

Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us

October 11, 2000

BRIAN D. KINKADE Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No.EE-2000-561

FILED³
OCT 1 1 2000

Missouri Public Service Commission

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce H. Bates

Assistant General Counsel

(573) 751-7434

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric)	
Company's Application for a Variance)	
from the Provisions of 4 CSR 240-)	Case No. EE-2000-561
13.015(R) and Company's Electric Tariff)	
V.I and Gas Tariff VIII.E.)	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and for its Recommendation states:

In the attached *Memorandum*, which is labeled *Appendix A*, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission issue an order granting Union Electric Company ("Company") the requested variance from Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-13.015(1)(R), subject to the Company's acceptance of the conditions set out by Staff in the *Memorandum*, and subject to the Staff's review and Commission approval of tariff sheets filed by the Company to initiate its Internet Billing Program and clarify the eligibility requirements of its Budget Billing Plan, all for the reasons stated therein.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Bruce H. Bates

Assistant General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 35442

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7434 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
bbates@mail.state.mo.us (E-Mail)

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel or parties of record as shown on the attached service list this 11th day of October 2000.

Bruce H Bates

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No. EE-2000-561, Union Electric Company

FROM:

James C. Watkins, Project Coordinator, Regulatory Economist III

Electric Department - Rates & Tariffs

roject Coordinator/Date

General Counsel's Office/Date

SUBJECT:

Staff Recommendation for Conditional Approval of a Variance Allowing

"Internet Billing" by Union Electric Company

DATE:

October 11, 2000

On March 13, 2000, Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE (UE or Company) filed an APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE OF UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY seeking a variance from Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-13.015(1)(R) and from the provisions of its electric and gas tariffs limiting participation in its Budget Billing program to customers with postcard billing.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-13.015(1)(R) provides: "Rendition of a bill means the mailing or hand delivery of a bill by a utility to a customer." Company seeks a variance to permit it to render an electronic image of a bill through the use of the Internet, instead of mailing or hand delivering the bill. The Company has partnered with the CheckFree Corporation to provide the electronic presentation of customer bills via the Internet.

The Missouri Public Service Commission Electric - Tariff/Rate Design Staff (Staff), in coordination with the Gas Department and the Consumer Services Department, has reviewed the Application For Variance Of Union Electric Company and the Company's responses to its Data Requests.

Staff finds that under certain conditions the Company's entirely voluntary Internet Billing Program would be of benefit to some of its customers without adversely affecting those customers who choose not to use this service. The conditions that the Staff believes are necessary in order to ensure that none of the Company's customers are adversely affected by this program are as follows:

- 1. Company guarantees that the Company's customers who do not use the Internet Billing Program will not be adversely affected by the program.
- 2. Neither Company nor CheckFree will require a customer to pay any fee for participation in this program.
- 3. A participating customer will not be required to pay its bill via the Internet using CheckFree's service. All payment options available to those customers not participating in the program will remain available to those who do.

MO PSC CASE NO. EE-20561 OFFICIAL CASE FILE MEMORANDUM October 11, 2000 Page 2 of 2

4. Participating customers will continue to receive postcard bills, unless the customer makes and affirmative election to no longer receive paper bills via the US mail. Any solicitations to customers offering to no longer send paper bills via the US mail must contain a warning that the due date of the customer's bill is the one printed on the bill, or electronic image of the bill. Failure or delay by CheckFree in sending email notification that a bill is available for viewing, or failure or delay by customer in reading the email notification does not alter the due date. Customers should be further advised that email notification may not normally arrive as quickly as the postcard bills under some circumstances.

Staff also finds that there is a need to more clearly describe which customers are eligible for this program and for Company's Budget Billing Plan. This would eliminate the Company's need for a variance from its own tariffs.

Staff recommends that the Commission issue an order granting the requested variance from Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-13.015(1)(R), subject to the Company's acceptance of the above conditions, and subject to the Staff's review and Commission approval of tariff sheets filed by the Company to initiate its Internet Billing Program and clarify the eligibility requirements of its Budget Billing Plan.

Service List for Case No. EE-2000-561 October 11, 2000

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Michael F. Barnes Ameren Services Company 1901 Chouteau Ave., P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1310) St. Louis, MO 63166-6149