the following:

- 24 • **Rate Base Offset**

1 As discussed above, the ultimate impact of this rate base offset is to reduce
2 rate base and ultimately lower the overall revenue requirement requested in any base
3 rate case for a period of ten years. As reflected in the Company’s revenue
4 requirement and schedule WP 7-8, the rate base offset included for the test year
5 ending June 30, 2017 is \$8,285,833. Additionally, the Company has proposed a pro
6 forma adjustment to reflect the nine additional months of rate base amortization from
7 July 2017 through the true up period ending March 31, 2018.

8 • **Pensions/OPEBs**

9 The Company’s adjustment for pension and OPEB costs is reflected on WP
10 ADJ 1, which is sponsored by Company witness Jeff Lee.

11 • **Lead/Lag Study**

12 The Company’s Lead/Lag Study is sponsored by Company Witness Tim
13 Lyons and the results are presented in schedule WP 7-7.

14 • **Cost Allocation Manual (“CAM”)**

15 The Company has filed an application with the Commission seeking approval
16 of its Cost Allocation Manual and a conditional request for variance (as a Joint
17 Applicant with The Empire District Electric Company, The Empire District Gas
18 Company and Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC), in File No. AO-2017-0360.
19 On August 17, 2017, the Commission Staff, Office of the Public Counsel, Midwest
20 Energy Consumers Group and the Joint Applicants collectively filed their “Joint
21 Proposed Procedural Schedule” in that docket, wherein the parties explained that “the
22 parties discussed the significant undertaking that is the development of a Commission
23 approved CAM, and determined that the best path forward is to delay the setting of a
24 formal procedural schedule to allow for the parties to conduct a series of informal

1 technical meetings.” The parties specifically requested that the Commission issue an
2 order requiring Staff to file a status report on or before December 17, 2017, and, in
3 accordance with the parties’ request, the Commission issued its “Order Directing
4 Filing of Status Report” in File No. AO-2017-0360 on August 18, 2017.

5 Company witness Ashley Hiatt presents the adjustment to decrease rate base
6 for changes associated with the revised cost allocation manual.

7 • **Depreciation Study**

8 The Company is presenting its full and complete detailed depreciation study
9 in this proceeding, which is sponsored by Company witness Dane Watson.

10 • **Rate Design and Related Issues.**

11 Company Witness Tim Lyons is sponsoring and presenting the Company’s
12 Class Cost of Service Study in this proceeding.

13 • **Infrastructure System Replacement Surcharge (“ISRS”)**

14 After the Company’s previous ISRS was zeroed out in its prior general rate
15 case, Case No. GR-2014-0152, the Company’s existing ISRS was established in Case
16 Nos. GO-2015-0350 and GO-2016-0206. The Company has reconciled the amount
17 of ISRS revenue it has collected from customers to account for over or under-
18 collection of ISRS revenue and that adjustment is reflected on WP ADJ 19. Also, in
19 accordance with the ISRS Statutes, the Company’s ISRS will be zeroed out and rolled
20 into base rates.

21 **CONCLUSION**

22 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

23 **A. Yes.**

