

Exhibit No.:
Issues: Other Telephone Specific
Witness: Michael S. Scheperle
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: IO-2007-0439
Date Testimony Prepared: June 4, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE

**SPECTRA COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, LLC
d/b/a CENTURYTEL**

CASE NO. IO-2007-0439

**Jefferson City, Missouri
June, 2007**

*This testimony contains schedules that have been deemed highly
confidential or proprietary in their entirety.*

NP

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Spectra Communications)
Group, LLC d/b/a CenturyTel's Request)
for Competitive Classification Pursuant to)
Section 392.245.5 RSMo)

Case No. **IO-2007-0439**

AFFIDAVIT OF Michael S. Scheperle

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss:
COUNTY OF COLE)

Michael S. Scheperle, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 9 pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Michael S. Scheperle
Michael S. Scheperle

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of June 2007

I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Cole, State of Missouri

and my commission expires on March 16, 2009



DAWN L. HAKE
My Commission Expires
March 16, 2009
Cole County
Commission #05407643

Dawn L. Hake
NOTARY PUBLIC

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2

3 Executive Summary 2

4 Application..... 2

5 Wireless Carriers..... 4

6 Wireline Carrier(s) 6

7 Summary 8

1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

2 **OF**

3 **MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE**

4 **SPECTRA COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, LLC**

5 **d/b/a CENTURYTEL**

6 **CASE NO. IO-2007-0439**

7 Q. Please state your name and business address.

8 A. My name is Michael S. Scheperle. My business address is Post Office Box
9 360, Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-
10 0360.

11 Q. By whom are you employed?

12 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)
13 as a regulatory economist for the Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) of the
14 Commission.

15 Q. Please describe your current responsibilities as a Regulatory Economist.

16 A. I am responsible for reviewing and writing recommendations for
17 controversial or contested tariff and case filings. I am also responsible for reviewing
18 Missouri Universal Service Fund activities and assisting in Relay Missouri meetings and
19 activities. Also, I have been appointed by arbitrators to advisory staff status to assist the
20 arbitrator in the decision-making process on unresolved issues in the negotiation of
21 interconnection agreements between Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILECs) and
22 various Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs).

23 Q. Please describe your educational background and employment history.

Direct Testimony of
Michael S. Scheperle

1 A. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from Lincoln
2 University in Jefferson City, Missouri. I was employed by Missouri Power and Light
3 Company from 1973 to 1983 as Supervisor of Rates, Regulations and Budgeting. I was
4 employed by United Water Missouri as Commercial Manager from 1983 to 2000. I began
5 employment at the Commission in June 2000.

6 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

7 A. Yes. A list of other Commission cases I have testified in is attached as
8 Schedule 1.

9 **Executive Summary**

10 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to evaluate the Application for
12 Competitive Classification (Application) filed by Spectra Communications Group, LLC
13 d/b/a CenturyTel (Spectra), an ILEC, on May 17, 2007, and as Amended on June 1,
14 2007, under the 30-day competitive track. My testimony will recommend the
15 Commission grant Spectra's Application for classifying its residential services (other than
16 exchange access service) as competitive in the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City,
17 Greenfield, Lawson and Sarcoxie exchanges because the Application meets the
18 requirements of the applicable statute, Section 392.245.5 RSMo. Staff has been unable to
19 confirm qualifying competing wireline carriers are providing local voice service for
20 residential customers in the Mountain Grove exchange.

21 **Application**

22 Q. What is Spectra requesting in the instant case?

1 A. Spectra's Application filed on May 17, 2007, requests the Commission
2 classify the residential services Spectra offers in the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City,
3 Greenfield, Lawson, Mountain Grove and Sarcoxie, other than exchange access services,
4 as competitive. Also, Spectra request that the Commission classify the business services
5 it offers in the Mount Vernon exchange, other than exchange access services, as
6 competitive. On June 1, 2007, Spectra amended its application to delete its request for
7 competitive classification for its business services in the Mount Vernon exchange.

8 Q. Briefly summarize what is required for an exchange to qualify for
9 competitive status under the thirty-day competitive track described in Section
10 392.245.5(6) RSMo.

11 A. Two non-affiliated carriers should be providing local voice service to
12 residential and/or business customers within an exchange, depending on whether
13 competitive classification is being sought for residential, business services, or both. Only
14 one carrier may be a wireless carrier; the second carrier must be providing service in
15 whole or in part over its own facilities.

16 Q. What information does Spectra put forth in its Application to support its
17 request for competitive status for residential services (other than exchange access service)
18 for the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City, Greenfield, Lawson, Mountain Grove and
19 Sarcoxie exchanges?

20 A. Spectra in its Application supplied Exhibit A which identifies the various
21 non-affiliated entities it claims are providing services in Spectra exchanges. Specifically,
22 Exhibit A identifies the wireline carrier(s) in each designated exchange and the various
23 wireless carrier(s) serving each designated exchange. This information provided Staff the

1 opportunity to investigate each exchange based on information supplied by Spectra.
2 Also, Spectra supplied wireless coverage maps, website information and porting
3 information (Exhibit B through Exhibit I) for each exchange.

4 **Wireless Carriers**

5 Q. What information does Spectra put forth in its Application regarding
6 wireless carriers?

7 A. Spectra identifies the following non-affiliated wireless carriers as
8 providing local service to customers in Spectra's identified residential and business
9 exchanges for competitive classification: Alltel, Cingular, Dobson (Cellular One), Sprint
10 PCS, Sprint/Nextel, T-Mobile, US Cellular and Verizon Wireless (Exhibit A of
11 Application identifies specific wireless carriers in each exchange).

12 Also, Spectra supplied information in its Application (Exhibit B through Exhibit
13 I) containing coverage maps of the various wireless providers serving the designated
14 exchanges along with porting information by wireless carriers.

15 Q. Did Staff perform an investigation to determine whether or not the above
16 named wireless carriers are providing service to customers geographically located within
17 the designated exchanges?

18 A. Yes. First, in accordance with procedures followed in previous thirty-day
19 track competitive status cases, Staff attempted to contact representatives of the above
20 named wireless carriers. Staff requested affidavits from these representatives confirming
21 certain information about whether the wireless carrier is providing local voice service
22 within the exchange.

23 Q. What responses has Staff received from wireless carriers?

1 A. Staff has received affidavits from various wireless carriers to be able to
2 verify that at least one or more wireless carriers are serving two or more residential
3 customers in the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City, Greenfield, Lawson, Mountain
4 Grove and Sarcoxie exchanges (Schedule 2).

5 Specifically, Staff received affidavits from five wireless carriers.

- 6 • A US Cellular representative affirmed that US Cellular serves at least two
7 residential customers who have addresses within the Brunswick, Mountain
8 Grove and Sarcoxie exchanges and that wireline customers can place local
9 calls to US Cellular subscribers within the listed exchanges.
- 10 • A T-Mobile representative affirmed T-Mobile serves at least two
11 residential customers with numbers rated as local to the Cameron
12 exchange. Also, with respect to the Lawson, Mountain Grove and Mount
13 Vernon exchanges, T-Mobile does not have local numbering resources
14 assigned by the North American Numbering Administrator nor the
15 Pooling Administrator for these exchanges. However, pursuant to the
16 Federal Communication Commission's local number portability rules, at
17 least two customers have ported in their telephone numbers from other
18 carriers to T-Mobile, meaning the ported numbers would be local
19 numbers.
- 20 • A Cingular representative affirmed Cingular serves at least two residential
21 customers who have addresses within the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden
22 City, Greenfield, Lawson, Mountain Grove and Sarcoxie exchanges. Also,
23 A Cingular representative affirmed that Cingular has at least two business

customers who have addresses within the Mount Vernon exchange.

Wireline customers can place local calls to Cingular subscribers.

- A Sprint PCS representative affirmed Sprint PCS serves at least two residential customers who have addresses in the Cameron, Lawson, Mountain Grove and Sarcoxie exchanges. Also, a Sprint PCS representative affirmed that Sprint PCS has at least two business customers who have addresses in the Mount Vernon exchange.
- An Alltel representative affirmed Alltel has two or more customers who have addresses in the Cameron exchange.

The affidavits received from the wireless carriers' representatives are attached as Schedule 3.

Q. Based on the evidence gathered above, does Staff conclude at least one wireless carrier unaffiliated with Spectra is providing local voice service within the designated exchanges in its Amended Application?

A. Yes, Staff does.

Wireline Carrier(s)

Q. What information does Spectra put forth in its Application regarding wireline carriers?

A. Spectra, on Exhibit A of its Application identified MCC Telephony of Missouri, Inc. (Mediacom) as a provider of local voice service to residential customers in direct competition with Spectra in the Spectra exchanges of Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City, Greenfield, Lawson, and Sarcoxie. Spectra, on Exhibit A of its Application identified Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC (Charter) as a provider of local voice service

1 to residential customers in direct competition with Spectra in the Spectra exchange of
2 Mountain Grove.

3 Also, Spectra supplied porting information by CLEC (Exhibit B through Exhibit
4 I) for each exchange.

5 Q. Has Staff performed an investigation into the named wireline carriers
6 providing local voice service to the designated exchanges?

7 A. Yes. Staff analyzed 2006 Annual Reports to verify the existence of the
8 named wireline carriers in the designated exchanges using facilities it owns in part or
9 whole. Staff through 2006 Annual Reports was able to identify that Mediacom is serving
10 two or more residential customers in the Brunswick, Cameron, Golden City, Greenfield
11 and Lawson exchanges using facilities it owns in part or whole (Schedule 4).

12 Staff was not able to identify wireline carriers serving at least two residential
13 customers for the Mountain Grove and Sarcoxie exchanges through 2006 Annual Reports
14 using facilities it owns in part or whole (Schedule 4).

15 Accordingly, Staff sent information requests to identified wireline carriers for the
16 existence of two or more residential customers served by Mediacom for the Sarcoxie
17 exchange using facilities it own in part or whole and an information request for the
18 existence of two or more residential customers served by Charter for the Mountain Grove
19 exchange using facilities it owns in part or whole.

20 Q. Is Mediacom currently providing residential local voice service to
21 customers within the Sarcoxie exchange?

Direct Testimony of
Michael S. Scheperle

1 A. Yes. Through an affidavit verification, Mediacom confirmed that it is
2 providing residential local voice service to two or more residential customers, using
3 facilities it owns in part or whole, in the Spectra exchange of Sarcoxie (Schedule 5).

4 Q. Based on this evidence, does Staff conclude at least one wireline carrier
5 unaffiliated with Spectra is providing residential service to the Brunswick, Cameron,
6 Golden City, Greenfield, Lawson and Sarcoxie exchanges as identified by Spectra in its
7 Application?

8 A. Yes, it does.

9 Q. Is Charter currently providing residential local voice service to customers
10 within the Mountain Grove exchange?

11 A. Spectra in its Application identified Charter as providing residential
12 service in the Mountain Grove exchange based on Charter's website and 2006 Annual
13 Report. The 2006 Annual Report did not identify lines being served by Charter in the
14 Mountain Grove exchange. Charter through an affidavit verification denied that it is
15 providing local voice service in the Mountain Grove exchange. Spectra's Exhibit H
16 indicates Spectra has ported telephone numbers to Sprint CLEC (Sprint). Sprint's annual
17 report does not indicate it serves the Mountain Grove exchange, but it is common
18 knowledge that Sprint handles back-office operations for many different types of carriers.
19 At this time Staff is not able to verify what carrier is actually providing service in the
20 Mountain Grove exchange. Therefore, Staff is unable to recommend the Commission
21 grant competitive status for residential services in the Mountain Grove exchange.

22 **Summary**

23 Q. What is Staff's recommendation in this case?

Direct Testimony of
Michael S. Scheperle

1 A. Staff recommends Spectra's Application be granted for residential
2 competitive services (other than exchange access service) in the Brunswick, Cameron,
3 Golden City, Greenfield, Lawson and Sarcoxie exchanges. Staff has been unable to
4 confirm qualifying competing wireline carriers are providing local voice service for
5 residential services in the Mountain Grove exchange; therefore, Staff does not
6 recommend Spectra be granted competitive classification in the Mountain Grove
7 exchange.

8 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?

9 A. Yes, it does.

Additional MoPSC Cases where Michael Scheperle has filed testimony:

- TO-98-329, *In the Matter of an Investigation into Various Issues Related to the Missouri Universal Service Fund*
- TT-2000-527/513, *Application of Allegiance Telecom of Missouri, Inc. ... for an Order Requiring Southwestern Bell Telephone Company to File a Collocation Tariff; Joint Petition of Birch Telecom of Missouri, Inc. for a Generic Proceeding to Establish a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Collocation Tariff before the Missouri Public Service Commission*
- TT-2001-139, *In the Matter of Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company's Proposed Tariff to Introduce its Wireless Termination Service*
- TT-2001-298, *In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Proposed Tariff PSC Mo. No. 42 Local Access Service Tariff, Regarding Physical and Virtual Collocation*
- TT-2001-440, *In the Matter of the determination of Prices, Terms, and Conditions of Line-Splitting and Line-Sharing*
- TO-2001-455, *In the Matter of the Application of AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., TCG St. Louis, Inc., and TCG Kansas City, Inc., for Compulsory Arbitration of Unresolved Issues with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*
- TC-2002-57, *In the Matter Of Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company's And Modern Telecommunications Company's Complaint Against Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Regarding Uncompensated Traffic Delivered by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company To Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone And Modern Telecommunications Company.*
- TC-2002-190, *In the Matter Of Mid-Missouri Telephone Company vs. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company*
- TC-2002-1077, *BPS Telephone Company, et al., vs. Voicestream Wireless Corporation, Western Wireless Corp., and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company*
- TO-2005-0144, *In the Matter of a Request for the Modification of the Kansas City Metropolitan Calling Area Plan to Make the Greenwood Exchange Part of the Mandatory MCA Tier 2*
- TO-2006-0360, *In the Matter of the Application of NuVox Communications of Missouri, Inc. for an Investigation into the Wire Centers that AT&T Missouri Asserts are Non-Impaired Under the TRRO*

Missouri Public Service Commission
Case No. IO-2007-0439
Spectra

Exchange	Verification (1)
-----------------	-------------------------

Brunswick - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular, US Cellular

Cameron - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular, Sprint PCS, T-Mobile, Alltel

Golden City - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular

Greenfield - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular

Lawson - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular, T-Mobile (ported numbers only), Sprint PCS

Mountain Grove - Residential

CLEC	None
Wireless	Cingular, Sprint PCS, US Cellular, T-Mobile (ported numbers only)

Sarcoxie - Residential

CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Cingular, Sprint PCS, US Cellular


(1) Verification supplied by wireless carrier and wireline carrier through 2006 Annual Reports and affidavits.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

AFFIDAVIT OF LAWRENCE J. KRAJCI


STATE OF ARKANSAS)
) ss
COUNTY OF PULASKI)


Lawrence J. Krajci, of lawful age, on his oath states: that (1) ALLTEL Communications, Inc. has two or more customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Branson, Cameron, Cassville and Forsyth.



Lawrence J. Krajci
Staff Manager/ State Affairs
Alltel Communications, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of May, 2007.




Notary Public

My commission expires _____

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

AFFIDAVIT OF VICKIE JOHNSON

**STATE OF GEORGIA
COUNTY OF FULTON**

Vickie Johnson, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) Cingular Wireless has two or more residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Bourbon, Branson, Brunswick, Cabool, Cameron, Cassville, Cuba, Forsyth, Golden City, Greenfield, Kimberling City, Lawson, Mansfield, Mountain Grove, Sarcoxie and Troy.

Vickie Johnson, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) Cingular Wireless has two or more business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Branson, Marshfield, Mount Vernon, Ozark and Troy.

For each of the listed exchanges above, wireline customers can place local calls to Cingular subscribers residing within that exchange.

Vickie Johnson
Vickie Johnson
Sr Manager - Tax Ops
Sr. Manager - Tax Operations

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30 day of May, 2007.

Brian L. Kuntz
BRIAN L. KUNTZ
Notary Public, Dekalb County, Georgia
My Commission Expires April 9, 2011

Notary Public

My commission expires _____



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

AFFIDAVIT OF KENNETH A. SCHIFMAN

STATE OF Kansas)
) ss
COUNTY OF Johnson)


Kenneth A. Schiffman of lawful age, on his oath states: that (1) Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS has two or more wireless residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Bourbon, Branson, Cabool, Cameron, Cuba, Forsyth, Kimberling City, Lawson, Mansfield, Mountain Grove, Sarcoxie and Troy.


Kenneth A. Schiffman of lawful age, on his oath states: that (1) Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS has two or more wireless business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Branson, Mount Vernon, Ozark and Troy.



Kenneth A. Schiffman
Director, Government Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31st day of May, 2007.




Notary Public

My commission expires 9-12-08

AFFIDAVIT OF TERU Y. OHTA

Schedule 3-4

telephone numbers from other carriers and the above referenced exchanges/rate centers to
T-Mobile.

Teri Y. Ohta

Teri Y. Ohta


Senior Corporate Counsel

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24 day of May, 2007.

[Signature]
Notary Public

My commission expires

4/28/11



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF JEFFREY D. SORENSEN

STATE OF ILLINOIS

)

) ss

COUNTY OF COOK

)

JEFFREY D. SORENSEN, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) US Cellular Wireless has two or more residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Branson, Brunswick, Cabool, Forsyth, Mansfield, Mountain Grove and Searcoie.

JEFFREY D. SORENSEN, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) US Cellular Wireless has two or more business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Branson and Marshfield.

For each of the listed exchanges above, wireline customers can place local calls to US Cellular subscribers residing within that exchange.




Jeffrey D. Sorensen

Regulatory Accounting Supervisor

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21 day of May, 2007.

Official Seal
Mouy Lang Lou
Notary Public State of Illinois
Commission Expires 06/06/2009


Notary Public

My commission expires

June 6, 2009

**Schedule 4 Has Been Deemed Highly
Confidential In Its Entirety.**

**CHARTER FIBERLINK -
MISSOURI, LLC**

Carrie L. Cox
Vice-President and Senior Counsel
Telephone Legal/Regulatory Affairs
Direct: 314-543-2567
Fax: 314-965-6640
Email: carrie.cox@chartercom.com

June 1, 2007

**VIA Electronic Filing
PROPRIETARY FILING**

Missouri Public Service Commission
Attn: Mike Scheperle
Economist, Telecommunications Department
Missouri Public Service Commission
Governor Office Building
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Case Nos. IO-2007-0440 and IO-2007-0439

Dear Mr. Scheperle:

Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC (Charter) makes this filing pursuant to your request of May 24, 2007 regarding the above-referenced case numbers. Specifically, you have asked whether Charter provides service in the CenturyTel exchanges of Bourbon, Cuba, and Troy and the Spectra exchange of Mountain Grove and if so what line counts are associated with those exchanges.

Charter does not provide service in the CenturyTel Troy exchange or in the Spectra Mountain Grove exchange. Charter files line counts for the remaining exchanges as proprietary information under Missouri rule 4 CSR 240-2.135. Charter has attached an affidavit regarding this information as well as the information requested.

Best Regards,



Carrie L. Cox

Enclosures


CC: William Haas (MOPSC) E-Mail William.haas@psc.mo.gov

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID SAMUELSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)ss
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)


David Samuelson, of lawful age, on his oath states that: (1) Charter has two or more residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Bourbon, and Cuba, and does not have two or more residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Troy and Mountain grove; (2) the attached customer and line counts are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.


David Samuelson

Reports Analyst

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of June, 2007.

My commission expires: 4/25/2009


Notary Public
"NOTARY SEAL"
Janeen Domagalski, Notary Public
St. Louis County, State of Missouri
My Commission Expires 4/25/2009
Commission Number 05405360

**Schedule 5-3 Has Been Deemed
Proprietary In Its Entirety.**



Anna Sokolin-Maimon
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Mike Scheperle
Economist, Telecommunications Department
Missouri Public Service Commission
Governor Office Building
200 Madison Street
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

May 25, 2007

Dear Mr. Scheperle,

Attached please find the line count information you requested in certain Missouri exchanges of MCC Telephony of Missouri, Inc. ("MCC") and an affidavit affirming this information. The subscriber and line count information contained in the attachments to this letter is highly proprietary and confidential commercial information, the disclosure of which to competitors, or potential competitors, could be detrimental to MCC. Therefore, MCC requests confidential treatment of the subscriber and line count information it is providing in this matter at the request of Commission Staff. Thank you very much for your attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to call me at 845-695-2610 should you require any additional information, clarification or assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "A. Sokolin-Maimon", written in a cursive style.

Anna Sokolin-Maimon

**Schedule 5-6 Has Been Deemed Highly
Confidential In Its Entirety.**