Exhibit No.:

Issues: Steam Sales Witness: David C. Roos

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2007-0004

Date Testimony Prepared: January 18, 2007

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

# **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

**DAVID C. ROOS** 

AQUILA, INC.

d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P

**CASE NO. ER-2007-0004** 

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2007

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. d/ Networks-MPS and Aquila L&P, for authority to file tariffs electric rates for the service procustomers in the Aquila Networks-L&P service	Networks- ) increasing ) rovided to ) vorks-MPS )	Case No. ER-2007-0004
AFFI	DAVIT OF DAVID C	ROOS
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) s COUNTY OF COLE )	is.	
preparation of the following Direct Testimon the following Direct Testimony	ect Testimony in questi ny to be presented in the were given by him; that	es: that he has participated in the on and answer form, consisting of the above case, that the answers in the has knowledge of the matters to the best of his knowledge and
	_d	David C. Roos
Subscribed and sworn to before	me this 16th day of Ja	nuary, 2007.
SUSAN L. SUNDERME My Commission Expl September 21, 201 Callaway County Commission #069420	ires Just	Notary Public

My commission expires 9-2/-/0

1	<b>Table of Contents</b>
2	
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY
4	
5	OF
6	
7	DAVID C. ROOS
8	
9	AQUILA, INC.
10	
11	d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P
12	CLCENO ED 2007 0004
13	CASE NO. ER-2007-0004
14	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
15	EAECUTIVE SUMINARY2
1.6	STEAM SALES
16	51 EAW SALES 2

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	1 2 3
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
	0
2	1
2	
2	1
2	1
2 2 2	1 2 3
2 2 2	1
2 2 2	1 2 3 4
2 2 2	1 2 3
2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

OF

#### **DAVID C. ROOS**

## AQUILA, INC.

## d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P

#### CASE NO. ER-2007-0004

- Q. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is David C. Roos and my business address is Missouri Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
- Q. What is your present position with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)?
- A. I am a Regulatory Economist III in the Economic Analysis Section, Energy Department, Operations Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
  - Q. What is your educational background and work experience?
- A. I graduated from the University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, with a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering in May 1983. I received a Master of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Missouri in December 2005. I have been employed at the Missouri Public Service Commission as a Regulatory Economist III since March 2006. Prior to joining the Public Service Commission, I taught introductory economics and conducted research as a graduate teaching assistant and graduate research assistant at the University of Missouri. Prior to the University of Missouri, I was employed by several private firms where I provided consulting, design, and construction oversight of environmental projects for private and public sector clients.

- Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?
- A. Yes, I have. I filed testimony in The Empire District Electric Company's most recent general electric rate case, Case No. ER-2006-0315; and Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE's most recent general electric rate case, Case No. ER-2007-0002.

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
- A. In this filing I present adjusted monthly sales of steam (measured in MMBtu) for the steam operations of Aquila Networks, Inc, d/b/a Aquila Networks L&P (L&P). Steam sales are based upon a test year of January 1, 2005 December 31, 2005, updated for known and measurable changes through September 30, 2006. My direct testimony describes my role in the development of specific adjustments to test year steam sales.
- Q. Does Staff have a recommendation for the Commission regarding sales for L&P's steam operations?
- A. Staff recommends that the Commission adopt my adjustments to test year MMBtu sales. If adopted, my adjusted level of steam sales will be used as an input into the development of hourly steam loads in this case.

#### **STEAM SALES**

- Q. How are hourly steam loads used in an electric case?
- A. My calculated steam sales are used to compute the hourly steam loads which are used in the calculation of L&P's electric fuel and purchased power expense. Please see Staff witness Shawn E. Lange's direct testimony for the hourly steam load calculations and Staff witness David W. Elliott's direct testimony for the appropriate level of electric fuel and purchased power expense.

- Q. Please describe the characteristics of the steam sales you have developed.
- A. The Missouri steam sales, expressed in millions of British thermal units (MMBtu), have these characteristics: (i) they have been reviewed at the individual customer level; (ii) they have been annualized to reflect billing corrections; (iii) they have been adjusted to reflect any load growth (or decline) at the individual customer level; (iv) they have been adjusted to reflect anticipated load growth due to the addition of new customers.
- Q. Why were the adjustments to test year steam sales and rate revenue done by individual customer?
- A. Steam customers are heterogeneous in terms of both size and load factor and, as a consequence, aggregate methods of analyzing them are generally not very accurate. Adjusting each account separately reflects the unique circumstances of each customer.
- Q. Please describe the rationale and process for annualizing billing corrections for individual steam accounts.
- A. Aquila made billing corrections to individual steam accounts that applied to usage during the test year. Billing corrections for a particular month are generally recorded by L&P in a subsequent month. I adjusted the individual customer monthly sales as recorded by L&P, to match what the test year billing data would have looked like if all of the original bills had been correct and recorded by L&P in the month in which the usage occurred. Not adjusting for these billing corrections would distort the monthly test year data required for my analysis of steam sales and hourly loads.
- Q. What changes to steam sales have you accounted for that occurred or are projected to occur before the end of the true-up period in this case?
  - A. I have made adjustments to steam sales to reflect:

- (i) the closing of two existing accounts,
- (ii) the expansion of one existing account, and
- (iii) the entrance of a new steam account.

Overall, these changes are estimated to increase total annual steam sales for L&P by 367,483 MMBtu from test year 2005 steam sales, an increase of about 20%.

- Q. Please describe how the closing of two existing steam accounts during the test year was treated in your analysis.
- A. All recorded steam sales for the two accounts that closed during the test year were removed from test year sales. In effect, these two accounts were treated as if they had received no steam service during the test year so that projections of future steam sales will not reflect their presence.
- Q. Please describe how the expansion of one existing steam account was treated in your analysis.
- A. Data for this customer was available through October 2006. Analysis of this customer's monthly usage indicates that the expansion was not completed until April 2006. Usage in the test year months of April through October was replaced with data for the same months in 2006. The average monthly steam use for the period April through October 2006 was used to replace the usage in the test year months prior to April and after October.
- Q. Please describe your adjustment to account for the addition of a new steam account in your analysis.
- A. This new customer began receiving steam in December 2005 and steadily increased monthly steam usage through March 2006. Monthly steam sales after March 2006 reached a plateau at a level comparable to March 2006 usage. I replaced the zero usage data

Direct Testimony of David C. Roos

1

2

3

4

5

6

in the months of March through October of the test year with usage data in the corresponding months in 2006. The average monthly steam use for the period March 2006 through October 2006 was used to fill in the missing usage in the test year months prior to March and after October

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony in this case?
- A. Yes, it does.