

Commissioners

KELVIN L. SIMMONS Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

SHEILA LUMPE

STEVE GAW

BRYAN FORBIS

# Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number)

February 26, 2002

http://www.psc.state.mo.us

ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

FILED

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. TO-2002-355

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel

(573) 751-8701

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

MP/lb Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

1	FILED
OoM!	FEB 2 6 2002
¥/6	Securi public Commission

In the Matter of the Application of	`
4.4	,
ALLTEL Communications, Inc., for	)
Approval of its Cellular/PCS	)
Interconnection Agreement with	)
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	
under 47 U.S.C. §252.	)

Case No. TO-2002-355

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between ALLTEL Communications, Inc. and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.
- 2. The Commission's authority to approve or reject this Interconnection Agreement is pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 3. The Staff concludes that the Interconnection Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement, and the Agreement is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends approval of the Interconnection Agreement.



Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel

Missouri Bar No. 45722

Manlot

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8701 (Telephone) (573) 751-9285 (Fax)

#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 26<sup>th</sup> day of February 2002.

## MEMORANDUM

To:	Missouri P	ublic Se	rvice Commission Offic	ial Case File		
	Case No.:	TO-20	02-355			
	Parties:	South	western Bell Telephone	Company; and	Docket I	
		ALLT	EL Communications, I	nc.	U304 U377	
From:	Nat	municati	ions Department  Litub 2/22/02 s Division/Date	Man 2/2 General Counsel's Office/	26/02	
Subject:	Staff Rec	commen	dation for Approval of In	nterconnection Agreement		
Date:	February 22, 2002					
Date Filed:	January 2	22, 2002		<b>,</b>		
Staff Deadline	: March 04	4, 2002				
The Telecommu the submitted (m		_	, ,	ds the Parties be granted ap	proval of	
R	esale Agreen	sale Agreement				
☐ Fa	cilities-base	ilities-based Interconnection Agreement				
⊠ w	ireless Inter	reless Interconnection Agreement				
(Commission) provided the prospecifically, the 1) does not discussed is not against	ursuant to the posed Agreement: criminate against the public if the Comm	e terms of ement and ainst tele nterest, of nission d	of the Telecommunication of the Telecommunication of states that it meets the ecommunications carriers convenience or necessity	ri Public Service Commissions Act of 1996 (Act). Staff limited requirements of the not party to the Agreement.	has Act.	
⊠ St	aff has a seri	ially nun	nbered copy of the Agree	ement.		
Is there an attack considerations?		recomm No	nendation indicating any	recommendations or specia	1	
From		itials	Date	Revised	]	
Mr. Kuss	A	APK_	February 22, 2002		_	
Wm. Voight Mr. VanEscher	,	(16)	3 33 03			
Legal						

02-25-02A08:30 RCVD

Appendix A

Case No. TO-2002-355
Page 2 of 2

## Interconnection Agreement Review Items

$\boxtimes$	No applications to intervene filed (Intervention Deadline 02-20-2002).			
$\boxtimes$	Terms and Rates in Agreement are similar to those contained in previously-approved Agreements; e.g. Case TO-2001-697: Southwestern Bell Telephone Company; and AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.			
$\boxtimes$	Agreement signed by both Parties.			
$\boxtimes$	Both Parties have Basic Local certificates. (If not, uncertificated Party is).			
$\boxtimes$	Parties have submitted a copy of the executed Agreement with the pages numbered seriatim.			
	Attachment Notes:			

Service List for Case No. TO-2002-355 Verified: February 26, 2002 (lb)

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center, Room 3518 St. Louis, MO 63101 Larry W. Dority Fischer & Dority, P.C. 101 Madison St., Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101