

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power)	
& Light Company for Approval of the Accrual and)	
Funding of Wolf Creek Generating Station)	Case No. EO-2009-0072
Decommissioning Costs at Current Levels)	

UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Kansas City Power & Light Company (“KCP&L”), the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Staff”), and the Office of the Public Counsel (“Public Counsel”) (collectively, the “Parties”) hereby submit this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement (“Agreement”) to the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Commission”) in resolution of Case No. EO-2009-0072.

INTRODUCTION

The Legislature provided, in Section 393.292 RSMo 2000,¹ that the Commission may authorize changes to the rates and charges of an electrical corporation as a result of a change in the level or annual accrual of funding necessary for its nuclear power plant decommissioning trust fund. This statute creates a narrow exception to the general requirement that the Commission must consider “all relevant factors,” prior to changing any rate charged by a utility under its jurisdiction. See State ex. rel. Utility Consumers Council of Missouri, Inc. v. Public Serv. Comm’n., 585 S.W.2d 41 (Mo. banc 1979). Under Section 393.292, the Commission may limit its review in nuclear decommissioning trust fund cases to only those factors relevant to the funding level or accrual rate of the trust fund when deciding matters related to the rates and

¹ All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000, unless otherwise noted. Section 393.292 was enacted by the Missouri Legislature in 1989 and has not been amended.

charges associated with that fund. Further, Section 393.292 gives the Commission authority to adopt rules and regulations governing the procedures associated with these tariff changes as well as to ensure that the amounts contained in the trust funds will be neither “greater nor lesser than the amounts necessary to carry out the purposes of the trust.” In Case No. EX-90-110, the Commission adopted the original decommissioning rule, 4 CSR 240-20.070.

4 CSR 240-3.185 (3) states, in part: “On or before September 1, 1990 and every three (3) years after that, utilities with decommissioning trust funds shall perform and file with the commission cost studies detailing the utilities’ latest cost estimates for decommissioning their nuclear generating unit(s) along with the funding levels necessary to defray these decommissioning costs.”

KCP&L established an external nuclear decommissioning trust fund as a result of its ownership interest in the Wolf Creek Generating Station (“Wolf Creek”) and the Commission’s Report and Order in the rate case authorizing KCP&L to commence recovery of the costs of Wolf Creek. Kansas City Power & Light Co., Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224, 28 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 228 (1986). KCP&L owns 47% of Wolf Creek and approximately 56% of KCP&L’s 47% ownership share is allocated to KCP&L’s Missouri retail operations.

On August 30, 2005, KCP&L filed an Application (Case No. EO-2006-0094) with the Commission for approval of its then-current decommissioning cost estimate and continuation of the then-current authorized funding level for its nuclear decommissioning trust fund for Wolf Creek. On December 20, 2005, a Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement settling all issues pertaining to Case No. EO-2006-0094 was filed. Among other things, said Unanimous Stipulation And Agreement maintained the annual decommissioning expense accrual and trust fund payment at \$2,303,856 (Missouri jurisdictional amount). The Parties to that agreement

further agreed that KCP&L's authorized annual funding level would be addressed in the Company's Rate Filing #1, as contemplated pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Case No. EO-2005-0329 ("Regulatory Plan Agreement"). In Rate Filing #1 (Case No. ER-2006-0314), the Commission reduced KCP&L's authorized annual decommissioning expense accrual and trust fund payment to \$1,281,264 (Missouri jurisdictional amount).

THE 2008 COST STUDY

On August 29, 2008, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-3.185 (3), KCP&L filed its Application for Approval of the Accrual and Funding of Wolf Creek Decommissioning Costs at Current Levels (the "Application"). Attached to KCP&L's Application is the required cost study detailing the latest estimate for the cost to decommission Wolf Creek (the "2008 Study"). The 2008 Study was prepared for the Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation by TLG Services, Inc. ("TLG"), a consulting engineering firm based in Bridgewater, Connecticut.²

In the Application, KCP&L requested that the Commission: (i) find that the 2008 Study satisfies the requirements of 4 CSR 240-3.185 (3); (ii) approve the 2008 decommissioning cost estimate of \$593.5 million; (iii) approve the continuation of the annual accrual at the current level of \$1,281,264; and (iv) find that the Wolf Creek decommissioning costs are included in KCP&L's current cost of service and are properly reflected in current rates for ratemaking purposes. At page 3 of its Application, KCP&L states, in part, as follows:

KCP&L notes that pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Case No. EO-2005-0329, KCP&L will file a general rate case in 2009. The Stipulation and Agreement refers to the case as "Rate Filing #4 (2009 Rate

² Since 1982, TLG has provided engineering and field services for contaminated facilities including estimates of decommissioning costs for nuclear generating units. TLG also prepared the decommissioning cost estimate for Wolf Creek that was filed with and approved by the Commission in 2005.

Case).” KCPL proposes to address the level of KCPL’s annual Wolf Creek decommissioning cost accrual at that time in the context of the entirety of KCPL’s cost of service.

In the 2008 Study, TLG examined two decommissioning options: (a) DECON,³ and (b) SAFSTOR.⁴ Both alternatives are acceptable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”). For the purposes of the 2008 Study, the final shutdown date of Wolf Creek is projected to occur in 2045⁵.

The 2008 Study provides a detailed analysis based on the premise that the current contribution to the decommissioning trust should be changed only if it does not result in a final trust account balance that is just sufficient to cover the predicted decommissioning costs under a reasonable set of economic, financial, and investment assumptions. The calculations set forth in the 2008 Study were performed in a manner consistent with previous filings.

The 2008 Study confirms the adequacy of the current annual funding level of \$1,281,264, given the current prediction of decommissioning costs from the 2008 Study of \$593.5 million. Based on this analysis, KCP&L has concluded that its current funding level should result in a final decommissioning trust amount which is sufficient to cover the costs estimated in the 2008 Study under what KCP&L believes are a reasonable set of economic, financial, and investment

³ DECON assumes decontaminating and decommissioning immediately following conclusion of power operations in 2045. Work is anticipated to be completed by 2053. DECON consists of removal of fuel assemblies, source material, radioactive fission and corrosion products, and other radioactive materials immediately after cessation of power operations. Total estimated cost to decommission in 2008 Dollars is \$593,542,000.

⁴ SAFSTOR places the facility in protective storage for deferred decontamination to levels that permit release for unrestricted use. Delayed decontamination and dismantling activities are initiated once spent fuel and source material are removed, such that license termination is accomplished within the 60-year time period set by the NRC. This process is anticipated to be completed by 2106. Total estimated cost to decommission in 2008 Dollars is \$822,794,000.

⁵ If decommissioning financial assurance is provided by an external sinking fund, 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(ii) requires that “the total amount of funds would be sufficient to pay decommissioning costs at the time termination of operation is expected.” Because KCP&L does not contemplate shutting down Wolf Creek prior to the end of its license life, the shutdown date used in the 2008 Study is 2045, the year in which Wolf Creek’s NRC Operating license expires.

assumptions. Consequently, KCP&L does not seek any changes to its funding level, and asks the Commission to approve the current funding level amount. Because KCP&L is not proposing a change in the funding level, KCP&L has not filed new tariffs regarding its funding of decommissioning, is not requesting a hearing, and does not believe that a hearing is required respecting its decommissioning cost study filing.

Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in Case No. EO-2005-0329 (“Regulatory Plan Agreement”), KCP&L will likely file its next general rate case by October 1, 2009—Rate Filing #4 (2009 Rate Case). As set forth below, the Regulatory Plan Agreement includes specific provisions pertaining to KCP&L’s Wolf Creek decommissioning costs.

STIPULATIONS AND AGREEMENTS

The Parties to this case have reached certain understandings so that the Staff, KCP&L, and Public Counsel stipulate and agree as follows:

1. KCP&L’s Missouri retail jurisdictional operations annual decommissioning expense accrual and trust fund payment was initially set by the Commission at \$2,303,856, first in Case No. EO-91-84, Kansas City Power & Light Co., 1 Mo.P.S.C.3d 353 (1992), again in Case No. EO-94-80, Kansas City Power & Light Co., 3 Mo.P.S.C.3d 60 (1994), again in Case No. EO-97-84, Kansas City Power & Light Co., 7 Mo.P.S.C.3d 124 (1998), again in Case No. EO-2000-210, Kansas City Power & Light Co., 8 Mo.P.S.C.3d 516 (2000), again in Case No. EO-2003-0081, and again in Case No. EO-2006-0094. As part of Rate Filing #1 (Case No. ER-2006-0314), KCP&L’s Missouri retail jurisdictional authorized annual decommissioning expense accrual and trust fund payment was reduced to \$1,281,264.

2. On August 29, 2008, KCP&L filed its Application along with the 2008 Study. KCP&L, the Staff, and Public Counsel request that the Commission's Report and Order for this case recognize that KCP&L's Application and the 2008 Cost Study meet the requirements of 4 CSR 240-3.185 (3).

3. The 2008 Study estimates the decommissioning cost for the DECON alternative to be \$593,542,000 in 2008 dollars, which is 14.7% higher than the 2005 estimate of \$517,601,000, which represents approximately a 4.67% annualized escalation rate over the 3-year period.

4. KCP&L deems the current contribution of \$1,281,264 to be reasonable inasmuch as it results from a set of economic, financial, and investment assumptions, including inflation rates, which are themselves considered reasonable by KCP&L. Therefore, KCP&L believes that it is reasonable and prudent to continue the annual Missouri jurisdictional accruals at the current level of \$1,281,264. Consequently, KCP&L requests in its Application that the Commission approve the continuation of the annual accrual at the current level.

5. KCP&L shall continue its Missouri retail jurisdiction expense accruals and trust fund payments at current levels without any change in its Missouri retail jurisdictional rates, unless and until the Commission subsequently approves such a change.

6. Annual decommissioning costs in the amount of \$1,281,264 are, and should continue to be, included in KCP&L's cost of service and reflected in its current rates for ratemaking purposes. KCP&L, the Staff, and the Public Counsel request that this finding be specifically recognized in the Commission's Report and Order and note that this finding is required in order for the decommissioning fund to retain its qualified tax status.

7. The Parties agree that KCP&L shall continue to record and preserve Wolf Creek asset retirement obligation costs, as agreed to by the Staff, the Public Counsel, and KCP&L, and authorized by the Commission, in Case No. EU-2004-0294.

8. The quarterly reports required by 4 CSR 240-3.185 (1) and the annual reports required by 4 CSR 240-3.185 (2) are required to be filed with the Commission, and this has been accomplished by KCP&L in the past by filing them in the most recent case established for a tariff filing under 4 CSR 240-3.185 (4). The Parties agree it would promote administrative efficiency for all if KCP&L's quarterly and annual report filings were maintained at the Commission in a single file; therefore, the Parties propose the Commission order those filings be made in the Commission's Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS) under the EFIS category, "Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Report", commencing with the next filing due after the effective date of the Commission's Report and Order. Additionally, KCP&L shall file the quarterly Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Performance Report provided by the trustee, The Bank of New York, under the same category in EFIS. Further, with access to these documents available through EFIS, the Parties agree neither KCP&L nor the trustee of the decommissioning fund shall provide service copies of them to the Staff. Because the Staff receives from EFIS e-mail notice of such filings, those making the filings shall not be required to serve copies or notice of the filings on the Staff.

9. None of the Parties to this Agreement shall be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in any question of Commission authority, decommissioning methodology, ratemaking principle, valuation methodology, cost of service methodology or determination, depreciation principle or method, rate design methodology, cost allocation, cost recovery, or prudence that may underlie this Agreement or for which provision is made in this Agreement.

10. If the Commission does not unconditionally approve this Agreement without modification, and notwithstanding its provision that it shall become void thereon, neither this Agreement nor any matters associated with its consideration by the Commission shall be considered or argued to be a waiver of the rights that any Party has to a hearing on the issues presented by the Agreement, cross-examination or a decision in accordance with Section 536.080.1 RSMo or Art. V, Section 18 Mo. Const. The Parties shall retain all procedural and due process rights as fully as though this Agreement had not been presented for approval, and any testimony or exhibits that may have been offered or received in support of or in opposition to this Agreement shall thereupon become privileged as reflecting the substantive content of settlement discussions, and shall be stricken from and not be considered as part of the administrative or evidentiary record before the Commission for any further purpose whatsoever.

13. To assist the Commission in its review of this Agreement, the Parties also request that the Commission advise them of any additional information that the Commission may desire from the Parties related to the matters addressed in this Agreement, including any procedures for furnishing such information to the Commission.

14. If requested by the Commission, the Staff shall have the right to submit to the Commission a memorandum responsive to the Commission's request. Each Party of record shall be served with a copy of any memorandum and shall be entitled to submit to the Commission within five (5) days of receipt of the Staff's memorandum, a responsive memorandum which shall also be served on all Parties. The contents of any memorandum provided by any Party are its own and are not acquiesced in or otherwise adopted by the other signatories to this Agreement, whether or not the Commission approves and adopts this Agreement.

16. The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Agreement is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided that the Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other Parties with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from the Staff. The Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosures, except to the extent it refers to matters that are privileged or protected from disclosure pursuant to any Protective Order issued in this case.

17. Because this is an Agreement with the sole purpose of addressing the authority requested by the Application of KCP&L, except as specified herein, the Parties to the Agreement shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the terms of this Agreement: (i) in any future proceeding; (ii) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (iii) in this proceeding, should the Commission decide not to approve the Agreement or in any way condition its approval of the same, except as stated herein. Because this is an Agreement for the purpose of settling matters in this case, it shall not be cited as precedent or referred to in testimony as an assertion of the particular position of any Party in any subsequent or pending judicial or administrative proceeding, except that this shall not be construed to prohibit reference to its existence in future proceedings, including proceedings to enforce compliance with its terms.

18. The 2008 Study shall be received into evidence.

19. Pursuant to 393.290 RSMo, the Parties agree that the Commission may review and authorize changes to KCP&L's rates and charges as a result in a change in the annual accrual of funding for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Wolf Creek decommissioning trust

after a full hearing, including but not limited to any general rate increase case or excess earnings complaint case, and after considering all facts relevant to such accrual rate.

20. The provisions of this Agreement have resulted from numerous discussions/negotiations among the signatory Parties and are interdependent. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of this Agreement in total, it shall be void and no Party hereto shall be bound by, prejudiced, or in any way affected by any of the agreements or provisions hereof unless otherwise provided herein.

21. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Agreement in its entirety, the signatories waive their respective rights: (i) to cross-examine witnesses pursuant to Section 536.070(2) RSMo; (ii) to present oral argument and written briefs pursuant to Section 536.080.1 RSMo; and (iii) to judicial review pursuant to Section 386.510 RSMo. This waiver applies only to a Commission Report and Order issued in this proceeding, and does not apply to any matters raised in any subsequent Commission proceeding, or any matters not explicitly addressed by this Agreement.

WHEREFORE, the signatories hereto request that the Commission issue an order:

1. Approving this Unanimous Stipulation And Agreement;
2. Receiving into evidence this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement and the 2008 Study;
3. Finding that “KCP&L's 2008 Cost Study satisfies the requirements of 4 CSR 240-3.185 (3)”;
4. Finding, pursuant to this Unanimous Stipulation And Agreement, that “KCP&L’s retail jurisdiction annual decommissioning expense accruals and trust fund payments shall continue at the current level of \$1,281,264”;

5. Finding, in order for the decommissioning fund to retain its qualified tax status, that “the current decommissioning costs for Wolf Creek are included in KCP&L’s current cost of service and are reflected in its current rates for ratemaking purposes”;
6. Authorizing KCP&L to continue to record and preserve Wolf Creek asset retirement obligation costs, as agreed to by the Staff, the Public Counsel and KCP&L, and authorized by the Commission, in Case No. EU-2004-0294; and
7. Whereby the Commission will open a repository in its Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS), under the category “Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Reports”, and direct that KCP&L or its trustee file, on a prospective basis the quarterly reports required by 4 CSR 240-3.185 (1) and the annual reports required by 4 CSR 240-3.185 (2) in this repository; and KCP&L shall file the quarterly Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Performance Report provided by the trustee, The Bank of New York, in the same repository file in EFIS commencing with the next 4 CSR 240-3.185 filing due after the effective date of this order.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Curtis D. Blanc

Curtis D. Blanc

Missouri Bar No. 58052

Attorney for

Kansas City Power & Light Company

1201 Walnut – 20th Floor

Kansas City, MO 64106

Phone: (816) 556-2645

Facsimile: (816) 556-2787

curtis.blanc@kcpl.com

/s/ Jennifer Hernandez

Lera Shemwell

Missouri Bar No. 43792

Jennifer Hernandez

Missouri Bar No. 59814

Attorneys for the Staff of the

Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Phone: (573) 751-7489

Facsimile: (573) 751-9285

lera.shemwell@psc.mo.gov

jennifer.hernandez@psc.mo.gov

/s/ Lewis Mills

Lewis Mills

Missouri Bar No. 35275

Public Counsel

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Phone: (573) 751-5565

Facsimile: (573) 751-5562

lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been served on all parties of record by either hand delivery, electronic mail or First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid this 7th day of April 2009.

/s/ Jennifer Hernandez
Jennifer Hernandez