Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Crossroads, Pre-MEEIA, Special Rates Michael L. Stahlman MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2016-0156 August 15, 2016

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

TARIFF/RATE DESIGN UNIT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2016-0156

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2016

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN
5	KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY
6	CASE NO. ER-2016-0156
7	ENTERGY'S TRANSITION TO MISO
8	PRE-MEEIA OPT-OUTS 6
9	TIME OF DAY, TIME OF USE, AND REAL-TIME PRICING 6

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2		OF		
3		MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN		
4		KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS		
5		CASE NO. ER-2016-0156		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
7	А.	My name is Michael L. Stahlman, and my business address is Missouri Public		
8	Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.			
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
10	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")		
11	as a Regulatory Economist III in the Tariff/Rate Design Unit, Operational Analysis			
12	Department in the Commission Staff Division.			
13	Q.	Are you the same Michael L. Stahlman that supported sections in Staff's		
14	Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report?			
15	А.	Yes.		
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
17	А.	I am providing testimony on the history that led to Entergy's decision to join		
18	Midcontinent	Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") in response to Scott H.		
19	Heidtbrink's direct testimony in this matter discussing the Crossroads Power Plant. I also			
20	discuss pre-M	EEIA opt-out customers and time-based special rates in response to Bradley D.		
21	Lutz's direct testimony.			

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman

1

Entergy's Transition to MISO

Q. Before the FERC began promoting regional transmission planning through
Independent System Operators ("ISOs") and Regional Transmission Operators ("RTOs") how
did Entergy participate in regional transmission planning?

A. Entergy was a member of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. ("SPP") until it
withdrew its membership on October 31, 1997.¹

Q. What actions did Entergy take to pursue regional transmission planning after it
8 withdrew from SPP in 1997?

9 In October 2000 Entergy sought to form an independent, for-profit A. 10 transmission company that would be operated under SPP oversight as a regional transmission organization ("RTO") in a companion filing² to SPP's second application seeking RTO 11 status.³ The FERC rejected those applications in July 2001, and in its order asked Entergy to 12 13 explore joining a RTO in the southeastern states. Thus, Entergy began to work with other companies to establish the SeTrans RTO.⁴ However, those efforts were suspended in 14 15 December 2003 when Entergy became aware that some members would not be able to obtain 16 the necessary state and federal agency approvals. After this, Entergy proposed that it would contract with a third party to act as an independent coordinator of transmission ("ICT").⁵ 17 18 Entergy contracted with SPP, which had become an RTO, to act as the ICT. As an ICT, SPP 19 would, among other things, grant or deny requests for transmission service, calculate available 20 flowgate capability, administer Entergy's Open Access Same Time Information System

¹ A large number of utilities withdrew their membership from SPP, including St. Joseph Power & Light Company on September 15, 1997, and the Entergy utilities, along with the Associated Electric Cooperatives, on October 31, 1997 during SPP's deliberation on forming itself into an ISO.

² FERC Docket No. RT01-75.

³ FERC Docket No. RT01-34.

⁴ FERC Docket No. EL02-101.

⁵ FERC Docket Nos. ER04-699 and ER05-1065.

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman

("OASIS"), and perform an enhanced planning function. Under the proposed arrangement,
 Entergy would continue to set its own transmission rates and construction plans. The FERC
 conditionally approved Entergy's proposal on April 24, 2006, on an <u>experimental</u> four-year
 basis, i.e., until 2010. Entergy filed compliance tariffs in November 17, 2006, to effectuate
 its plan.

6

Q. When did GMO enter into a twenty-year transmission agreement with Entergy, to move power from Crossroads to GMO's service territory in Missouri?

8

7

A. In 2009.

9 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Heidtbrink that that Entergy was likely to join SPP
10 when GMO entered into the twenty-year transmission agreement with Entergy⁶?

11 A. No. That transmission agreement was signed on February 20, 2009- one year before the completion of SPP's experimental four-year term as Entergy's ICT.⁷ In addition, 12 13 that transmission agreement was signed prior to any studies examining the benefits and cost 14 of Entergy joining SPP. On May 29, 2009, the Arkansas Public Service Commission 15 prompted Entergy to study the benefits of joining SPP companies as compared to participation 16 under the existing ICT services arrangement; and to explore full SPP membership by Entergy Arkansas, Inc. as a standalone entity, compared to continuing under the existing ICT services 17 arrangement.⁸ FERC also agreed to fund a separate study to examine the costs and benefits of 18 19 Entergy joining SPP during a committee meeting of FERC and Entergy's state regulators on 20 July 24, 2009, in Charleston, South Carolina.

⁶ Direct Testimony of Scott H. Heidtbrink, p. 12, ll. 1-5.

⁷ "Comments of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company" in FERC Docket No. EC12-145.

⁸ APSC Docket No. 08-136-U, Order No. 10, May 29.

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman

1 The FERC-sponsored study examining the costs and benefits of Entergy joining SPP was not completed until September 30, 2010.9 The study found large benefits to joining SPP 2 3 that were relatively robust across the different scenarios, although the net benefits 4 to individual regions were highly dependent on the allocation of regional high 5 voltage transmission expansion expenditures. On October 27, 2010, the Arkansas Commission-directed study,¹⁰ examining the costs and benefits of Entergy joining SPP was 6 7 completed. This study found no significant economic benefits to Entergy Arkansas or SPP 8 from Entergy Arkansas joining the SPP, relative to operating on a standalone basis. Entergy 9 engaged Charles River Associates to perform two additional addendum studies; one study, completed on December 8, 2010, to analyze additional sensitivity cases using the models and 10 input assumptions developed under the FERC-sponsored study¹¹ and an additional study, 11 12 completed March 10, 2011, to assess the costs and benefits of Entergy and/or Cleco Power joining the Midwest ISO k/n/a Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.¹² These 13 14 studies continued to find significant benefits for the Entergy region to join a RTO; however, 15 they also found that the benefits of Entergy Arkansas joining a RTO were more limited. 16 These studies continued to qualify their findings with significant concerns over the 17 uncertainty of transmission expansion expenditures, RTO size, seams agreements, and the

⁹ Charles River Associates and Resero Consulting (2010). "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Entergy and Cleco Power Joining the SPP RTO" <u>https://www.ferc.gov/industries/electric/indus-act/rto/spp/spp-entergy-cba-report.pdf</u>. (13JUN2016).

⁽¹³JUN2016). ¹⁰ Charles River Associates (2010). "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Joining the SPP RTO" <u>https://www.spp.org/documents/13366/spp%20eai%20cba%20report%20final%2010-27-10.pdf</u>. (13JUN2016).

¹¹ Charles River Associates (2010). "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Entergy and Cleco Power Joining the SPP RTO: Addendum Study"

https://www.spp.org/documents/13685/spp%20entergy%20cba%20addendum%20report%20final%2012-8-10.pdf. (13JUN2016).

¹² Charles River Associates (2011). "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Entergy/Cleco Power or Entergy Arkansas Joining the Midwest ISO: Addendum Study"

https://www.spp.org/documents/14225/miso%20spp%20entergy%20cba%20addendum%20report%20final%203 -10-11.pdf. (13JUN2016).

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman

transition of SPP to a Day 2 market. However, in April of 2011, Entergy utilities proposed
 joining the MISO, citing its superior benefits over SPP membership. MISO became
 Entergy's ICT on December 1, 2012, and Entergy fully integrated grid operations into
 MISO¹³ on December 19, 2013.

5

6

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Heidtbrink that there was a "change with regard to Crossroads after the Commission's rate order in GMO's last rate case (ER-2012-0175)?"¹⁴

7 A. I do not agree that Entergy joining MISO was a change relevant to the 8 Commission's order. As discussed in the Direct Testimony of John R. Carlson, 9 "Crossroads [was] located in the service territory of one of the operating utilities of Entergy 10 Corp., which was not a member of any RTO at that time." If Crossroads was initially located 11 in SPP, then, as Mr. Heidtbrink notes, "the transmission cost paid by GMO to move Crossroads power to GMO's market area would [be] \$0 per year."¹⁵ In its *Report and Order* 12 for File No. ER-2010-0356, this Commission found, "In addition to the valuation, the 13 14 Commission concludes that but for the location of Crossroads customers would not have to 15 pay the excessive cost of transmission...The Commission further determines that it is not just 16 and reasonable for GMO customers to pay the excessive cost of transmission from Mississippi and it shall be excluded."¹⁶ In its Report and Order for File No. ER-2012-0175, 17 18 the Commission incorporated those findings of fact and conclusions of law from

¹³ MISO changed its name to the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. from the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. on April 26, 2013.

¹⁴ Direct Testimony of Scott H. Heidtbrink, p. 11, ll. 15-16 and ll. 16-23.

¹⁵ Direct Testimony of Scott H. Heidtbrink, p. 12 ll. 4-5. Staff presumes that he is referring to SPP Schedules 7,8, and 9 which are zonal charges based on load location and, result in a no charges since GMO owns most of the transmission in its load zone.

¹⁶ Report and Order, File No. ER-2010-0356, p. 99, 100.

ER-2010-0356.¹⁷ Today, Crossroads continues to be in the service territory of one of the
 operating utilities of Entergy Corp., which is not a member of SPP.

3 **Pre-MEEIA Opt-Outs**

Q. Did Staff include an increase in revenue requirement to compensate GMO for
customers who opted out of demand-side programs in the test year?

A. No. Mr. Lutz included an adjustment, based on, in part, an interpretation of a
Stipulation in Case No. EO-2014-0029. As noted by Mr. Lutz, that Stipulation was entered
into by KCPL—not GMO.

9 Time of Day, Time of Use, and Real-Time Pricing

Q. Does Staff agree with GMO's proposal to freeze the General Service Time
of Day and Real-Time Pricing special rates and remove the optional Time of Use
Adjustment Rider?

A. Consistent with Staff's Rate Design Report, Staff recommends the Commission order GMO to file a rate design case upon the completion of one year's worth of load research data. GMO should include in its filing its proposal to make Time of Use ("ToU") rates available to all customers including a study of applicable ToU determinants.¹⁸ With this condition, Staff will not oppose GMO's proposal to freeze the General Service Time of Day and Real-Time Pricing special rates and remove the optional Time of Use Adjustment Rider in this case.

20

Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

21

A. Yes it does.

Q.

¹⁷ Report and Order, File No. ER-2012-0175, p. 52.

¹⁸ Staff Report—Rate Design, ER-2016-0156, p. 5, ll. 17-23.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority) to Implement A General Rate Increase for **Electric Service**

Case No. ER-2016-0156

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

RICE

MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 12^{H} day of August, 2016.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissionad for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016 Commission Number: 12412070

Notary Public