```
0001
 1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 3
 4
                       Prehearing Conference
 5
                         September 25, 2006
                      Jefferson City, Missouri
                              Volume 1
 6
 7
 8
     Jason Becker, Becker Development
     Company,
 9
                    Complainant,
10
                                        ) Case No. SC-2007-0044
             vs.
11
     AQUA MISSOURI, INC.,
12
                    Respondent.
13
14
     Jason Becker, Becker Development
     Company,
15
                    Complainant,
16
                                         )Case No. SC-2007-0045
             vs.
17
     Aqua Missouri, Inc.,
18
                    Respondent.
19
20
                   MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding
21
                 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
22
23
                         Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR
     REPORTED BY:
                         Midwest Litigation Services
24
                         3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
                         Jefferson City, MO 65109
25
                         (573) 636-7551
```

1	APPEARANCES
2 F 3	Or Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:  Mr. Kevin Thompson  Public Service Commission
4	200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360
5	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-3350
6 7 F 8	For Office of Public Counsel:  Mr. Lewis Mills  Office of the Public Counsel
9	P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street
10	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-3350
	or Jason Becker & Becker Development Company:  Mr. Keith Wenzel  Hendren & Andrae, LLC
14	221 Bolivar Street, Suite 300 P.O. Box 1069
15	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 636-8135
16 17 F 18	or Aqua Missouri, Incorporated: Mr. Marc Ellinger Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch
19	308 East High Street, Suite 300 Jefferson City, MO 65101
20	(573) 634-2500
21	
22	
24	
25	

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Welcome, everyone.
- 3 We're here for a prehearing in two cases, SC-2007-044 --
- 4 two zeros and then 44, and SC-2007-0045 both in concern --
- 5 complaints by Jason Becker and Becker Development Company
- 6 against Aqua, Missouri, Incorporated.
- 7 And we're going to begin today by taking entries
- 8 of appearance, beginning with Jason Becker and Becker
- 9 Development Company.
- 10 MR. WENZEL: Keith Wenzel from the firm of
- 11 Hendren & Andrae on behalf of Jason Becker and Becker
- 12 Development.
- 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. For Aqua Missouri?
- 14 MR. ELLINGER: Mark Ellinger with Blitz,
- 15 Bardgett & Duetsch, 308 East High, Suite 301, Jefferson
- 16 City, 65101, for Aqua Missouri.
- 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And for Staff?
- 18 MR. THOMPSON: Kevin Thompson, P.O. Box 390,
- 19 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102, for the Staff of the
- 20 Missouri Public Service Commission.
- 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for Office of Public
- 22 Counsel?
- 23 MR. MILLER: On behalf of the Office of Public
- 24 Counsel, Lewis Mills and Christina Baker. Our address is
- 25 P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. I believe that's
- 2 all the parties.
- 3 The first question I want to bring up today is
- 4 simply why are there two cases here? I mean, the two
- 5 complaints look to be very similar.
- 6 MR. WENZEL: They are. We had prepared the
- 7 first complaint back in February and had deferred filing
- 8 that. And then, subsequently, some other issues came up,
- 9 so we went ahead and filed the first complaint that we had
- 10 prepared in February along with the second complaint. But
- 11 you're right. There is duplication.
- 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Would it be appropriate to
- 13 consolidate the two, or can one be dismissed?
- 14 MR. WENZEL: I think it would be appropriate to
- 15 consolidate the cases.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I'll prepare an
- 17 order, then, to consolidate them, unless somebody has an
- 18 objection to that.
- 19 All right. Well, the main reason you're here
- 20 today is to discuss this matter and give me a proposed
- 21 procedural schedule as to how you want to -- the
- 22 Commission to move towards resolving all of this. So
- 23 mostly I'm just going to leave you to your own
- 24 discussions.
- I will ask you to give me a proposed procedural

schedule by one week from today, which would be October 2nd. If you can all agree upon a single schedule, that's great. Have one of the parties go ahead and file that, and the Commission will consider it. If you can't agree, you can go ahead and file separate motions with an explanation of why you're supporting the procedural schedule that you are supporting, and the Commission will make a decision on that. Is there anything anyone wants to bring up while we're on the -- on the record? MR. WENZEL: (Mr. Wenzel shakes head.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Well, with that, then, we'll adjourn the on-the-record portion of this proceeding, and I'll leave you to your discussions. I'll be upstairs if you need me. Thank you all.