

SA-2021-0017, Vol. I

| 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
|----|---|
| 2 | OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | PREHEARING CONFERENCE |
| 6 | |
| 7 | APRIL 2, 2021 |
| 8 | VOLUME 1, PAGE 1 |
| 9 | |
| 10 | In the Matter of Missouri-American) Water Company's Application for a) |
| 11 | Certificate of Convenience and) Necessity Authorizing it to Install,) SA-2021-0017 |
| 12 | Own, Acquire, Construct, Operate,) Control, Manage and Maintain a Sewer) |
| 13 | System in and around the City of) Hallsville, Missouri |
| 14 | Halloville, Hibboart , |
| 15 | |
| 16 | JANA JACOBS, Presiding |
| 17 | REGULATORY LAW JUDGE |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | REPORTED BY: |
| 21 | Linda DeBisschop, CCR No. 779 |
| 22 | TIGER COURT REPORTING |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

SA-2021-0017, Vol. I

| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY: |
| 4 | Mr. Dean Cooper |
| 5 | Ms. Jennifer Hernandez |
| 6 | Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. |
| 7 | PO Box 456 |
| 8 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 |
| 9 | |
| 10 | FOR THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: |
| 11 | Mr. Travis Pringle |
| 12 | Mr. Mark Johnson. |
| 13 | 200 Madison Street, PO Box 360. |
| 14 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | FOR THE MISSOURI OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL: |
| 17 | Mr. Nathan Williams |
| 18 | P.O. Box 2230 |
| 19 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | FOR THE BOONE COUNTY REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT: |
| 22 | Ms. Jennifer Griffin |
| 23 | Lathrop GPM |
| 24 | 314 East High Street |
| 25 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. |
| | |

| 1 | JUDGE JACOBS: So thank you all very much |
|----|--|
| 2 | for joining me this morning. I appreciate it. We |
| 3 | do have a court reporter here with us. We will go |
| 4 | on the record now for this pre-hearing conference in |
| 5 | file SA-2021-0017. Today is April 2, 2021. This |
| б | case concerns Missouri-American Water's application |
| 7 | for certificate of convenience and necessity for the |
| 8 | Hallsville, Missouri sewer system. |
| 9 | The conference was set for |
| 10 | 10:15 today and we are getting started right on time |
| 11 | at 10:15 a.m. My name is Jana Jacobs and I'm the |
| 12 | Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this matter. I'll |
| 13 | note for the record that this conference is being |
| 14 | conducted by telephone and video conference today. |
| 15 | The commission set this time for procedural |
| 16 | conference. |
| 17 | The purpose of this conference is |
| 18 | two-fold. First, I brought the parties together to |
| 19 | discuss how we will handle exhibits for the hearing |
| 20 | on April 8 which is going to be conducted as a |
| 21 | remote hearing. |
| 22 | Second, this conference is a bit of a |
| 23 | technical trial run for us. I wanted to get each |
| 24 | witness and every party an opportunity to verify |
| 25 | that they are able to connect adequately with |
| | |

Webex that they understand how to use the controls 1 2 and that we will all be able to hear each other so that we can conduct that hearing before the 3 commission on the 8th. 4 5 As I said before, we have a court 6 reporter here with us on the line. I will keep her 7 with us as we discuss exhibits and anything really substantive that needs to be discussed today with 8 9 the parties. Hopefully, we will be able to excuse 10 her and then just remain with your witnesses. 11 The commission did ask that witnesses 12 appear today so that we could, as I said, test and make sure that people are able to conduct and 13 14 participate in the hearing adequately. 15 So I am going to ask, of course, that 16 counsel remain present the entire time just so that 17 we don't have any concerns about ex parte 18 discussions. So it looks like the Office of The 19 20 Public Counsel has filed a statement indicating that 21 they haven't taken a position. I don't see anyone 22 for the Office of the Public Counsel here listed in 23 my participants, but if you have connected 24 representing OPC, please speak up now so I will be 25 aware.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Nathan Williams for the 1 2 Office of Public Counsel. JUDGE JACOBS: Could you speak up again. 3 Ι apologize, but I can't tell which line that is. 4 5 Did you call in? MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I don't think I have 6 7 the Webex information. At least if I do, I didn't find it. 8 9 JUDGE JACOBS: It went to the OPC service 10 email. Did you want to connect directly to Webex 11 today or are you content with your phone connection? 12 Because we can certainly help you get the Webex information if you would like. 13 14 MR. WILLIAMS: If you would forward the 15 Webex to my email address, I will go ahead and use 16 it. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: And you said this is Mr. Williams. Williams@OPC.gov would be the e-mail 18 19 address. I notice that the statement that was filed 20 had the old DED address which is why I needed to 21 clarify that with you. 22 One minute here. Some patience with 23 me while I get the connection information to Mr. Williams. Thank you. 24 25 So I don't know if Mr. Williams, did

you stay with us on the line? 1 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I have, and I did receive the email. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So I wasn't able to 4 5 give you the easy one click connection, as I said, 6 because I don't have my usual Outlook connection, 7 but I was able to give you the access numbers and the code, so if you either go to the Desktop app or 8 website and phone, all the other ways you can get on 9 10 Webex, you can use that information to get in. 11 MR. COOPER: Judge, I just forwarded that 12 link to Mr. Williams if he wants to use that. 13 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. So Mr. Williams 14 has joined us. So that allows for us do the next 15 thing we need to do which is entry of appearances 16 today. There is an echo so I will mute your line, Mr. Williams, because it might be yours. 17 So we will take entries of appearance 18 19 with the company first, please. 20 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Judge. Dean Cooper 21 and Jennifer Hernandez with the Law Firm of 22 Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C., PO Box 456, 23 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 appearing on behalf 24 of Missouri-American Water Company. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much,

1 Mr. Cooper. 2 And for staff today, please. MR. PRINGLE: Good morning, Judge. Travis 3 Pringle and Mark Johnson for Staff Counsel Office, 4 5 P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65012. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. 7 I know that we do have Mr. Williams 8 for OPC, so if you would like to introduce yourself, 9 you can go ahead and you should be able to unmute 10 yourself. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams for the 12 Office of Public Counsel, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson 13 City, Missouri 65102. 14 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much. 15 For Boone County Regional Sewer District, please. 16 17 MS. GRIFFIN: Thank you, Judge. Jennifer Griffin. I'm with the Law Firm of Lathrop GPM, 314 18 19 East High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. 20 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you very much, Ms. 21 Griffin. It looks like we have everyone we need. 22 So we can take up any preliminaries that we might 23 have. There are a couple of things I wanted 24 25 to mention. I think it may be useful to the

| 1 | commission or we might get questions on this related |
|----|--|
| 2 | to the company's current rates under its current |
| 3 | tariffs. I think that those tariff sheets are PSC |
| 4 | Missouri Number 26 first revised sheet number RT.1 |
| 5 | and then there is RT2.1, RT3.1 and a sheet number |
| б | 3.2. |
| 7 | So, as the person who would be |
| 8 | drafting an order in this case, it would be helpful |
| 9 | for the commission to have those tariff sheets |
| 10 | actually just in the record. So I wanted to let the |
| 11 | parties know that I was going to propose that just |
| 12 | so that you're aware. |
| 13 | Then, I think because the company has |
| 14 | a current rate case that is wrapping up, I don't |
| 15 | know what the parties' positions will be on whether |
| 16 | that would have any import at all in this particular |
| 17 | case, but it might be helpful if the stipulation and |
| 18 | agreement in WR-2020344 was included in the record |
| 19 | in this case. I'm aware of at least one of staff's |
| 20 | recommendations that is based on the company's |
| 21 | current depreciation rates and I am not in charge of |
| 22 | that rate case that is currently pending. I'm not |
| 23 | sure if there is an adjustment to depreciation rates |
| 24 | under that, but it might be worth considering |
| 25 | whether that recommendation would be to look at the |
| | |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

depreciation rates or anything else coming out of 1 2 the current rate case. So that's just a couple things I 3 4 thought I should mention to the parties in this 5 forum. 6 Did counsel for the company have 7 anything to say on those issues? 8 MR. COOPER: Only as to the second one, 9 Judge. Just to point out that that stipulation it 10 both has depreciation rates attached to it and I 11 would say that those do not represent a change from 12 the prior rates. The stipulation, even though there are rates attached, the agreement that that is 13 14 maintained existing depreciation rates. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: So what you're saying then 16 is, because those aren't being proposed to change at 17 all, that staff's recommendation that those would be 18 applied as a condition under any certificate to be 19 granted in this case, actually, just a status quo 20 and there is no need to be concerned about that. 21 MR. COOPER: That's correct, Judge. 22 JUDGE JACOBS: Did staff have anything to 23 say about that, those issues? 24 MR. PRINGLE: No, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: And the district? 25

MS. GRIFFIN: We don't have anything to add, 1 2 Judge. 3 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. Does OPC want to say anything about 4 those two issues that I raised? 5 6 MR. WILLIAMS: No, thank you. 7 JUDGE JACOBS: So the other thing I wanted 8 to mention to the parties is that the commission 9 would ask that corrected testimony be provided in 10 writing. So you can go ahead and talk to your 11 witnesses about any corrections that need to be 12 made, but those corrections should also be reflected in corrected testimony that is filed with the 13 14 commission. That is because, although it saves the 15 parties' time to just ask your witnesses for simple 16 corrections to their testimony, it doesn't save 17 anybody else time down the road when they are trying 18 to figure out what the testimony really was and they 19 have to cross reference a transcript and file 20 testimony. So just so that the parties understand 21 that that will be the request in this case. 22 Does anybody have anything they want 23 to say about that and we will start with the 24 company. MR. COOPER: Just a question, Judge. 25

By that, do you mean an entire new 1 2 set of the testimony or I know in some of the cases the parties have filed prior to the hearing sort of 3 an errata sheet where there is just a handful of 4 5 changes. I guess, which is your intention with what 6 you said? 7 JUDGE JACOBS: So I guess it depends on how 8 extensive your corrections are. The important thing 9 is that it is in a written document that is in the 10 record that can be referred to and will make it 11 clear that this is a correction to that testimony so 12 it isn't necessary to cross reference the transcript. I think for most of the corrections 13 I've seen, that usually can be accomplished with a 14 15 so called errata sheet or some other filing. It just needs to be something in addition to whatever 16 17 you are doing in the transcript on the hearing 18 because that is just too hard on a cold record to 19 resurrect, okay? Thank you. 20 Did any other parties want to comment 21 on that starting with staff? 22 MR. PRINGLE: No, Judge. We have a few 23 small corrections but we can do errata sheet or 24 filing at the hearing, whichever one. 25 JUDGE JACOBS: I appreciate that and I just

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

wanted to alert the parties so you knew that that 1 2 would be the expectation and weren't taken aback by 3 that request. The district, any comments? 4 5 MS. GRIFFIN: No. 6 JUDGE JACOBS: And OPC? I imagine not since 7 you didn't file testimony, but you might have 8 something else to tell us. 9 MR. WILLIAMS: No, Judge. 10 JUDGE JACOBS: I don't want to get out of 11 the habit of asking because then I will skip over 12 someone at the wrong time and then I'm in trouble. The other thing I would ask is to 13 14 just to make sure that your witnesses have access to 15 the documents in this case readily during the hearing so that there isn't a big problem with, oh, 16 17 I can't find that or where was that or I can't pull 18 up my email or all the things that always happen. 19 So just do what you can to try to help to make sure 20 that your witnesses have what they need in case they 21 need to look at something during testimony. That is 22 my other request that I would have. 23 So those were the preliminaries that 24 I wanted to bring up. Does anybody have any 25 comments about that or anything else? And I will

start with Missouri-American. 1 2 MR. COOPER: Just one question, Judge. 3 I guess I'm making an assumption, but 4 I want to confirm it with you because of the 5 relatively small number of exhibits in this case, 6 are you planning to mark those sequentially at the 7 hearing? 8 JUDGE JACOBS: We will be talking more about 9 how exhibits will be marked and I think it will be 10 pretty simple. 11 Are you asking me if I want you to 12 pre-mark your exhibits? Is that the question? MR. COOPER: Well, in a rate case, for 13 example, we generally get a block of numbers and we 14 15 have to provide a list of our exhibits identifying 16 by number prior to the hearing. A lot of times in 17 smaller hearings I've participated in, well 18 sometimes the Judge just starts with one at the 19 hearing and we mark them as we go. So that is the 20 nature of my question. JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. And those are really 21 22 the reasons why we are here today is because, when I 23 was contemplating the procedural order for this 24 case, I was trying to figure out what the parties would want to do and what would make the most sense 25

| 1 | and that's when I decided I will just have a |
|----|--|
| 2 | pre-hearing conference and talk to the parties about |
| 3 | how they want to handle exhibits, so that is one of |
| 4 | the things that we are talking about today. |
| 5 | I was thinking that I would just go |
| 6 | ahead and use the convention that the commission has |
| 7 | of assigning a block of numbers to each party simply |
| 8 | because it's easy, it tells everybody where their |
| 9 | numbers start and end, and I know that most of these |
| 10 | parties will not have hundreds of exhibits but in |
| 11 | spite of that it's a good system. So I did actually |
| 12 | have a block of numbers to assign to each party and |
| 13 | I would ask that exhibits be provided in advance and |
| 14 | that you propose exhibit numbers for your exhibits |
| 15 | so we will get into that. That is really why we are |
| 16 | here. |
| 17 | I wasn't sure, for instance, on file |
| 18 | sizes that you all might have whether email was |
| 19 | going to work for you. I didn't know if there was |
| 20 | going to be any large images or bigger files that |
| 21 | you wouldn't be comfortable or able to email, so |
| 22 | those are the sorts of reasons that I thought we |
| 23 | needed to meet today. |
| 24 | Did anybody have any other questions |
| 25 | about that or comments on preliminaries that don't |
| | |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

have to do with exhibits before we move on into
exhibits? The floor is open now again to company,
staff, district.

MR. PRINGLE: Yeah, Judge. If any party has a presentation for their opening statement of when you would like those to be exchanged? I know I've seen in the past the commission saying just an hour before the hearing starts those need to be exchanged. We need your input on that.

10 JUDGE JACOBS: Well, my preference is to 11 have things as far in advance as I can, then we are 12 doing less at the last minute. People mess things 13 up when they do things at the last minute. I will 14 be responsible for getting any presentation 15 materials that you want the commission to see say 16 during your opening statement. I need to get those 17 to commissioners so that they can see that. That is 18 one of the things we were going to talk about today 19 is are you going to use a slide show? Do you know 20 how to share your screen?

So I guess my preference would be that you could provide any demonstratives like an opening statement slide show at the same time as you give me your exhibits. If you can't do that, then I would want them 24 hours in advance, so hopefully,

that is not unreasonable. 1 2 MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge. JUDGE JACOBS: Anybody else have any other 3 preliminaries like that? It doesn't look like the 4 5 district had anything to add here. 6 Does Mr. Williams for OPC, did you 7 have anything that you wanted to say? 8 MR. WILLIAMS: No. I anticipate waiving 9 opening though. JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Well, if you 10 11 anticipate waiving opening, I'm still probably going 12 to say, and is there an opening for OPC and then you can decline. So does that work for you? 13 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Sure. 15 JUDGE JACOBS: So it sounds like, if nobody has any non-exhibit preliminaries about this 16 17 hearing, then we can talk about exhibit issues. 18 Then, once we get through that, as long as all our 19 substantive stuff is taken care of and everyone is 20 committed to not bringing up any more substantive 21 issues, we can just work with the witnesses and I 22 want to thank the witnesses for showing up here 23 today and enduring this part of the conversation but 24 we had to do this first before we could get into 25 your part so, thank you.

| 1 | So I'm ready to move on to exhibits |
|----|--|
| 2 | unless anybody had anything else. Not seeing |
| 3 | anybody waving or jumping up and down. |
| 4 | The first question I have for you, is |
| 5 | email going to work to submit exhibits? So is |
| б | everyone fine and able with your file sizes and your |
| 7 | equipment and whatever limitations are in your |
| 8 | systems with dispatching and receiving by email |
| 9 | whatever exhibits that you want to share with |
| 10 | everyone with the commission and with the other |
| 11 | parties in advance of this hearing? |
| 12 | MS. GRIFFIN: Is it acceptable for us to for |
| 13 | the larger exhibits so maybe we don't have to break |
| 14 | them into as many smaller files to send the complete |
| 15 | exhibits like with a Box link? Can everyone |
| 16 | download that way? |
| 17 | JUDGE JACOBS: So I was hoping someone would |
| 18 | come up with some kind of solution like that because |
| 19 | email is great for small stuff, but not great for |
| 20 | everything. I believe that I don't have technical |
| 21 | barriers on my side that would block me from |
| 22 | receiving files that way. If I did, then I guess |
| 23 | the work around for that would be to use EFIS |
| 24 | essentially. |
| 25 | So speaking on behalf of the |
| | |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

| 1 | commission, I believe I would be able to use a link |
|----|--|
| 2 | like that to access files. So I will let the other |
| 3 | parties respond about whether they would be able to |
| 4 | do that as well. |
| 5 | MR. COOPER: I don't know of any limitation, |
| 6 | but until we do it, I can't say it will work, but I |
| 7 | don't know of any limitation. |
| 8 | MR. PRINGLE: Same for the staff, Judge. I |
| 9 | think I've used the Box link and our exhibits aren't |
| 10 | going to be big enough to work with mail. |
| 11 | JUDGE JACOBS: I know Mr. Williams in a |
| 12 | previous hearing there were some difficulties on OPC |
| 13 | side email and I'm not sure what the source of those |
| 14 | was but there did seem to be some limitations. |
| 15 | Is that something that you are |
| 16 | concerned about or is there any reason that you |
| 17 | wouldn't be able to use a Box link or anything like |
| 18 | that? |
| 19 | MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not familiar with the |
| 20 | circumstance you're referring to, but at this point |
| 21 | I don't have a great concern in this area. |
| 22 | JUDGE JACOBS: So, Ms. Griffin, are you |
| 23 | saying that you would like to use like a cloud |
| 24 | service like Box or something to share your |
| 25 | exhibits? |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

| 1 | MS. GRIFFIN: Yes, if that's acceptable. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | think it is easier. I've had a lot of problems |
| 3 | throughout this case with emails bouncing back and |
| 4 | then our staff having to break the documents down. |
| 5 | It's been a method that we've used in other |
| 6 | regulatory matters in other cases that's worked |
| 7 | pretty effectively. |
| 8 | JUDGE JACOBS: So would you propose that you |
| 9 | would just set up a link where you would put to |
| 10 | disclose all the district's exhibits and then |
| 11 | everyone would have access to that, the commission |
| 12 | and other parties, and you would provide all the |
| 13 | information that folks would need to access that? |
| 14 | MS. GRIFFIN: Yes. Essentially, I would |
| 15 | have my administrative assistant send everyone an |
| 16 | email with a link and then they click on the link to |
| 17 | access all of the documents which I think we did |
| 18 | with Mr. Pringle on one of the exhibits already in |
| 19 | this case because we were having trouble with the |
| 20 | email. |
| 21 | JUDGE JACOBS: Well, that's a good test case |
| 22 | then, Mr. Pringle, for whether there is some |
| 23 | mysterious block on PSC IT that would not allow this |
| 24 | to work, but apparently, you didn't have trouble |
| 25 | with it. |
| | |

MR. PRINGLE: It was pretty much a service 1 email and I did not have a problem. 2 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. So it sounds like 3 there is a little bit of a concern about using email 4 5 and the district would prefer to not use email if it 6 wasn't required, so I don't see any reason why this 7 solution of using -- essentially giving everyone 8 access to an online source for these documents 9 wouldn't work. I would just ask that the documents 10 be labeled according to a proposed exhibit number or 11 some reasonable labeling system to make it easy for 12 everyone to know what the document is. 13 MS. GRIFFIN: We can certainly use the file 14 number for the PDF what the exhibit number is so it 15 would be easy for people to see to pull up the 16 documents. 17 JUDGE JACOBS: That sounds good to me. 18 Are there any other concerns about 19 Sounds like the other parties, staff and the this? 20 company, Missouri-American, are planning to use 21 email or are comfortable using email? 22 MR. COOPER: We should be able to, yes, 23 Judge. 24 JUDGE JACOBS: So you aren't aware that you have any really large files, for instance, that are 25

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 going to get bounced back from people's email 2 systems or that you won't be able to attach or 3 anything like that?

MR. COOPER: Well, we had to split up, I think, our surrebuttal testimony to get it all through, but we successfully did that. At a minimum, we can do that same thing here I would think.

9 JUDGE JACOBS: And then I don't think it's 10 necessary to share yet again anything that has 11 already been filed in EFIS. So everyone has access 12 to EFIS and everything that is filed there. So if 13 you are proposing an exhibit that is identical to 14 what is in EFIS, then I think the best way to handle 15 that is to simply make that clear on your proposed 16 witness list that this is the testimony filed on 17 this day for this person that is identical if 18 that's what you're going to offer.

Does that seem reasonable to everyone? I don't think there is a reason to re-attach all of that testimony.

22 MR. WILLIAMS: I would agree with that, 23 Judge. 24 MS. GRIFFIN: I don't have a problem with 25 that. I just have a question for clarification

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

since I have not participated in one of these 1 2 hearings before so I am a little unfamiliar 3 procedurally. 4 So when we are using prefiled 5 testimony that is previously filed through EFIS, is 6 the exhibit we will say Exhibit 1 for a certain 7 person's testimony, does that include all of the 8 schedules that were attached to that testimony or 9 did those schedules have to be labeled as separate 10 exhibits for purposes of the hearing? 11 JUDGE JACOBS: And Mr. Cooper and some of 12 the other folks here have a lot more experience with 13 this, so I welcome everyone else chiming in. But my 14 impression is that, unless an issue is made with a 15 particular document that is attached, that the 16 entire testimony with schedules is labeled as one 17 exhibit. 18 Now, I will ask Mr. Cooper, 19 Mr. Williams and Mr. Pringle to clarify or chime in 20 on anything else on that. 21 MR. COOPER: Judge, that would be my answer 22 as well. A piece of testimony to include its 23 schedules would generally carry just one exhibit 24 number. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: That is mine, as well.

| 1 | MR. PRINGLE: That has been the practice |
|----|---|
| 2 | with the commission for over 20 years. |
| 3 | JUDGE JACOBS: So the only time then that |
| 4 | you would worry about a schedule is if for some |
| 5 | reason a schedule, and God forbid this should |
| 6 | happen, becomes controversial for some reason, is |
| 7 | that right? |
| 8 | MR. WILLIAMS: Well, it would still be part |
| 9 | of an exhibit, but there may be an objection and |
| 10 | then it may or may not be admitted, that particular |
| 11 | portion of the exhibit. |
| 12 | MR. COOPER: Because it contains its own |
| 13 | schedule identification. I think it's easy enough |
| 14 | to refer to that. Even a problematic schedule is |
| 15 | just a part of the single exhibit. |
| 16 | JUDGE JACOBS: And that's why all the |
| 17 | schedules are individually labeled I'm sorry, |
| 18 | Mr. Pringle, go ahead. |
| 19 | MR. PRINGLE: I defer to Mr. Cooper and Mr. |
| 20 | Williams. I've never seen an individual schedule be |
| 21 | objected to. |
| 22 | JUDGE JACOBS: Well, let's hope that that |
| 23 | practice continues. |
| 24 | So did that answer that question, Ms. |
| 25 | Griffin? |
| | |

MS. GRIFFIN: Yes, thank you. 1 2 So on our exhibit list, we just identify by exhibit number the testimony with the 3 attached schedules, the description of what was 4 already filed through EFIS? 5 6 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. I mean, as I'm 7 imagining it and what I think would be useful to me 8 would be your exhibit number identifying your 9 witness whether it was their -- in your case it's 10 going to be rebuttal or if it is direct or 11 surrebuttal and then the day it's filed in EFIS I 12 always find that helpful, because when you are going 13 back through EFIS and looking for a document, the 14 date is what you really need to narrow it down so I 15 always like to see a filing date in EFIS to help me find stuff. 16 17 So if you are putting on your exhibit 18 list documents that are identical to what is filed 19 in EFIS, then please just identify them by the day 20 they are filed in EFIS with the description. As I 21 said, if it is testimony, it should be that person's 22 name and what testimony it was, the date it was 23 filed. 24 MS. GRIFFIN: So then my next question is, for purposes of other parties' exhibits that might 25 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

| 1 | be used, for example, in cross examination, do I |
|----|--|
| 2 | need to put those on my list of exhibits or are we |
| 3 | free to use at the trial other parties' exhibits |
| 4 | from their exhibit list? |
| 5 | JUDGE JACOBS: So I think the purpose of |
| 6 | this exhibit list process is to make sure that |
| 7 | everyone has had a chance to the extent at all |
| 8 | possible to be aware of what folks' exhibits are |
| 9 | going to be and have access to them. That is the |
| 10 | most important thing. |
| 11 | So if it is something that someone |
| 12 | else has filed in EFIS, that means everyone has had |
| 13 | access to it and can access it and that's really the |
| 14 | purpose that we are trying to achieve here because |
| 15 | we are all not going to be in a room together. We |
| 16 | can't pass documents around easily and share them so |
| 17 | we just all need to make sure to have a collective |
| 18 | volume of documents that we can all refer to and |
| 19 | then we can work out, you know, whether that party |
| 20 | has offered an exhibit, whether it is already in |
| 21 | evidence and things like that. |
| 22 | So I think if you are planning on |
| 23 | referring to something that we are using as an |
| 24 | exhibit, something that someone has already filed |
| 25 | but you aren't sure whether they are going to offer |
| | |

| 1 | it, you can put it on your exhibit list if you want |
|----------|--|
| 2 | to. It doesn't hurt anything. It certainly let's |
| 3 | everyone know, but it is most important that you |
| 4 | identify and disclose those documents that people |
| 5 | have not the parties have not had access to |
| 6 | because we need to have access to them to conduct |
| 7 | the hearing. |
| 8 | MS. GRIFFIN: Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, do I understand |
| 10 | correctly that, instead of serving by email or a |
| 11 | link to an external source, you could actually file |
| 12 | your exhibit in EFIS and then tell everyone it's |
| 13 | there? |
| 14 | JUDGE JACOBS: Well, that is actually a |
| 15 | process that has been discussed in adjudication and |
| 16 | for various reasons it's not clear that that would |
| 17 | be a favorite process simply because of the |
| 18 | possibility of bloating EFIS with a bunch of |
| 19 | filings, so that's why we are going through this |
| 20 | process instead of just telling everybody to just |
| 21 | file everything. So that is a conversation that is |
| 22 | taking place about whether it is appropriate |
| 23 | essentially to use the commission's case filing |
| | |
| 24 | system in that way. |
| 24 25 | system in that way. MR. WILLIAMS: I'm just asking for |

| 1 | clarification basically, but you indicated that, if |
|----|--|
| 2 | something is already prefiled like testimony that |
| 3 | has been, you don't need to refile that in EFIS or |
| 4 | circulate it, you can designate that as an exhibit. |
| 5 | I'm just asking if another possibility is to file |
| 6 | something in EFIS and then indicate it's going to be |
| 7 | in evidence. At some point it's going to end up in |
| 8 | EFIS if it is admitted, I guess. |
| 9 | JUDGE JACOBS: Right. So typically, |
| 10 | testimony gets filed and then everyone gets together |
| 11 | in the hearing room and they hand paper copies of |
| 12 | that testimony to the court reporter and she marks |
| 13 | it and then it gets filed again in EFIS so that is |
| 14 | the way things had been done. So now we are in a |
| 15 | remote hearing context and, yes, documents that have |
| 16 | already been filed, I'm not asking the parties to |
| 17 | pass those around to each other digitally again |
| 18 | because they are already accessible digitally |
| 19 | through EFIS, but the other part of this process we |
| 20 | haven't discussed yet is, once the parties have |
| 21 | proposed their exhibits in the hearing, those will |
| 22 | be marked again in a digital process and they will |
| 23 | be filed in EFIS just as if we had all met together |
| 24 | and passed paper around. So you will see more than |
| 25 | one entry in EFIS for testimony that is admitted |
| | |

into evidence. There is the filing that is made
before the hearing and then, when it is marked by
the commission staff and filed in EFIS, it appears
in EFIS again.

The issue that I alluded to earlier 5 6 was do we want an intermediate filing also appearing 7 in EFIS where the parties tell everybody and I'm 8 also going to offer this during the hearing or here 9 are my other exhibits I'm going to offer during the the hearing. Does that make sense? It's kind of a 10 11 choice between two EFIS filings for some of these 12 documents as opposed to three potentially.

13 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. My last experience was in the Empire rate case which is not 14 15 the first case that was done electronically. It was 16 the first one that was done as a large rate case and 17 actually we ended up stipulating in the record the 18 documents. But since we've appealed it, I've learned issues with the court about how that was 19 20 done. So I'm just asking for clarification.

JUDGE JACOBS: I think that these are issues that are being worked out, of course, in the context of now we are suddenly doing remote hearings and we're trying to figure out how to do it. I know that different judges have tried different things

| 1 | out. I've tried different things out. That's why |
|----|--|
| 2 | we are having this session today, because instead of |
| 3 | me trying to imagine what the parties will want to |
| 4 | do, I wanted an opportunity to rely on experienced |
| 5 | counsel to talk about the specific case and how we |
| б | should do it in this case. So I don't know if there |
| 7 | is a perfect or ideal way to do it, but we |
| 8 | definitely want to make sure that the court is happy |
| 9 | with the record if things happen to get up to that |
| 10 | point. |
| 11 | I have mentioned this and I will |
| 12 | mention it again. I know that sometimes parties |
| 13 | aren't certain they're going to use something that |
| 14 | they think they might use it, they might want to |
| 15 | pull it out on cross examination. I would ask the |
| 16 | parties to the extent at all possible, please |
| 17 | disclose the exhibits you think you may use on your |
| 18 | exhibit list because the remote hearing just makes |
| 19 | things harder if we do end up in a situation where |
| 20 | we are circulating documents during the hearing, it |
| 21 | just slows everything down. So please, think hard |
| 22 | about if you can disclose as much as possible. That |
| 23 | will make this whole process less painful. |
| 24 | So I was planning on asking the |
| 25 | parties to provide to me your proposed exhibit list, |
| | |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

| 1 | identifying your exhibits and then any documents |
|----|--|
| 2 | that are not already in EFIS in this case as we have |
| 3 | discussed, you would also disclose those to the |
| 4 | commission by email to me and to the other parties. |
| 5 | So it sounds like most folks are |
| б | planning on using email, but the district is going |
| 7 | to use Box for their disclosure. I was going to ask |
| 8 | that you provide those by 3:00 on Tuesday the 6th. |
| 9 | Is that reasonable? |
| 10 | MR. PRINGLE: That's reasonable for staff, |
| 11 | Judge. |
| 12 | MR. COOPER: We can do that. |
| 13 | JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. So after our |
| 14 | conversation today, because I'm kind of a belt and |
| 15 | suspenders person, I will put out an order that will |
| 16 | describe what we discussed here today. So that if |
| 17 | you got confused by the conversation, you will have |
| 18 | an order to refer to about exactly what's happening, |
| 19 | how it's happening and when it's supposed to happen. |
| 20 | So you will see that today. Unless something |
| 21 | derails me, you will see that on Monday. |
| 22 | The other thing was the numbering |
| 23 | convention so we will just start with 1 to 99 for |
| 24 | Missouri-American. Staff is going to get 100 to |
| 25 | 199. Boone County Regional Sewer District starts at |

200 through 299. The commission because, as I 1 2 mentioned, I might have some documents that I would propose and the commission would like to have in the 3 record in this case. That would start at 300 to 4 399. OPC will start at 400, should OPC decided they 5 6 need to provide exhibits. 7 Any questions or comments on that? 8 MS. GRIFFIN: I have one quick question. So the district's first exhibit will be 200. Assuming 9 10 that that is prefiled testimony that is already in 11 EFIS and already been served, as I'm understanding 12 in regards to our discussion today, I don't need to mark that and recirculate that. It will be marked 13 14 by staff at the hearing? 15 JUDGE JACOBS: Right. So what you will do is you will include it on your exhibit list so that 16 17 it is very clear to everybody where they can find that identical document, and then you will offer it 18 19 into evidence at the hearing and then, as quickly as 20 possible after the hearing, staff will gather up 21 either newly-disclosed documents or documents filed 22 in EFIS, refile them under a cover with an exhibit 23 mark and a description based on your exhibit list 24 and file all of those as quickly as possible after 25 the hearing closes.

| 1 | MS. GRIFFIN: Thank you. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. If anybody wants to |
| 3 | jump in if I say something problematic or that you |
| 4 | don't think is going to work, I would ask you to |
| 5 | please do that, but this is how I'm contemplating |
| 6 | this is going to go. |
| 7 | MR. PRINGLE: This is for administrative |
| 8 | support to put all of that together? |
| 9 | JUDGE JACOBS: Yes. So adjudication staff |
| 10 | works yes. So I keep a close eye on it to avoid |
| 11 | mistakes that causes problems for us but, yes, that |
| 12 | is how that works. |
| 13 | MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge. |
| 14 | JUDGE JACOBS: We did in a previous hearing |
| 15 | set up as special exhibits email address and I know |
| 16 | at least a couple judges have experimented with that |
| 17 | and I decided not to do that because it was |
| 18 | essentially just an extra email of the same |
| 19 | documents. So instead of doing that, I'm using what |
| 20 | is provided to the commission through the presiding |
| 21 | officer instead, but that was part of our experiment |
| 22 | of trying to figure out how to do remote hearings. |
| 23 | If anyone is planning on using a |
| 24 | demonstrative during your opening, that also needs |
| 25 | to be provided. So if our hearing is starting at |
| | |

| 1 | 8:15 on Thursday, I would like to have those |
|----|--|
| 2 | demonstratives by Wednesday morning. |
| 3 | Is that reasonable? It doesn't sound |
| 4 | like anybody thinks it is a terrible burden, okay. |
| 5 | Those of you who are planning to use |
| 6 | a demonstrative during your opening, have you used |
| 7 | Webex to share your screen and give a presentation |
| 8 | or did you want an opportunity to experiment with |
| 9 | that today? Counsel, it looks like you are |
| 10 | comfortable. |
| 11 | I will ask Missouri-American, should |
| 12 | I expect to see a slide show presentation of some |
| 13 | kind during your opening? |
| 14 | MR. COOPER: I don't know yet, Judge. |
| 15 | JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right. |
| 16 | And staff? |
| 17 | MR. PRINGLE: Same here, Judge. Right now I |
| 18 | say no, but that could change. |
| 19 | JUDGE JACOBS: And Ms. Griffin? |
| 20 | MS. GRIFFIN: I don't know for sure, but I |
| 21 | wasn't really planning on it. |
| 22 | JUDGE JACOBS: And, Mr. Williams, you're |
| 23 | planning on waiving an opening? |
| 24 | MR. WILLIAMS: Correct. I don't anticipate |
| 25 | any exhibits either. |

JUDGE JACOBS: Sometimes when the commission 1 2 has agenda and the companies come and present things 3 and have presentations they will have other people 4 run it. 5 If you're going to give an opening 6 statement with a slide show of some kind, I would 7 expect you to run it through the share screen 8 function. So just be prepared to do that if that's 9 your plan. And then, whatever that slide show is 10 that you are presenting, just provide it to me by 11 email by Wednesday morning. 12 So that's everything I had on 13 exhibits. I think that gets us ready to go. 14 Does anybody have anything else they 15 wanted to say about that before we move on to making 16 sure our witnesses are ready to go? 17 And everyone has my email address so 18 that they can provide exhibits to me that way or 19 links so that I can get them. So I will see exhibit 20 lists and any new documents by 3 p.m. Tuesday, April 21 6th is what we're going to do. 22 So unless we have any more 23 substantive matters, I think we can excuse our court 24 reporter and move on with our witnesses. 25 Did anybody have anything of

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

| 1 | substance to discuss before we close the formal |
|----|---|
| 2 | proceeding and do some technical testing with our |
| 3 | witnesses? |
| 4 | No. Everyone wants to move on with |
| 5 | their life. Thank you so much. So we are now off |
| 6 | the record for the pre-hearing conference. So thank |
| 7 | you very much Miss Court Reporter for your |
| 8 | assistance today. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | REPORTER CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, LINDA DEBISSCHOP, a Certified Court Reporter |
| 4 | for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that |
| 5 | pursuant to agreement of Counsel, there came before |
| 6 | me by Zoom, in the County of St. Louis, State of |
| 7 | Missouri, |
| 8 | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION HEARING |
| 9 | who was by me first duly sworn to testify to |
| 10 | the truth and nothing but the truth of all knowledge |
| 11 | touching and concerning the matters in controversy |
| 12 | in this cause. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | I further certify that I am not counsel, |
| 15 | attorney or relative of either party, or clerk or |
| 16 | stenographer of either party, or otherwise |
| 17 | interested in the event of this suit. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | L. A. R. I.A. |
| 20 | Carlie torchop |
| 21 | Linda DeBisschop, CCR #779 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

SA-2021-0017, Vol. I Index: #779..care

| 25 advance 14:13 15:11, 25 17:11 agenda 34:2 agree 21:22 agreement 8:18 9:13 36:5 ahead 5:15 7:9 10:10 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 33:24 | avoid 32:10 aware 4:25 8:12,19 20:24 25:8 B back 19:3 21:1 24:13 barriers 17:21 based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
|--|--|
| 25 17:11 agenda 34:2 agree 21:22 agreement 8:18 9:13 36:5 ahead 5:15 7:9 10:10 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | 20:24 25:8 B back 19:3 21:1 24:13 barriers 17:21 based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
| agree 21:22 agreement 8:18 9:13 36:5 ahead 5:15 7:9 10:10 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | back 19:3 21:1 24:13 barriers 17:21 based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
| agreement 8:18 9:13 36:5 ahead 5:15 7:9 10:10 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | back 19:3 21:1 24:13 barriers 17:21 based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
| 36:5 ahead 5:15 7:9 10:10 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | barriers 17:21 based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
| 14:6 23:18 alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | based 8:20 31:23 basically 27:1 |
| alert 12:1 alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | basically 27:1 |
| alluded 28:5 anticipate 16:8,11 | - |
| anticipate 16:8,11 | |
| • | behalf 6:23 17:25 |
| 33:24 | belt 30:14 |
| | big 12:16 18:10 |
| apologize 5:4 | bigger 14:20 |
| app 6:8 | bit 3:22 20:4 |
| apparently 19:24 | bloating 26:18 |
| appealed 28:18 appearance 6:18 | block 13:14 14:7,12 17:21 19:23 |
| appearances 6:15 | Boone 7:15 30:25 |
| 2 appearing 6:23 28:6 | bounced 21:1 |
| appears 28:3 | bouncing 19:3 |
| ^{4 18:2} application 3:6 | Box 6:22 7:5,12 17:15 |
| :5,6 applied 9:18 | 18:9,17,24 30:7 |
| 8 April 3:5,20 34:20 | break 17:13 19:4 |
| 11:14 area 18:21 | bring 12:24 |
| assign 14:12 | bringing 16:20 |
| assigned 3:12 | brought 3:18 |
| assigning 14:7 | Brydon 6:22 |
| ,20 assistance 35:8 | bunch 26:18 |
| assistant 19:15 | burden 33:4 |
| 5 4:14 Assuming 31:9 | |
| assumption 13:3 | C |
| | call 5:5 |
| attach 21:2 | called 11:15 |
| attach 21:2 3 attached 9:10.13 | care 16:19 |
| 5 | 3 |

SA-2021-0017, Vol.Ihdex: carry..document

| carry 22:23 | comment 11:20 | continues 23:23 | Debisschop 36:3,21 |
|--|--|--|---|
| case 3:6 8:8,14,17,19, | comments 12:4,25 | controls 4:1 | decided 14:1 31:5 |
| 22 9:2,19 10:21 12:15, 20 13:5,13,24 19:3,19, | 14:25 31:7 | controversial 23:6 | 32:17 |
| 21 24:9 26:23 28:14, | commission 3:15 4:4,11 8:1,9 10:8,14 | controversy 36:11 | decline 16:13 |
| 15,16 29:5,6 30:2 31:4 | 14:6 15:7,15 17:10 | convenience 3:7 | DED 5:20 |
| cases 11:2 19:6 | 18:1 19:11 23:2 28:3 30:4 31:1,3 32:20 34:1 | convention 14:6 | defer 23:19 |
| CCR 36:21 | 36:8 | 30:23 | demonstrative 32:24 33:6 |
| certificate 3:7 9:18 36:1 | commission's 26:23 | conversation 16:23 26:21 30:14,17 | demonstratives |
| Certified 36:3 | commissioners 15:17 | Cooper 6:11,20 7:1 | 15:22 33:2 |
| certify 36:4,14 | committed 16:20 | 9:8,21 10:25 13:2,13 18:5 20:22 21:4 22:11, | depends 11:7 |
| chance 25:7 | companies 34:2 | 18,21 23:12,19 30:12 33:14 | depreciation 8:21,23 9:1,10,14 |
| change 9:11,16 33:18 | company 6:19,24 | copies 27:11 | derails 30:21 |
| charge 8:21 | 8:13 9:6 10:24 15:2 20:20 | • | describe 30:16 |
| chime 22:19 | | correct 9:21 33:24 | description 24:4,20 |
| chiming 22:13 | company's 8:2,20 | corrected 10:9,13 | 31:23 |
| choice 28:11 | complete 17:14 | correction 11:11 | designate 27:4 |
| circulate 27:4 | concern 18:21 20:4 | corrections 10:11, 12,16 11:8,13,23 | Desktop 6:8 |
| circulating 29:20 | concerned 9:20 18:16 | correctly 26:10 | difficulties 18:12 |
| circumstance 18:20 | concerns 3:6 4:17 | counsel 4:16,20,22 | digital 27:22 |
| City 6:23 7:5,13,19 | 20:18 | 5:2 7:4,12 9:6 29:5 | digitally 27:17,18 |
| clarification 21:25 | condition 9:18 | 33:9 36:5,14 | direct 24:10 |
| 27:1 28:20 | conduct 4:3,13 26:6 | County 7:15 30:25 36:6 | directly 5:10 |
| clarify 5:21 22:19 | conducted 3:14,20 | couple 7:24 9:3 32:16 | disclose 19:10 26:4 |
| clear 11:11 21:15 26:16 31:17 | conference 3:4,9,13, | court 3:3 4:5 27:12 | 29:17,22 30:3 |
| clerk 36:15 | 14,16,17,22 14:2 35:6 | 28:19 29:8 34:23 35:7 | disclosure 30:7 |
| click 6:5 19:16 | confirm 13:4 | 36:3 | discuss 3:19 4:7 35:1 |
| close 32:10 35:1 | confused 30:17 | cover 31:22 | discussed 4:8 26:15 27:20 30:3,16 |
| closes 31:25 | connect 3:25 5:10 | cross 10:19 11:12 25:1 29:15 | discussion 31:12 |
| | connected 4:23 | current 8:2,14,21 9:2 | discussion 31.12 |
| cloud 18:23 | connection 5:11,23 6:5,6 | Current 0.2,14,21 9.2 | |
| code 6:8 | | D | dispatching 17:8 |
| cold 11:18 | contemplating 13:23 32:5 | | district 7:16 9:25 12:4 15:3 16:5 20:5 30:6,25 |
| collective 25:17 | content 5:11 | date 24:14,15,22 | district's 19:10 31:9 |
| comfortable 14:21 20:21 33:10 | context 27:15 28:22 | day 21:17 24:11,19 | document 11:9 20:12 |
| | | Dean 6:20 | 22:15 24:13 31:18 |
| | | | |

SA-2021-0017, Vahdek: documents..hearing

| documents 12:15 | entries 6:18 | extra 32:18 | generally 13:14 |
|--|---|---|--|
| 19:4,17 20:8,9,16 24:18 25:16,18 26:4 | entry 6:15 27:25 | eye 32:10 | 22:23 |
| 27:15 28:12,18 29:20 | equipment 17:7 | | give 6:5,7 15:24 33:7 34:5 |
| 30:1 31:2,21 32:19 34:20 | errata 11:4,15,23 | F | giving 20:7 |
| download 17:16 | essentially 17:24 | familiar 18:19 | God 23:5 |
| drafting 8:8 | 19:14 20:7 26:23 32:18 | favorite 26:17 | good 7:3 14:11 19:21 |
| duly 36:9 | event 36:17 | figure 10:18 13:24 | 20:17 |
| | evidence 25:21 27:7 | 28:24 32:22 | GPM 7:18 |
| E | 28:1 31:19 | file 3:5 10:19 12:7 | granted 9:19 |
| e-mail 5:18 | examination 25:1 29:15 | 14:17 17:6 20:13 26:11,21 27:5 31:24 | great 17:19 18:21 |
| earlier 28:5 | exchanged 15:6,9 | filed 4:20 5:19 10:13 11:3 21:11,12,16 22:5 | Griffin 7:17,18,21 10:1 12:5 17:12 18:22 |
| easier 19:2 | excuse 4:9 34:23 | 24:5,11,18,20,23 | 19:1,14 20:13 21:24 |
| easily 25:16 | exhibit 14:14 16:17 | 25:12,24 27:10,13,16, 23 28:3 31:21 | 23:25 24:1,24 26:8 31:8 32:1 33:19,20 |
| East 7:19 | 20:10,14 21:13 22:6, | files 14:20 17:14,22 | guess 11:5,7 13:3 |
| easy 6:5 14:8 20:11, | 17,23 23:9,11,15 24:2, 3,8,17 25:4,6,20,24 | 18:2 20:25 | 15:21 17:22 27:8 |
| 15 23:13 | 26:1,12 27:4 29:18,25 | filing 11:15,24 24:15 | |
| echo 6:16 | 31:9,16,22,23 34:19 | 26:23 28:1,6 | Н |
| effectively 19:7 | exhibits 3:19 4:7 13:5,9,12,15 14:3,10, | filings 26:19 28:11 | habit 12:11 |
| EFIS 17:23 21:11,12, 14 22:5 24:5,11,13,15, | 13,14 15:1,2,24 17:1, 5,9,13,15 18:9,25 | find 5:8 12:17 24:12, 16 31:17 | Hallsville 3:8 |
| 19,20 25:12 26:12,18 | 19:10,18 22:10 24:25 | fine 17:6 | hand 27:11 |
| 27:3,6,8,13,19,23,25 28:3,4,7,11 30:2 | 25:2,3,8 27:21 28:9 29:17 30:1 31:6 32:15 | Firm 6:21 7:18 | handful 11:4 |
| 31:11,22 | 33:25 34:13,18 | floor 15:2 | handle 3:19 14:3 |
| electronically 28:15 | existing 9:14 | folks 19:13 22:12 30:5 | 21:14 |
| email 5:10,15 6:3 | expect 33:12 34:7 | folks' 25:8 | happen 12:18 23:6 29:9 30:19 |
| 12:18 14:18,21 17:5,8, 19 18:13 19:16,20 | expectation 12:2 | forbid 23:5 | happening 30:18,19 |
| 20:2,4,5,21 21:1 26:10 30:4,6 32:15,18 34:11, | experience 22:12 | formal 35:1 | happy 29:8 |
| 17 | 28:14 | forum 9:5 | hard 11:18 29:21 |
| emails 19:3 | experienced 29:4 | forward 5:14 | harder 29:19 |
| Empire 28:14 | experiment 32:21 33:8 | forwarded 6:11 | hear 4:2 |
| end 14:9 27:7 29:19 | experimented 32:16 | free 25:3 | hearing 3:19,21 4:3, |
| ended 28:17 | extensive 11:8 | function 34:8 | 14 11:3,17,24 12:16 |
| enduring 16:23 | extent 25:7 29:16 | | 13:7,16,19 15:8 16:17 17:11 18:12 22:10 |
| England 6:22 | external 26:11 | G | 26:7 27:11,15,21 28:2, 8,10 29:18,20 31:14, |
| entire 4:16 11:1 22:16 | | gather 31:20 | 19,20,25 32:14,25 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

SA-2021-1000127; hearings...Missouri-american

| 36:8 | instance 14:17 20:25 | jump 32:3 | · |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| hearings 13:17 22:2 | intention 11:5 | jumping 17:3 | M |
| 28:23 32:22 | interested 36:17 | | made 10:12 22:14 |
| helpful 8:8,17 24:12 | intermediate 28:6 | К | 28:1 |
| Hernandez 6:21 | introduce 7:8 | kind 17:18 28:10 | mail 18:10 |
| High 7:19 | issue 22:14 28:5 | 30:14 33:13 34:6 | maintained 9:14 |
| hope 23:22 | issues 9:7,23 10:5 | knew 12:1 | make 4:13 11:10 |
| hoping 17:17 | 16:17,21 28:19,21 | knowledge 36:10 | 12:14,19 13:25 20:11 21:15 25:6,17 28:10 |
| hour 15:7 | | | 29:8,23 |
| hours 15:25 | J | L | makes 29:18 |
| hundreds 14:10 | Jacobs 3:1,11 5:3,9, | labeled 20:10 22:9,16 | making 13:3 34:15 |
| hurt 26:2 | 17 6:4,13,25 7:6,14,20 9:15,22,25 10:3,7 | 23:17 | mark 7:4 13:6,19 |
| | 11:7,25 12:6,10 13:8, | labeling 20:11 | 31:13,23 |
| I | 21 15:10 16:3,10,15 17:17 18:11,22 19:8, | large 14:20 20:25 28:16 | marked 13:9 27:22 28:2 31:13 |
| ideal 29:7 | 21 20:3,17,24 21:9 22:11 23:3,16,22 24:6 | larger 17:13 | marks 27:12 |
| identical 21:13,17 24:18 31:18 | 25:5 26:14 27:9 28:21 | Lathrop 7:18 | materials 15:15 |
| identification 23:13 | 30:13 31:15 32:2,9,14 33:15,19,22 34:1 | Law 3:12 6:21 7:18 | matter 3:12 |
| identify 24:3,19 26:4 | Jana 3:11 | learned 28:19 | matters 19:6 34:23 36:11 |
| identifying 13:15 | Jefferson 6:23 7:5, | life 35:5 | means 25:12 |
| 24:8 30:1 | 12,19 | limitation 18:5,7 | meet 14:23 |
| images 14:20 | Jennifer 6:21 7:17 | limitations 17:7 | mention 7:25 9:4 |
| imagine 12:6 29:3 | Johnson 7:4 | 18:14 | 10:8 29:12 |
| imagining 24:7 | joined 6:14 | Linda 36:3,21 | mentioned 29:11 |
| import 8:16 | joining 3:2 | link 6:12 17:15 18:1,9, 17 19:9,16 26:11 | 31:2 |
| important 11:8 25:10 | Judge 3:1,12 5:3,9,17 | links 34:19 | mess 15:12 |
| 26:3 | 6:4,11,13,20,25 7:3,6, 14,17,20 9:9,15,21,22, | list 13:15 21:16 24:2, | met 27:23 |
| impression 22:14 | 24,25 10:2,3,7,25 | 18 25:2,4,6 26:1 | method 19:5 |
| include 22:7,22 31:16 | 11:7,22,25 12:6,9,10 13:2,8,18,21 15:4,10 | 29:18,25 31:16,23 | mine 22:25 |
| included 8:18 | 16:2,3,10,15 17:17 | listed 4:22 | minimum 21:7 |
| indicating 4:20 | 18:8,11,22 19:8,21 20:3,17,23,24 21:9,23 | lists 34:20 | minute 5:22 15:12,13 |
| individual 23:20 | 22:11,21 23:3,16,22 | long 16:18 | Missouri 3:8 6:23 |
| individually 23:17 | 24:6 25:5 26:9,14 27:9 28:21 30:11,13 31:15 | lot 13:16 19:2 22:12 | 7:5,13,19 8:4 36:4,7 |
| information 5:7,13, 23 6:10 19:13 | 32:2,9,13,14 33:14,15, 17,19,22 34:1 | Louis 36:6 | Missouri-american 3:6 6:24 13:1 20:20 |
| input 15:9 | judges 28:25 32:16 | | 30:24 33:11 |
| | | | |

SA-2021-0017, VolIndex: mistakes..Public

| mistakes 32:11 | OPC 4:24 5:9 7:8 10:4 | past 15:7 | prepared 34:8 |
|--|--|---|---|
| Monday 30:21 | 12:6 16:6,12 18:12 31:5 | patience 5:22 | present 4:16 34:2 |
| morning 3:2 7:3 33:2 | open 15:2 | PDF 20:14 | presentation 15:5,14 |
| 34:11 | opening 15:5,16,23 | pending 8:22 | 33:7,12 |
| move 15:1 17:1 34:15,24 35:4 | 16:9,11,12 32:24 33:6, | people 4:13 15:12 | presentations 34:3 |
| mute 6:16 | 13,23 34:5 | 20:15 26:4 34:3 | presenting 34:10 |
| mysterious 19:23 | opportunity 3:24 29:4 33:8 | people's 21:1 | presiding 32:20 |
| | opposed 28:12 | perfect 29:7 | pretty 13:10 19:7 20:1 |
| N | order 8:8 13:23 30:15, | person 8:7 21:17 30:15 | previous 18:12 32:14 |
| | 18 | person's 22:7 24:21 | previously 22:5 |
| narrow 24:14 | Outlook 6:6 | | Pringle 7:3,4 9:24 11:22 15:4 16:2 18:8 |
| Nathan 5:1 7:11 | | phone 5:11 6:9 | 19:18,22 20:1 22:19 |
| nature 13:20 | P | piece 22:22 | 23:1,18,19 30:10 32:7, 13 33:17 |
| necessity 3:7 | P.C. 6:22 | place 26:22 | |
| needed 5:20 14:23 | p.m. 34:20 | plan 34:9 | prior 9:12 11:3 13:16 |
| newly-disclosed 31:21 | P.O. 7:5,12 | planning 13:6 20:20 25:22 29:24 30:6 | problem 12:16 20:2 21:24 |
| non-exhibit 16:16 | painful 29:23 | 32:23 33:5,21,23 | problematic 23:14 |
| note 3:13 | paper 27:11,24 | PO 6:22 | 32:3 |
| notice 5:19 | part 16:23,25 23:8,15 | point 9:9 18:20 27:7 | problems 19:2 32:11 |
| number 8:4,5 13:5,16 | 27:19 32:21 | 29:10 | procedural 3:15 |
| 20:10,14 22:24 24:3,8 | parte 4:17 | portion 23:11 | 13:23 |
| numbering 30:22 | participants 4:23 | position 4:21 | procedurally 22:3 |
| numbers 6:7 13:14 | participate 4:14 | positions 8:15 | proceeding 35:2 |
| 14:7,9,12,14 | participated 13:17 22:1 | possibility 26:18 27:5 | process 25:6 26:15, 17,20 27:19,22 29:23 |
| 0 | parties 3:18 4:9 8:11 | potentially 28:12 | propose 8:11 14:14 |
| | 9:4 10:8,20 11:3,20 | practice 23:1,23 | 19:8 31:3 |
| objected 23:21 objection 23:9 | 12:1 13:24 14:2,10 17:11 18:3 19:12 | pre-hearing 3:4 14:2 | proposed 9:16 20:10 21:15 27:21 29:25 |
| offer 21:18 25:25 | 20:19 26:5 27:16,20 | 35:6 pre-mark 13:12 | proposing 21:13 |
| 28:8,9 31:18 | 28:7 29:3,12,16,25 30:4 | | provide 13:15 15:22 |
| offered 25:20 | parties' 8:15 10:15 | prefer 20:5 | 19:12 29:25 30:8 31:6 |
| Office 4:19,22 5:2 7:4, | 24:25 25:3 | preference 15:10,21 | 34:10,18 provided 10:9 14:13 |
| 12 | party 3:24 14:7,12 | prefiled 22:4 27:2 31:10 | 32:20,25 |
| officer 32:21 | 15:4 25:19 36:15,16 | preliminaries 7:22 | PSC 8:3 19:23 |
| online 20:8 | pass 25:16 27:17 | 12:23 14:25 16:4,16 | Public 4:20,22 5:2 |
| | passed 27:24 | | 7:12 36:8 |
| | | | |

SA-2021-0017, Vol. Index: pull..starting

| pull 12:17 20:15 29:15 | recirculate 31:13 | RT.1 8:4 | simple 10:15 13:10 |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| purpose 3:17 25:5,14 | recommendation | RT2.1 8:5 | simply 14:7 21:15 |
| purposes 22:10 | 8:25 9:17 | RT3.1 8:5 | 26:17 |
| 24:25 | recommendations 8:20 | run 3:23 34:4,7 | single 23:15 |
| pursuant 36:5 | record 3:4,13 8:10,18 | | situation 29:19 |
| put 19:9 25:2 26:1 30:15 32:8 | 11:10,18 28:17 29:9 | S | sizes 14:18 17:6 |
| | 31:4 35:6 | SA-2021-0017 3:5 | skip 12:11 |
| putting 24:17 | refer 23:14 25:18 30:18 | save 10:16 | slide 15:19,23 33:12 34:6,9 |
| Q | reference 10:19 | saves 10:14 | slows 29:21 |
| question 10:25 13:2, | 11:12 | schedule 23:4,5,13, | small 11:23 13:5 |
| 12,20 17:4 21:25 | referred 11:10 | 14,20 | 17:19 |
| 23:24 24:24 31:8 | referring 18:20 25:23 | schedules 22:8,9,16, 23 23:17 24:4 | smaller 13:17 17:14 |
| questions 8:1 14:24 31:7 | refile 27:3 31:22 | screen 15:20 33:7 | solution 17:18 20:7 |
| quick 31:8 | reflected 10:12 | 34:7 | sort 11:3 |
| quickly 31:19,24 | Regional 7:15 30:25 | send 17:14 19:15 | sorts 14:22 |
| quo 9:19 | regulatory 3:12 19:6 | sense 13:25 28:10 | sound 33:3 |
| 4 | related 8:1 | separate 22:9 | sounds 16:15 20:3, |
| R | relative 36:15 | sequentially 13:6 | 17,19 30:5 |
| raised 10:5 | rely 29:4 | served 31:11 | source 18:13 20:8 26:11 |
| rate 8:14,22 9:2 13:13 | remain 4:10,16 | service 5:9 18:24 | speak 4:24 5:3 |
| 28:14,16 | remote 3:21 27:15 | 20:1 36:8 | speaking 17:25 |
| rates 8:2,21,23 9:1, | 28:23 29:18 32:22 | serving 26:10 | special 32:15 |
| 10,12,13,14 | reporter 3:3 4:6 27:12 34:24 35:7 36:1,3 | session 29:2 | specific 29:5 |
| re-attach 21:21 | represent 9:11 | set 3:9,15 11:2 19:9 32:15 | spite 14:11 |
| readily 12:15 | representing 4:24 | sewer 3:8 7:15 30:25 | split 21:4 |
| ready 17:1 34:13,16 | request 10:21 12:3, | share 15:20 17:9 | St 36:6 |
| reason 18:16 20:6 21:20 23:5,6 | 22 | 18:24 21:10 25:16 | staff 7:2,4 9:22 11:21 |
| reasonable 20:11 | required 20:6 | 33:7 34:7 | 15:3 18:8 19:4 20:19 |
| 21:19 30:9,10 33:3 | respond 18:3 | sheet 8:4,5 11:4,15, 23 | 28:3 30:10,24 31:14, 20 32:9 33:16 |
| reasons 13:22 14:22 26:16 | responsible 15:14 | sheets 8:3,9 | staff's 8:19 9:17 |
| rebuttal 24:10 | resurrect 11:19 revised 8:4 | show 15:19,23 33:12 34:6,9 | start 10:23 13:1 14:9 30:23 31:4,5 |
| receive 6:3 | road 10:17 | showing 16:22 | started 3:10 |
| receiving 17:8,22 | room 25:15 27:11 | side 17:21 18:13 | starting 11:21 32:25 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

SA-2021-0017, Vol. I Index: starts..Zoom

| starts 13:18 15:8 | tariff 8:3,9 | trial 3:23 25:3 | Wednesday 33:2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 30:25 | tariffs 8:3 | trouble 12:12 19:19, | 34:11 |
| State 36:4,6 | technical 3:23 17:20 | 24 | whichever 11:24 |
| statement 4:20 5:19 15:5,16,23 34:6 | 35:2 telephone 3:14 | truth 36:10 Tuesday 30:8 34:20 | Williams 5:1,6,14,18, 24,25 6:2,12,13,17 |
| status 9:19 | telling 26:20 | two-fold 3:18 | 7:7,11 10:6 12:9 16:6, 8,14 18:11,19 21:22 |
| stay 6:1 | tells 14:8 | typically 27:9 | 22:19,25 23:8,20 26:9, |
| stenographer 36:16 | terrible 33:4 | | 25 28:13 33:22,24 |
| stipulating 28:17 | test 4:12 19:21 | U | Williams@opc.gov 5:18 |
| stipulation 8:17 9:9, 12 | testify 36:9 | understand 4:1 | witnesses 4:10,11 |
| Street 7:19 | testimony 10:9,13, | 10:20 26:9 | 10:11,15 12:14,20 16:21,22 34:16,24 |
| stuff 16:19 17:19 | 16,18,20 11:2,11 12:7, 21 21:5,16,21 22:5,7, | understanding 31:11 | 35:3 work 14:19 16:13,21 |
| 24:16 submit 17:5 | 8,16,22 24:3,21,22 27:2,10,12,25 31:10 | unfamiliar 22:2 | 17:5,23 18:6,10 19:24 |
| | testing 35:2 | unmute 7:9 | 20:9 25:19 32:4 |
| substance 35:1 substantive 4:8 | thing 6:15 10:7 11:8 | unreasonable 16:1 | worked 19:6 28:22 |
| 16:19,20 34:23 | 12:13 21:7 25:10 30:22 | usual 6:6 | works 32:10,12 |
| successfully 21:6 | things 7:24 9:3 12:18 | v | worry 23:4 |
| suddenly 28:23 | 14:4 15:11,12,13,18 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | worth 8:24 |
| suit 36:17 | 25:21 27:14 28:25 29:1,9,19 34:2 | verify 3:24 | WR-2020344 8:18 |
| support 32:8 | thinking 14:5 | video 3:14 | wrapping 8:14 |
| supposed 30:19 | thinks 33:4 | volume 25:18 | writing 10:10 |
| surrebuttal 21:5 24:11 | thought 9:4 14:22 | w | written 11:9 wrong 12:12 |
| suspenders 30:15 | Thursday 33:1 | | |
| Swearengen 6:22 | time 3:10,15 4:16 10:15,17 12:12 15:23 | waiving 16:8,11 33:23 | Y |
| sworn 36:9 | 23:3 | wanted 3:23 7:24 | years 23:2 |
| system 3:8 14:11 | times 13:16 | 8:10 10:7 12:1,24 16:7 29:4 34:15 | |
| 20:11 26:24 | today 3:5,10,14 4:8, | Water 6:24 | Z |
| systems 17:8 21:2 | 12 5:11 6:16 7:2 13:22 14:4,23 15:18 16:23 | Water's 3:6 | Zoom 36:6 |
| т | 29:2 30:14,16,20 31:12 33:9 35:8 | waving 17:3 | |
| taking 26:22 | touching 36:11 | ways 6:9 | |
| talk 10:10 14:2 15:18 16:17 29:5 | transcript 10:19 11:13,17 | Webex 4:1 5:7,10,13, 15 6:10 33:7 | |
| talking 13:8 14:4 | Travis 7:3 | website 6:9 | |