Exhibit No.:

Issue: Depreciation

Witness: Rosella L. Schad, PE, CPA

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case Nos.: WR-2006-0425 and SR-2006-0426

(Consolidated)

Date Testimony Prepared: December 28, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE, CPA

ALGONQUIN WATER RESOURCES OF MISSOURI, LLC

CASE NOS. WR-2006-0425 AND SR-2006-0426 (Consolidated)

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Tariff Resources of Missouri, General Rate Increase for Provided to Customers in Areas.	LLC Water a	to Impleand Sewer	ment a) Service)	Case No. WR	-2006-0425
AFFIDA	VIT OF	F ROSELI	LA L. SCHAD	D. PE. CPA	
STATE OF MISSOURI))	SS.		,,	
Rosella L. Schad, PE, participated in the preparate answer form, consisting of answers in the foregoing Re of the matters set forth in subest of her knowledge and be	tion of the buttal Tach answ	the foreg _ pages to Testimony	oing Rebutta be presented were given b	I Testimony in qual I in the above cas I her; that she has	estion and se; that the knowledge
		<u>,</u>	Cosella Rosell	L. Schad, 7 la L. Schad, PE, CP	PE, CPA 'A
Subscribed and sworn to before	ore me	this 2	day of De	Charry	le:
				Notary Public	_

TONI M. CHARLTON Notary Public - State of Missouri My Commission Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County Commission #04474301

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE, CPA
5	ALGONQUIN WATER RESOURCES OF MISSOURI, LLC
6	CASE NOS. WR-2006-0425 AND SR-2006-0426
7	(CONSOLIDATED)
8	
9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
10	DEPRECIATION2
11	

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2	OF	
3	ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE, CPA	
4	ALGONQUIN WATER RESOURCES OF MISSOURI,	LLC
5	CASE NOS. WR-2006-0425 AND SR-2006-0426	
6	(CONSOLIDATED)	
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
8	A. Rosella L. Schad, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 6510	2.
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
10	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission	on (Commission) as
11	an Engineer in the Engineering & Management Services Department.	
12	Q. Are you the same Rosella L. Schad that previously filed I	Direct Testimony on
13	behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) in the	nis proceeding?
14	A. Yes I am.	
15	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
16	Q. Please provide a summary of your Rebuttal Testimony.	
17	A. I will respond to the Direct Testimony of Larry W. Loos	of Algonquin Water
18	Resources of Missouri, LLC (Company). I will also present Staff's d	etermination of the
19	Company's current level of dollars of plant and accumulated depreciation	n reserve, as well as
20	annual depreciation expense.	
21	Q. Is there one area of concern to focus on in this proceeding?	
22	A. Yes. The issue centers on the Company's adjusted plant be	alances (unrecorded
23	plant) presented in the Direct Testimony of its witness, Larry W. Loos.	

DEPRECIATION

- Q. Does the Company's witness, Larry W. Loos, indicate his calculations as of 9/30/06 of the dollars of plant to depreciate and the dollars in the accumulated depreciation reserve?
- A. Yes. On Schedule LSL-3 line 28 of his Direct Testimony, he shows adjusted book plant of \$6,310,970, and on the same schedule, line 29, he shows a depreciation reserve balance of \$2,533,870.
- Q. What is Staff's level of dollars of plant to depreciate, the accumulated depreciation reserve on plant to depreciate, and the annual depreciation expense on plant to depreciate?
- A. According to Staff's accounting schedules filed with its Direct Testimony, there is \$2,063,148 of plant to depreciate, \$925,509 of accumulated depreciation reserve, and \$108,705 of annual depreciation expense.
- Q. Do these dollar levels represent Staff's total plant amounts, less the amounts Staff has identified with respect to contributed plant?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What is the major reason that the Company's and Staff's balances are different?
- A. The Company has derived its 2006 plant balances by making adjustments for unrecorded plant to 2005 plant balances. The Company witness then makes corresponding adjustments to the accumulated depreciation reserve. Staff auditors have derived their plant balances from their original audit, which was conducted in 1993-1994, when the predecessor utility company, Silverleaf Resorts, Inc. filed for its certificate of convenience and necessity.
- Q. Do you agree with the Company's witness' assumptions of adjusted plant balances?

1

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

16

14

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- No. Staff has disallowed a considerable amount of contributed plant in its A. determination of the appropriate level of plant balances. For further discussion on this matter see the Direct Testimony of Staff witness Graham A. Vesely.
- Q. What is the overall depreciation expense rate and the depreciation reserve ratio based on Staff's level of plant?
- A. The overall depreciation expense rate is approximately 5% and the depreciation reserve ratio is approximately 45%.
- Q. Are these calculations based on aggregated levels of the five systems involved?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you agree with the Company's witness' recommended reduction of some depreciation rates?
- A. No. Staff's depreciation rates reflect the average service lives that water and sewer plant assets in the respective functions experience.
- Q. Does Staff have other concerns regarding the witness' characterization of depreciation expense rate and depreciation reserve ratio?
- Yes. First, as stated earlier Staff has disallowed a considerable amount of A. contributed plant. This contributed plant consists of "mains" and "services", both of which are relatively longer lived plant assets than, for example, pumping equipment. With the exclusion of a substantial amount of longer lived plant assets, the overall depreciation expense rate for the Company is higher. Second, Staff has identified instances where plant has been physically retired but not removed from the books. The result is that depreciation continues and that the accumulated depreciation reserve continues to grow and increases the depreciation reserve ratio.
 - Q. Has Staff addressed these concerns with the Company?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. What are Staff's recommendations for depreciation rates?
- A. Staff recommends the depreciation rates shown in Schedule 1, which are the same depreciation rates provided in Direct Testimony, except for the addition of Accounts: Water- 394, "Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment", and Sewer-393, "Stores". In addition, Staff recommends monitoring the level of depreciation reserve in future rate cases.
 - Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?
 - A. Yes it does.

ALGONQUIN WATER RESOURCES OF MISSOURI, LLC - (WATER) DEPRECIATION RATES

(WATER)

WR-2006-0425

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT	DEPRECIATION RATE %	AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE (YEARS)
314	Wells & Springs	2.0%	50
316	Supply Mains	2.0%	50
321	Structures & Improvements Electric Pumping Equipment High Service or Booster Pumping Equipment	2.5%	40
325		10.0%	10
325.2		6.7%	15
332	Water Treatment Equipment	2.9%	35
341	Structures & Improvements Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes Transmission & Distribution Mains Services Meters Hydrants	2.5%	40
342		2.5%	40
343		2.0%	50
345		2.5%	40
346.1		3.3%	30
348		2.0%	50
390	Structures & Improvements Office Furniture & Equipment Office Computer Equipment Tools, Shop, Garage Equipment Communication Equipment	2.5%	40
391		5.0%	20
391.1		14.3%	7
394		5.0%	20
397		6.7%	15

ALGONQUIN WATER RESOURCES OF MISSOURI, LLC - (SEWER) DEPRECIATION RATES

(SEWER)

WR-2006-0425 (SR-2006-0426)

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT	DEPRECIATION RATE %	AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE (YEARS)
351 352.1 352.2 353 354	Structures & Improvements Collection Sewers (Force) Collection Sewers (Gravity) Services Flow Measurement Devices	2.5% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 3.3%	40 50 50 50 50 30
362	Receiving Wells	4.0%	25
363	Electric Pumping Equipment	10.0%	10
372	Treatment & Disposal Facilities Plant Sewers Outfall Sewer Lines	5.0%	20
373		2.5%	40
374		2.0%	50
391	Office Furniture & Equipment Office Computer Equipment Stores Equipment Communication Equipment	5.0%	20
391.1		14.3%	7
393		4.0%	25
397		6.7%	15