Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Weather Normalization Witness: Michael L. Stahlman Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: GR-2021-0320 Date Testimony Prepared: January 24, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT GAS COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. GR-2021-0320

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2022

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT GAS COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. GR-2021-0320
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.
8	A. My name is Michael L. Stahlman, and my business address is Missouri Public
9	Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")
12	as a Regulatory Economist in the Tariff/Rate Design Department in the Industrial
13	Analysis Division.
14	Q. Please describe your educational and work background.
15	A. Please see Schedule MLS-d1.
16	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
17	A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to discuss the weather Staff
18	used to weather normalize billing determinates for The Empire District Gas Company
19	d/b/a Liberty ("Empire").
20	Q. Please summarize your testimony.
21	A. Because natural gas consumption can be closely tied to weather, Staff
22	developed "normal" weather in order to determine a normal level of natural gas consumption.
23	In the prior rate case, Case No. GR-2009-0434, Staff used two weather stations to normalize
24	billing determinates for weather: Kansas City International Airport ("KCI") and Conception.

Q.

Q.

1 However, the Conception weather station is less reliable in providing daily information. 2 Therefore, Staff recommends using the KCI weather station to weather normalize all of 3 Empire's service territories.

4

Why was normal weather developed for this rate cases?

5 A. Natural gas usage and revenue vary from year to year based on weather 6 conditions. The temperature pattern in the test year is the primary determinant for 7 weather-sensitive¹ customers' gas usage and Empire's revenue in the test year. Each 8 year's weather is unique, so rates for weather-sensitive customer classes must be based on 9 test year usage and revenue adjusted to a level commensurate with "normal" weather 10 conditions, rather than actual test year usages and revenue.

11

Where did Staff obtain its weather data?

12 A. Staff obtained weather data from the Midwest Regional Climate Center ("MRCC").² The KCI weather data was used for actual and normal weather variables. This 13 14 weather station was selected based on the availability and reliability of the weather data as well 15 as their approximate location to Empire's customer base. The weather data sets consist of actual daily maximum temperature ("T_{max}") and daily minimum temperature ("T_{min}") observations. 16 Staff used these daily temperatures to develop a set of normal mean daily temperature 17 18 ("MDT")³ values.Natural gas sales are predominantly influenced by "ambient air temperature,"⁴ so MDT and the derivative measure, heating degree days ("HDD"),⁵ are the

¹⁹

¹ Residential and the Small Commercial classes, excluding the Small Commercial Firm Service Large and transportation classes, are considered weather sensitive. This is further discussed by Staff in the Direct Testimony of Joel McNutt.

² https://mrcc.purdue.edu/.

³ By National Climatic Data Center convention, MDT is average of daily maximum temperature (Tmax) and daily minimum temperature (Tmin) e.g. MDT = (Tmax + Tmin)/2.

⁴ Ambient air temperature is the outside temperature of the surrounding air without taking into account the humidity or wind in the air.

⁵ Where MDT $< 65^{\circ}$ F, HDD = 65 -MDT; otherwise, HDD = 0.

Q.

measures of weather used in adjusting test year natural gas sales. HDDs were originally
developed as a weather measure that could be used to determine the relationship between
temperature and gas usage. HDDs are calculated as the difference between 65°F and when MDT
is below 65°F, and are equal to zero when MDT is above 65°F.

5

What is a climate normal?

A. According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA"),
a climate "normal" is defined as the arithmetic mean of a climatological element computed over
three consecutive decades.⁶ In developing climate normal temperatures, the NOAA focuses on
the monthly maximum and minimum temperature time series to produce the serially-complete
monthly temperature ("SCMT") data series.⁷

Staff utilized the SCMT published in July 2011 by the National Climatic Data Center ("NCDC") of NOAA. To Staff's knowledge, NOAA is the only entity that provides reasonably reliable weather data for 30-year historical period and test year period for the Kansas City region. For the purposes of normalizing the test year gas usage and revenues, Staff used the adjusted T_{max} and T_{min} daily temperature series for the 30-year period of January 1, 1990, through December 31, 2019, at KCI. The series are consistent with NOAA's SCMT during the most recent NOAA 30-year normal period ending 2010.

18

19

20

21

There may be circumstances under which inconsistencies and biases in the 30-year time series of daily temperature observations occur, (e.g. such as the relocation, replacement, or recalibration of the weather instruments). Changes in observation procedures or in an instrument's environment may also occur during the 30-year period. NOAA accounted for

⁶ Retrieved on October 17, 2013, <u>https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land-based-station-data/land-based-datasets/climate-normals.</u>

⁷ Retrieved on October 17, 2013, <u>http://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/normals/1981-2010/source-datasets/</u>.The SCMT, computed by the NOAA, includes adjustments to make the time series of daily temperatures homogeneous.

documented and undocumented anomalies in calculating its SCMT.⁸ The meteorological and statistical procedures used in the NOAA's homogenization for removing documented and undocumented anomalies from the T_{max} and T_{min} monthly temperature series is explained in a peer-reviewed publication.⁹

5 Subsequent to determining the homogenized monthly temperature time series described 6 above, NOAA also calculates monthly normal temperature variables based on a 30-year normal 7 period, e.g. maximum, minimum, average temperatures, and HDD values. These monthly 8 normals are not directly usable for Staff's purposes because the NOAA daily normal 9 temperatures and HDD values are derived by statistically "fitting" smooth curves through these monthly values.¹⁰ As a result, the NOAA daily normal HDD values reflect smooth transitions 10 11 between seasons and do not directly relate to the 30-year time series of MDT as used by Staff. 12 However, in order for Staff to develop adjustments to normal HDD for gas usage, Staff must 13 calculate a set of normal daily HDD values that reflect the actual daily and seasonal variability.

14

Q.

How did Staff calculate daily normal weather?

A. Staff used a ranking method to calculate normal weather estimates of daily normal temperature values, ranging from the temperature that is "normally" the hottest to the temperature that is "normally" the coldest, thus estimating "normal extremes." Staff ranked MDTs for each month of the 30-year history from hottest to coldest and then calculated the normal daily temperature values by averaging the ranked MDTs for each rank, irrespective of the calendar date. The ranking process results in the normal extreme being the average of the

⁸ Arguez, A., I. Durre, S. Applequist, R. S. Vose, M. F. Squires, X. Yin, R. R. Heim, Jr., and T. W. Owen, 2012: NOAA's 1981-2010 U.S. Climate Normals: An Overview. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 1687-1697.

⁹ Menne, M.J., and C.N. Williams, Jr., (2009) Homogenization of temperature series via pairwise comparisons. J. Climate, 22, 1700-1717.

¹⁰ A more detailed description is discussed in Won, S. J., Wang, X. H., & Warren, H. E. (2016). Climate normals and weather normalization for utility regulation. Energy Economics, 54, 405-416.

1 most extreme temperatures in each month of the 30-year normals period. The second most 2 extreme temperature is based on the average of the second most extreme day of each month, and 3 so forth. Staff's calculation of daily normal temperatures is not the same as NOAA's calculation 4 of smoothed daily normal temperatures because Staff calculated its normal daily temperatures 5 based on the rankings of the actual temperatures of the test year, and the test year temperatures 6 do not follow smooth patterns from day to day. More details of Staff's ranked average method 7 for normal weather are explained in a peer-reviewed publication.¹¹ Using these normal daily 8 temperatures, Staff calculated normal HDD for each day of the test year. This information was 9 made available to Staff witness Joel McNutt to calculate the weather normalization adjustments.

10

Q.

Did Staff look at other weather stations?

A. Yes. Based on this company's prior general rate case, Case No. GR-2009-0434,
Staff also reviewed the weather station at Conception, Missouri. Unlike KCI, Conception is not
designated by NOAA as a First-Order Weather Station. First-order weather stations are usually
located at regional or municipal airports, where professional observers continuously monitor
the weather instruments. The NOAA-certified instruments at KCI - record daily maximum and
minimum temperatures, with hourly observations of precipitation, temperature, dew point,
wind, and other weather elements.

18

Q. Did Staff use this weather station in its final weather normalization analysis?

A. No. Several factors influenced Staff's determination to not use the Conception
weather station for the weather normalization adjustment this case. First, NOAA has updated
its climate normals twice since the weather at Conception was last used. Staff has not yet

¹¹ Won, S. J., Wang, X. H., & Warren, H. E. (2016). Climate normals and weather normalization for utility regulation. Energy Economics, 54, 405-416.

incorporated new climate normal adjustments for the most current ten-year period, but Staff
 reviewed the adjustments made for the prior ten-year period and found the adjustments to be
 unreasonable.

The adjustments are not a product of NOAA, but were calculated by Staff by comparing the average monthly high and low temperatures NOAA publishes for a weather station to the monthly average high and low temperatures calculated from the unadjusted daily data provided through MRCC. The difference between the two averages was the proposed monthly adjustment for a weather station daily high and low temperatures. As seen in Figure 1 below, the resulting temperature adjustments were neither small nor consistent from month to month:

10

Figure1





11

Staff would expect that a change in instrumentation or location would result in a step adjustment that was relatively consistent over a certain time period. However, the changes above do not correlate to any know change in equipment or equipment location and change from one month to the next by several degrees. Because of the erratic nature of these adjustments, Staff disregarded the adjustments and developed a temperature series based on the raw data, which leads to Staff's second issue: missing data.

For the decade of December 15, 2011, to December 14, 2021,¹² the Conception 7 8 weather station had 84 days that missed a temperature reading; either a daily high, low, or both. 9 This includes a period in May-June 2018 of fourteen days in a row without complete 10 temperature records, and a thirteen-day period in September-October 2016, and a nine-day period in May-June 2019.¹³ In contrast, KCI, the First-Order Weather Station, had no missing 11 12 temperature records over the same period. For the dates that the weather is missing for the 13 Conception station, Staff computes a close approximation of the daily weather by using the average of three nearby weather stations.¹⁴ 14

Thirdly, the ultimate weather normalization adjustment for the billing determinates
based on the temperature series developed on the raw data for Conception, Missouri, was not
materially different than the weather normalization adjustment for the same service area based
on the weather from KCI.

19 20 And finally, while Staff is not proposing a Weather Normalization Adjustment Rider ("WNAR"), Staff is aware that Empire has proposed a WNAR in this case that will rely

¹² This unusual time period was chosen because it was a complete decade from the day this testimony was first drafted.

¹³ In addition, Staff has some concern that the temperature records for Conception may vary by date; there is some indication that the weather recorded on a given date shifts from the actual weather on that date to the actual weather from the prior day.

¹⁴ The three stations are in Maryville, MO, Albany, MO and Grant City, MO.

Q.

1 on daily temperature from Conception, Missouri. Liberty Utilities, as in the old Atmos 2 Company and not the Empire District Gas Company in this case, has a WNAR that relied on 3 weather from the weather station in Kirksville, Missouri. That weather station stopped 4 recording temperature data on February 6, 2020, and has only sporadically recorded temperature since that time. While Staff's weather normalization analysis indicates the 5 6 Conception weather station is sufficiently reliable to use for historical data, Staff would have 7 concerns on relying on the station for future data. The WNAR will be further addressed in 8 rebuttal testimony.

9

What do you conclude from your direct testimony?

A. Natural gas usage and revenue vary from year to year based on weather
conditions, which necessitates a weather normalization adjustment to determine the typical
usage. The KCI weather station provides reliable weather data and should be used for
Empire's weather normalization adjustments.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

15

14

A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empire District Gas) Company's d/b/a Liberty Request to File Tariffs) to Change its Rates for Natural Gas Service)

Case No. GR-2021-0320

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)

COMES NOW MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of January 2022.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

Michael Stahlman

Education

2009	M. S., Agricultural Economics, University of Missouri, Columbia.
2007	B.A., Economics, Summa Cum Laude, Westminster College, Fulton, MO.

Professional Experience

2010 -	Regulatory Economist, Missouri Public Service Commission
2007 - 2009	Graduate Research Assistant, University of Missouri
2008	Graduate Teaching Assistant, University of Missouri
2007	American Institute for Economic Research (AIER) Summer
	Fellowship Program
2006	Price Analysis Intern, Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute
	(FAPRI), Columbia, MO
2006	Legislative Intern for State Representative Munzlinger
2005 - 2006	Certified Tutor in Macroeconomics, Westminster College, Fulton, MO
1998 - 2004	Engineering Watch Supervisor, United States Navy

Expert Witness Testimony

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Aut Tariffs Increasing Rates for Natural Gas Service Provided to Custo Company's Missouri Service Area	GR-2010-0363 thority to File omers in the	
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of the Union Electric Company's (d/b/a Ameren Missouri) Gas Service Tariffs Removing Certain Provisions for Rebates from Its Missouri Energy Efficient Natural Gas Equipment and Building Shell Measure Rebate Program		
KCP&L Great Missouri Operations CompanyEO-2012-0009In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Notice of Intentto File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side ProgramsInvestment Mechanism		
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri EO-2012-0142 In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA		
Kansas City Power & Light CompanyEO-2012-0323In the Matter of the Resource Plan of Kansas City Power & Light Company		
KCP&L Great Missouri Operations Company EO-2012-0324 In the Matter of the Resource Plan of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company		
Kansas City Power & Light CompanyEO-2012-0135KCP&L Great Missouri Operations CompanyEO-2012-0136In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company [KCP&L Great Missouri Operations Company] for Authority to Extend the Transfer of Functional Control of Certain Transmission Assets to the Southwest Power Pool, Inc.		

Kansas City Power & Light Company, KCP&L Great Missouri EA-2013-0098 Operations Company, and Transource Missouri EO-2012-0367 In the Matter of the Application of Transource Missouri, LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Finance, Own, Operate, and Maintain the Iatan-Nashua and Sibley-Nebraska City Electric Transmission Projects Kansas City Power & Light Company EU-2014-0077 KCP&L Great Missouri Operations Company In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for the Issuance of an Accounting Authority Order relating to their Electrical Operations and for a Contingent Waiver of the Notice Requirement of 4 CSR 240-4.020(2) Kansas City Power & Light Company EO-2014-0095 In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority To Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism Veolia Energy Kansas City, Inc HR-2014-0066 In the Matter of Veolia Energy Kansas City, Inc for Authority to File Tariffs to **Increase Rates** Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC EA-2014-0207 In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing It to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood - Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri ER-2014-0258 In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service **Empire District Electric Company** ER-2014-0351 In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area Kansas City Power & Light Company ER-2014-0370 In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service Kansas City Power & Light Company EO-2014-0240 In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Filing for Approval of Demand-Side Programs and for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs **Investment Mechanism** KCP&L Great Missouri Operations Company EO-2014-0241 In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Filing for Approval of Demand-Side Programs and for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism

Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois In the Matter of the Application of Ameren Transmission Compan Other Relief or, in the Alternative, a Certificate of Public Conveni Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Install, Own, Operate, Main Otherwise Control and Manage a 345,000-volt Electric Transmissi Palmyra, Missouri to the Iowa Border and an Associated Substatic Kirksville, Missouri	EA-2015-0146 y of Illinois for ence and ttain and ion Line from on Near		
Empire District Electric Company In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company's Request f Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service	ER-2016-0023 for Authority to		
KCP&L Great Missouri Operations Company In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service	ER-2016-0156 Request for ce		
Kansas City Power & Light Company In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	ER-2016-0285 or Authority to		
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service	ER-2016-0179 s Tariff to		
Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC EA-2016-0358 In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV transmission line.			
Spire Missouri, Inc. GR-2017-0215 ar In the Matter of Spire Missouri, Inc.'s Request to Increase Its Re Service	nd GR-2017-0216 venues for Gas		
Liberty Utilities GR-2018-0013 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff Revisions Designed to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company			
Spire Missouri, Inc. GO-2019-0058 and In the Matter of Spire Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Spire's Request to Dec WNAR	d GO-2019-0059 crease [Increase]		
Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC Invenergy Transmission LLC Invenergy Investment Company LLC In the Matter of the Joint Application of Invenergy Transmission Investment Company LLC, Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC Express Holding LLC for an Order Approving the Acquisition b Transmission LLC of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC	EM-2019-0150 LLC, Invenergy and Grain Belt y Invenergy		

Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Increase its Revenues for Natural Gas Service	GR-2019-0077 i's Tariffs to	
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Decrease Its Revenues for Electric Service	ER-2019-0335 i's Tariffs to	
Empire District Electric Company In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company's Request for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area		
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri EA-2020-0371 In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Under 20 CSR 4240-3.105		
Spire Missouri, Inc. In the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s d/b/a Spire Request for Aut Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service Prov Company's Missouri Service Areas	GR-2021-0108 thority to ided in the	
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Adjust Its Revenues for Electric Service	ER-2021-0240 i's Tariffs to	
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Adjust Its Revenues for Natural Gas Service	GR-2021-0241 i's Tariffs to	

Selected Manuscripts and Posters

- Stahlman, Michael and Laura M.J. McCann. "Technology Characteristics, Choice Architecture and Farmer Knowledge: The Case of Phytase." Agriculture and Human Values (2012) 29: 371-379.
- Stahlman, Michael. "The Amorality of Signals." Awarded in top 50 authors for SEVEN Fund essay competition, "The Morality of Profit."
- Stahlman, Michael, Laura M.J. McCann, and Haluk Gedikoglou. "Adoption of Phytase by Livestock Farmers." Selected poster at the American Agricultural Economics Association Annual Meeting, Orlando, FL, July 27-29, 2008. Also presented at the USDA/CSREES Annual Meeting in St. Louis, MO in February 2009.
- McCann, Laura, Haluk Gedikoglu, Bob Broz, John Lory, Ray Massey, and Michael Stahlman. "Farm Size and Adoption of BMPs by AFOs." Selected poster at the 5th National Small Farm Conference in Springfield, IL in September 2009.

Case No. GR-2021-0320 Schedule MLS-d1 Page 4 of 4