Exhibit No.: Issue: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Rate Design Jarrod J. Robertson MoPSC Staff Direct Testimony WR-2016-0064 April 15, 2016

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JARROD J. ROBERTSON

Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.

CASE NO. WR-2016-0064

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2016

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	JARROD J. ROBERTSON
4	HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS1
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
8	COMPANY OVERVIEW
9	RATE DESIGN 6
10	TARIFF ISSUES

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		JARROD J. ROBERTSON
4		HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5		CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	А.	Jarrod J. Robertson, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	А.	I am a Utility Policy Analyst I with the Missouri Public Service Commission
10	(PSC or Com	mission).
11	BACKGROU	UND OF WITNESS
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
13	А.	I graduated from Columbia College, Columbia, Missouri, where I earned a
14	Bachelor of A	arts degree in Biology, May of 2004.
15	Q.	Please describe your work background prior to working at the Commission.
16	А.	Prior to starting at the Commission, in July of 2015, I worked as an
17	Environmenta	al Specialist at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for both
18	the Hazardou	s and Solid Waste Management Programs, from October 2008 - July 2015.
19	I worked for	r the University of Missouri, Columbia as a Research Specialist
20	from 1998 – 0	October 2008, in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments,
21	respectively.	
22	While	at DNR, as Project Manager in both the Hazardous and Solid Waste
23	Management	Programs, I analyzed data related to the release/spill of gasoline/petroleum, such

as Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL), at 1 2 Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks and violations which occurred at Permitted 3 Landfills and Infectious Waste Disposal, respectfully. The data analysis involved volatile and 4 non-volatile chemical concentration(s), their toxic; carcinogenic; flammability and other 5 health hazards and the subsequent "desired" remedial levels of said chemicals. While with 6 the Hazardous Waste Management Program, I also performed qualitative data analysis of 7 concentration vs time and/or distance and point by point analysis using both the 8 Mann-Kendall and Linear Regression statistical methods.

9 While at the University of Missouri, I analyzed data as it relates to the genetic and 10 biological study/manipulation of various organisms: maize (corn); bovine and bacteria. 11 I worked on the "Maize Project," mapping the genetic structure of corn, using 12 Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) DNA Marker Technique; studied heat stress in bovine using 13 microarray analysis; and I created mutagenic strains of bacteria by deletion of a single gene or 14 an operon (a cluster of genes) combined with cloning sequence(s) and amplification by way 15 of a Poly Chain Reaction (PCR) in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry 16 Departments, respectively.

17

Q. Please describe your duties while employed by the Commission.

A. As a Utility Policy Analyst I, my core duties revolve around being a
Case Coordinator for Small Company Rate Cases filed with the Commission. These duties
include, but are not limited to: setting up the case Activities Timeline; authoring Customer
Notice(s); coordinating meetings and correspondence between Staff, Office of the
Public Counsel ("OPC"), and the utilities; disseminating information between Staff,

- OPC and the utilities; reviewing and if necessary, revising utilities' tariff(s), as well as
 performing rate design.
 - Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
- 4

6

3

- A. No, I have not previously filed testimony before this Commission.
- 5 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide rate design alternatives to the
Commission for consideration in determining the ultimate rates for Hillcrest Utility Operating
Company, Inc. ("Hillcrest" or "Company").

10

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Q.

11

Please provide a brief history of Hillcrest.

12 A. Hillcrest is a water and sewer service utility that provides service to 13 approximately 241 water and 240 sewer customers, which consist of single-family homes, 14 apartments, and small commercial businesses in the Hillcrest Manor subdivision in 15 Cape Girardeau County, just outside the city of Cape Girardeau. The water and sewer 16 systems are believed to have been constructed in approximately 1974, and operated by 17 Hillcrest Utilities Company. Hillcrest Utilities Company received its original Certificates of 18 Convenience and Necessities in Case No. 17938 for water and No. 17937 for wastewater. 19 In 2006, utility system assets were transferred from Hillcrest Utilities Company to 20 Brandco Investments, LLC in Case No. WM-2007-0261. The Commission granted the 21 Company's current owners a CCN for water and sewer service in the context of approving the 22 sale of assets from Brandco in File No. WO-2014-0340 through an Order that became

effective on October 22, 2014. The current Commission-approved rates and charges have not
 been changed since 1989.

3

Q. Please briefly describe the water system.

4 A. As was mentioned in Staff's water and sewer memo filed in the 5 Partial Disposition Agreement in this proceeding, the water system consists of a single well, a 6 newly constructed 58,000 gallon ground storage tank, and two high-service pumps that pump 7 from the tank to the distribution system, which is made up of predominately six-inch and 8 some eight-inch PVC pipe. There are also several flush hydrants located throughout the 9 system. Each customer is individually metered. Prior to acquisition by Hillcrest the system 10 only had a 20,000 gallon storage tank, which was inadequate to meet a minimum one-day 11 average use, as recommended by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' (DNR) 12 Minimum Design Standards for Missouri Community Water Systems.

As was documented in Staff's Recommendation in File No. WO-2014-0340, both the drinking water system and the sewer system were in critical need of repairs and upgrade, and were subject to an Abatement Order on Consent agreement with DNR. These upgrades were necessary not only to meet regulatory requirements, but were also critical to improving the operational efficiency and dependability of both systems.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Drinking water system upgrades made by the Company, include the following:

- Replaced the old 20,000 gallon storage tank with a new 58,000 gallon tank.
 - Added permanent chlorination which required a chlorine room to be added to the well house.
- Replaced the roof on the well house.
 - Rewired all of the electrical components and electric motor controls in the well house.
- Added a backup generator.

1	• Resealed the well head.
2	• Replaced the master meter at the well head.
3	• Constructed a security fence around the well house and storage tank.
4	• Added eight valves to the distribution system.
5	• Replaced some broken meters in the distribution system.
6 7 8	• Installed a supervisory control and data acquisition system (<i>SCADA</i>) for more efficient system operation.
8 9	Q. Please briefly describe the wastewater system.
10	A. The wastewater system consists of gravity sewers, one existing lift station
11	within the collection system, and one new lift station that was constructed as a part of the
12	treatment facility in conjunction with a new treatment component, called a bioreactor.
13	The bioreactor is a piece of fairly new technology. It consists of two concrete in-ground tanks
14	filled with small plastic balls called media. The media provide surface area for bacteria to
15	attach and grow on. Bacteria are used to break down and treat the raw sewage, especially to
16	break down ammonia, which is the Company's purpose for utilizing the bioreactor. Utilizing
17	the bioreactor means more sewage can be treated faster in a smaller plant. The treatment
18	facility is a modification of what was previously a four-cell lagoon. The prior owner,
19	Brandco, was required by DNR to meet new limits for ammonia discharge by July 1, 2016.
20	This drove the Company to upgrade the facility and treatment process immediately after
21	acquisition. This involved a reconfiguration of the treatment process while still utilizing the
22	original system.
23	
24	
25	

1	Upgrades include:
2	• A new moving bed bioreactor to help remove ammonia.
3	• A new lift station to pump effluent to the bioreactor from an existing lagoon cell.
4	• Rerouting a portion of the sewer pipe to a new entry point at the treatment system.
5	• Adding a fence around the lagoons and bioreactor.
6	• Cleared brush and over growth from around the lagoons and adjacent area.
7	• Riprapped the eroding drainage ditch between the lagoons.
8	• Installed SCADA on the two lift stations and bioreactor.
9	RATE DESIGN
10	Q. What is the general purpose of rate design?
11	A. The general purpose of rate design is to set "rates" that are both fair and just
12	for the customer, while still affording the company an opportunity to collect its
13	Commission-approved revenue requirement.
14	Q. What is the purpose of Staff's rate design alternatives?
15	A. The purpose of Staff's rate design alternatives is to design rates that will be
16	used to collect the appropriate levels of revenue from each customer class in order to cover
17	the Company's cost of service while giving the Commission flexibility to address the
18	proposed rate increase and ultimate impact on the ratepayers.
19	Q. What is Hillcrest's current rate design structure for its water system?
20	A. The water system's current rate design structure combines all customers into
21	one rate class, charging them the same customer charge of \$3.58 per month and the same
22	commodity fee of \$1.84 per 1,000 gallons used.
23	Q. What is Hillcrest's current rate design structure for its sewer system?

1	А.	The sewer system's current rate design structure combines the residential and
2	commercial	customers into one class with a flat, monthly customer charge of \$14.63.
3	The apartme	ents are separated into a separate class with a flat monthly customer charge
4	of \$11.70.	
5	Q.	Did Staff design a new rate structure for the water customers?
6	А.	Yes.
7	Q.	Why did Staff design a new rate structure for the water customers?
8	А.	The current rate design structure for water customers accounts for only one
9	class, "Resid	ential." Yet, there is more than one distinct customer type/class on the system.
10	Q.	What is the justification for Staff creating a new customer class in the
11	water rates?	
12	А.	A new customer class, and therefore a separate customer charge, is appropriate
13	for the custor	mers residing in the apartments, due to the nature of the apartment complex and
14	the reduction	in costs associated with reading the apartment's meters. Furthermore, this will
15	make the wat	ter system's rate structure consistent with the sewer system's rate structure.
16	Q.	What are Staff's alternative rate design proposals for the implementation of
17	new rates?	
18	А.	There are two alternatives that Staff is proposing to the Commission for the
19	purposes of c	lesigning rates:
20		The first alternative is the traditional manner of rate design.
21		• The total revenue requirement for water is \$177,171; an increase of
22		\$144,778 in revenue requirement. This will create an average monthly
23		water bill, based on 5,300 gallons of usage, for the residential and

1	commercial customers, of \$69.41, which is a total increase of 420.6%,
2	and creates an average monthly water bill, based on 5,300 gallons of
3	usage, for the proposed apartment customers, of \$62.52, which is a total
4	increase of 368.96%
5	• The total revenue requirement for sewer is \$208,844; an increase of
6	\$167,413 in revenue requirement. This will create an average monthly
7	sewer bill for the residential and commercial customers, of \$73.75,
8	which is a total increase of 404.07%, and an average monthly sewer bill
9	for the proposed apartment customers, of \$58.98, which is a total
10	increase of 303.14%.
11	The second alternative is a phase-in proposal. The reason for considering a phase-in is
12	to alleviate the amount of "rate shock" on the customer as a result of implementing the entire
10	rate increase all at once.
13	Tate increase all at once.
13 14	Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal.
14	Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal.
14 15	Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal.A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the
14 15 16	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new
14 15 16 17	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement
14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement (non-cash flow items) not calculated in the initial rate be "carried over" and included in the
14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement (non-cash flow items) not calculated in the initial rate be "carried over" and included in the rates determined in the subsequent rate case. The amounts will include carrying costs and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement (non-cash flow items) not calculated in the initial rate be "carried over" and included in the rates determined in the subsequent rate case. The amounts will include carrying costs and will be included in rate base and amortized over five years.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal. A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement (non-cash flow items) not calculated in the initial rate be "carried over" and included in the rates determined in the subsequent rate case. The amounts will include carrying costs and will be included in rate base and amortized over five years. By removing the non-cash flow items, the new revenue requirement for

1	This will create an average monthly water bill, based on 5,300 gallons
2	of usage, for the residential and commercial customers, of \$50.19,
3	which is a total increase of 276.49%, and an average monthly water bill
4	for the proposed apartment customers, of \$43.70, which is a total
5	increase of 227.76%. The difference between the two revenue
6	requirements is the amount that will be "carried-over" to the next rate
7	case plus the carrying costs.
8	• By removing the non-cash flow items, the new total revenue
9	requirement for sewer is \$157,253, which is less than the \$208,844 in
10	the traditional method. This is an increase of \$115,822 in revenue
11	requirement. This will create a monthly sewer bill for the residential
12	and commercial customers, of \$55.53, which is a total increase of
13	279.55%, and an average monthly sewer bill for the proposed
14	apartment customers, of \$44.41, which is a total increase of 203.55%.
15	The difference between the two revenue requirements is the amount
16	that will be "carried-over" to the next rate case plus the carrying costs.
17	TARIFF ISSUES
18	Q. Has Hillcrest proposed various changes to its Tariffs?
19	A. Yes. Hillcrest has proposed various changes to its schedule of service charges.
20	Q. Is Staff in agreement with those proposed changes?
21	A. Staff agrees with a majority of the changes that have been proposed. However,
22	there are three items for which Staff is waiting for justification of the proposed changes from

- 1 the Company. Staff will continue to work with the Company to hopefully resolve this issue
- 2 prior to filing rebuttal testimony.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

3

4

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of the Water Rate Increase Request of Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.

Case No. WR-2016-0064

AFFIDAVIT OF JARROD ROBERTSON

SS.

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

COMES NOW Jarrod Robertson and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Direct Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Jarrod Robertson

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 15th day of April, 2016.



Mahra L. Vau A-Notary Public

Jarrod J Robertson Utility Policy Analyst

Present Position:

I am a Utility Policy Analyst in the Water and Sewer Department, of the Commission Staff Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission. I have been employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission since, July 2015.

Education and Employment Background:

I graduated from Columbia College, Columbia, Missouri, where I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology, May of 2004. Prior to starting at the Commission, in July of 2015, I worked as an Environmental Specialist at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for both the Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Programs, from October 2008 – July 2015. I worked for the University of Missouri, Columbia as a Research Specialist from 1998 – October 2008, in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments, respectfully.

While at DNR, as Project Manager in both the Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Programs, I analyzed data related to the release/spill of gasoline/petroleum, such as Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL), at Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks and violations which occurred at Permitted Landfills and Infectious Waste Disposal, respectfully. The data analysis involved volatile and non-volatile chemical concentration(s), their toxic; carcinogenic; flammability and other health hazards and the subsequent "desired" remedial levels of said chemicals. While with the Hazardous Waste Management Program, I also performed qualitative data analysis of concentration vs time and/or distance and monitoring well by monitoring well analysis using both the Mann-Kendall and Linear Regression statistical methods.

While at the University of Missouri, I analyzed data as it related to the genetic and biological study/manipulation of various organisms: maize (corn); bovine and bacteria. I worked on the "Maize Project," mapping the genetic structure of corn, using Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) DNA Marker Technique; studied heat stress in bovine using microarray analysis; and I created mutagenic strains of bacteria by deletion of a single gene or an operon (a cluster of genes) combined with cloning sequence(s) and amplification by way of a Poly Chain Reaction (PCR) in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments, respectfully.

Case Participation:

Company Name	Case Number(s)	Testimony/Issues
Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WR-2015-0064 SR-2015-0065	Water and Sewer Rate Increase Request
Cannon Home Association	SR-2016-0112	Sewer Rate Increase Request

Rate Making Income Statement-Water

15

Phase In Alternative Operating Revenues at Current Rates 1 Tariffed Rate Revenues * \$ 32,378 \$ 2 Other Operating Revenues * \$ **Total Operating Revenues** 32,393 3

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details 4

Cost of Service		
Item		Amount
1 Operators Salary-Maintenance	\$	18,479
2 Operator-Backup	\$	-
3 Electricity-Pumping	\$	6,129
4	\$	-
5 Utility Water Usage	\$ \$ \$	-
6 Water Treatment Expense-Chemicals	\$	1,797
7 Bank Fees	\$	2,421
8 Outside Services Employed	\$	927
9 System Repairs Maintenance	\$	1,037
10 Billing & Collections	\$	6,253
11 Office Supplies	\$	495
12 Postage	\$	168
13 Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153
14 Office Utilities	\$	-
15 Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	361
16 Transportation Expense	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,598
17 Fuel Expense-Vehicles	\$, -
18 Medical Expense	·	
19 Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
20 Rent Expense-Building	\$	6,121
21 Rate Case Expense	\$	-
22 MO DNR Fees	\$	200
23 Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	6,971
24 Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	735
25 Uncollectable Accounts	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-
26 Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	89,672
27 Property Taxes	\$	164
28 MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-
29 Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104
30 Federal Unemployment Taxes		
31 State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
32 State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,315
33 Sub-Total Taxes	\$	7,183
34 Depreciation Expense		
35 Interest Expense	\$	35,844
36 Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-
37 Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ \$ \$ \$	35,844
38 Return on Rate Base	\$	-
39 Total Cost of Service	\$	132,699
40 Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	100,306

WATER & SEWER COMPANY Rate Making Income Statement-Sewer

Phase In Alternative

	Operating Revenues at Current Rates		
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	41,431
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	41,431
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details		

Item 1 Operators Salary 2 Operator-Backup 3 Electricity-Pumping 4 Electricity-Shop	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount - 4,971 - 4,179
2 Operator-Backup 3 Electricity-Pumping	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - 4,179
2 Operator-Backup 3 Electricity-Pumping	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - 4,179
3 Electricity-Pumping	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - 4,179
	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - 4,179
	\$ \$ \$	
5 Utility Water Usage	\$ \$	
6 Sewer Treatment -Chemicals	\$	
7 Sewer Treatment -Testing/Laboratory Fees		23,088
8 Sludge Removal		-
9 Maintenance Expense-Parts/Equipment	\$	11,687
10 Maintenance Expense-Outside Labor	\$	1,019
11 Bank Fees	\$	2,331
12 Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153
13 Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	323
14 Transportation Expense	\$	1,598
15 Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
16 Rent Expense	\$	6,121
17 Rate Case Expense	\$	-
18 Office Supplies	\$	495
19 Postage Expense	\$	58
20 Bookkeeping	\$	6,229
21 Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	9,075
22 Regulatory Commission Expense		3,745
Uncollectable Accounts	\$	-
23 Miscellaneous General Expenses	\$	38
24 Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	110,937
25 Property Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	164
26 MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-
27 Employer FICA Taxes	\$	-
28 Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	-
29 State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
30 State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,895
31 Sub-Total Taxes	\$	5,659
32 Depreciation Expense	\$	-
33 Interest Expense	\$	40,657
34 Amortization of Utility Plant		, _
35 Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	40,657
36 Return on Rate Base	\$ \$ \$	-
37 Total Cost of Service	\$	157,253
38 Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	115,822

Phase In Alternative Customer Bill Comparison-Water

	Rates for 5/8" N	leter	
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate
\$3.58	\$32.50	\$1.84	\$3.34
current service charge is mont	hly charge		

usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

MONTHLY BILL CO	OMPARIS	SON
5,300 gallons/mo	nth usage	
Current Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	3.58
Usage Charge	\$	9.75
Total Bill	\$	13.33
Proposed Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	32.50
Usage Charge	\$	17.70
Total Bill	\$	50.19
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$2	28.92
% Increase	80	7.72%
Usage Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	7.95
% Increase	8	1.48%
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$3	36.86
% Increase	27	6.49%

Phase In Alternative Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer

Rates for Residential Customer

Current Base	Proposed Base
Customer Charge	Customer Charge
\$14.63	\$55.53

current service charge is monthly charge

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Current Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge Total Bill	\$ \$ \$	14.63 - 14.63
Proposed Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge Total Bill	\$ \$ \$	55.53 - 55.53
INCREASES		
Customer Charge \$ Increase % Increase		40.90 ′9.55%
\$ Increase	27	

Customer Bill Comparison-Water

	Rates for 5/8" M	leter	
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate
\$3.58	\$34.44	\$1.84	\$6.60

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

		SON
5,300 gallons/month u	isage	
Current Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	3.58
Usage Charge	\$ \$	9.75
Total Bill	\$	13.33
Proposed Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	34.44
Usage Charge	\$ \$	34.97
Total Bill	\$	69.41
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	30.86
% Increase	•	61.88%
Usage Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	25.22
% Increase	25	58.60%
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$	56.07
% Increase	-	20.60%

WATER & SEWER COMPANY Rate Making Income Statement-Sewer

	Operating Revenues a	t Current Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	41,431
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	41,431
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details		

	Cost of Service	9	
	Item	/	Amount
1	Operators Salary	\$	-
2	Operator-Backup	\$	-
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	4,971
4	Electricity-Shop	\$, _
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-
6	Sewer Treatment -Chemicals	\$	4,179
7	Sewer Treatment -Testing/Laboratory Fees	\$	23,088
8	Sludge Removal	\$, _
9	Maintenance Expense-Parts/Equipment	\$	11,687
10	Maintenance Expense-Outside Labor	\$	1,019
11	Bank Fees	\$	2,331
12	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153
13	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	323
14	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
	Rent Expense	\$	6,121
	Rate Case Expense	\$	-, -
	Office Supplies	\$	495
	Postage Expense	\$	58
	Bookkeeping	\$	6,229
	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	9,075
	Regulatory Commission Expense		3,745
	Uncollectable Accounts	\$ \$	467
23	Miscellaneous General Expenses	\$	38
	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	111,404
	Property Taxes	\$	164
	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-
	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	-
	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	-
	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,895
	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	5,659
	Depreciation Expense	\$	31,467
	Interest Expense	\$	40,657
	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-
	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	72,124
	Return on Rate Base	- +	19,657
37	Total Cost of Service	\$	208,844
			;
38	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	167,413

WATER & SEWER COMPANY Rate Making Income Statement-Water

	Operating Revenues at C	Current Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	32,378
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	15
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	32,393
1	* Soo "Povonuos - Current Pates" for Dotails		

* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details 4

Cost of Service		
Item		Amount
1 Operators Salary-Maintenance	\$	18,479
2 Operator-Backup	\$	-
3 Electricity-Pumping	\$	6,129
4	\$ \$ \$	-
5 Utility Water Usage	\$	-
6 Water Treatment Expense-Chemicals	\$	1,797
7 Bank Fees	\$	2,421
8 Outside Services Employed	\$ \$	927
9 System Repairs Maintenance	\$	1,037
10 Billing & Collections	\$	6,253
11 Office Supplies	\$	495
12 Postage	\$	168
13 Administration & General - Salaries	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	24,153
14 Office Utilities	\$	-
15 Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	361
16 Transportation Expense	\$	1,598
17 Fuel Expense-Vehicles	\$	-
18 Medical Expense		
19 Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
20 Rent Expense-Building	\$	6,121
21 Rate Case Expense		-
22 MO DNR Fees	\$	200
23 Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	6,971
24 Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	735
25 Uncollectable Accounts	\$	467
26 Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	90,139
27 Property Taxes	\$	164
28 MO Franchise Taxes		-
29 Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104
30 Federal Unemployment Taxes		
31 State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
32 State & Federal Income Taxes	\$ \$	4,315
33 Sub-Total Taxes		7,183
34 Depreciation Expense	\$	26,675
35 Interest Expense	\$	35,844
36 Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-
37 Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	62,519
38 Return on Rate Base	\$	17,330
39 Total Cost of Service	\$	177,171
	¢	4 4 4 770
40 Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	144,778

Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer

	Rates for Residential Customer
Current Base	Proposed Base
Customer Charge	Customer Charge
\$14.63	\$73.75

current service charge is monthly charge

MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Current Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge	\$ 14.63 \$ -
Total Bill	\$ 14.63
Proposed Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 73.75
Usage Charge	\$-
Total Bill	\$ 73.75
INCREASES	
Customer Charge	
\$ Increase	\$59.12
	\$59.12 404.07%
\$ Increase	
\$ Increase % Increase	
\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge	404.07%
<pre>\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge \$ Increase</pre>	404.07% \$0.00
<pre>\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge \$ Increase % Increase Total Bill</pre>	404.07% \$0.00 N/A
 \$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge \$ Increase % Increase 	404.07% \$0.00