

Commissioners
KELVIN L. SIMMONS
Chair

SHEILA LUMPE
CONNIE MURRAY

STEVE GAW

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us

August 23, 2001

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. ER-2001-299

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a STAFF'S REVISED TRUE-UP REVENUE REQUIREMENT.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Dennis L. Frey

Associate General Counsel

(573) 751-8700

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

dfrey03@mail.state.mo.us

DLF:ccl Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED
AUG 2 3 300
Service Commission

In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company's Tariff Sheets Designed to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company))))	Case No. ER-2001-299	
---	------------------	----------------------	--

STAFF'S REVISED TRUE-UP REVENUE REQUIREMENT

COMES NOW the Staff ("Staff") of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") and respectfully states as follows:

- 1. On August 7, 2001, the Staff filed, along with its True-Up Direct Testimony in this case, its Accounting Schedules, detailing its recommended revenue requirement.
- 2. Subsequent to that filing, The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire" or "Company") informed the Staff that the two parties differed as to the appropriate jurisdictional allocation factors for distribution plant. The distribution plant factor is used to allocate not only distribution plant but also distribution expenses to the Missouri jurisdiction. The difference between the Company and Staff relates to the treatment of certain distribution plant that should be considered transmission-related facilities. The latter carry a different jurisdictional allocation factor than the distribution plant.
- 3. Failure to identify this difference early in the process was an inadvertent oversight on the part of the Company. The Staff did not become aware until the time of its true-up filing that Empire disagreed with Staff's distribution allocation factor. Upon investigating the problem, the Staff realized that it had overstated the amount of distribution plant that was

106

attributable to the transmission function; however, the Staff did not have the necessary information to accurately determine the proper shift of plant between distribution and transmission. Moreover, due to the late hour, there was insufficient time to obtain such information.

- 4. The Company valuated this issue at \$640,000, an amount that represented the rate base component only¹. The Staff suggested an equal split of this dollar value, with the understanding that the Company would, in future rate cases, provide detailed documentation to permit an accurate shift of distribution plant to the transmission function. Empire agreed to this proposal. Accordingly, Staff's true-up revenue requirement increased by \$320,000, as reflected on Revised Accounting Schedule, 1-1, attached hereto. As shown in the Schedule, the base (or permanent) revenue requirement increased from the amount filed² on August 7 of \$12,420,734 to \$12,740,734. Adding the Interim Energy Credit of \$19,643,484 to this revised true-up permanent revenue requirement yields a total revised true-up revenue requirement of \$32,384,218.
- 5. The Staff has discussed this matter with Praxair and the Office of the Public Counsel, and they may raise any objections at the true-up hearing scheduled for today.

WHEREFORE, the Staff respectfully requests that the Commission accept the Staff's Revised Accounting Schedule 1-1, attached hereto.

² All amounts that follow are based on the Staff's midpoint rate of return of 9.00%.

¹ There was also a dollar impact on the income statement that was not quantified by the Company.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Dennis L. Frey

Associate General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 44697

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8700 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

e-mail: dfrey03@mail.state.mo.us

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 23rd day of August 2001.

Revised Accounting Schedule: 1 Williams 15:23 8/06/2001

Empire District Electric Company Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2000

Revenue Requirement

	_		-		- -			
	Return		Return		Return			
	(0)		(5)		(8)		, (A)	
	531,423,554	\$	\$55,624,162	\$	\$55'6Z\$'TES	\$	Net Orig Cost Rate Base (Sch 2)	τ
	\$45.8		485.8		\$6I.8		Rate of Return	
	******	******	*******		******	******	*********	****
•	666'275'57				683,522,59		Net Operating Income Requirement	
	959'846'95				959'846'98		Net Income Available (Sch 9)	
				-	*******	-	behasi Taion lemoitibhs	
•••	£\$£'\$95 ' 8	\$	869'755'L	ŝ	EE6'775'9		Additional WolBT Weeded	
	-3. 00. 00	*		•			Income Tax Requirement (Sch 11)	9
	501'661'II		786'695'OT		728,046,9	\$	Required Current Income Tax	
	988'£04'5		988'E04'5		988'E04'5		Test Year Current Income Tax	9
	612'567'S				179,365,971		Additional Current Tax Required	6
	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$. Required Deferred ITC	στ
	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	OTI betrafed Tast JesT	ττ
	0	\$	0	\$ ••••••	0	\$ *******	Additional Deferred ITC Required	75
	*******	******	*******		******	*********	******	****
					**************************************		Total Additional Tax Requirted	
					*************************************	**************************************	Gross Revenue Requirement	#### #T
	350,000	\$	350,000	\$	320,000	\$	Additional Revenue Requirement Relating to Jurisdictional Allocations	
	Z99'64E'7T ·	\$	12,740,734	\$	705 101 11	٦	Revised Gross Revenue Requirement	91
			. * * * * *	* * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
	* * * * * * * \$8\$'£\$9'6T	* * * *	* * * * * * 585'859'6T	* * * * * [:] \$	* * * * * * 787'679'67	* * * * * * * *	Interim Energy Charge Subject to Refund * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
	* * * * * * * 970'820'78		32,384,21	\$	30,745,388	S	Revised Total Gross Revenue Requirement Base Rates Plus Interim Rates	

Service List for Case No. ER-2001-299 Verified: August 23, 2001 (ccl)

Office of the Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gary Duffy Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 Stuart W. Conrad Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 1209 Penntower Office Bldg. Kansas City, MO 64111

Henry T. Herschel 308 E. High Street Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65101