## BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

R. MARK,

v.

Complainant ne, L.P.

SSAAL DAAIIG Case No. TC-2006-0354

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri Respondent

> COMPLAINT'S MAILING ADDRESS CONFIRMATION

Comes now Complainant and confirms that the address provided to the Commission is the correct mailing address.

The post office advises that "perhaps" a temporary employee was assigned to the mail route on the two occasions indicated, or "for some inexplicable and unknown reasons," two Commission letters were apparently returned. The post office suggests that if that should happen again, to simply remail the correspondence AGAIN! The envelope of return would also be appreciated to be included with any remail or the fax, if applicable in a single particular instance, in order to assist the post office to determine the reason for any inexplicable return.

If any single piece of mail is further improperly returned then *only in each such individual instance*, please fax the letter along with a copy of the envelope in which the mail was improperly returned. (The latter will enable the post office to further investigate) to the fax telephone number provided by the Complainant to the Commission under seal.

Respectfully,

Complainant

Copies to the Public Service Commission, General Counsel's Office, 573-751-9285; Lewis R. Mills, Jr., Office of Public Counsel, 573-751-5562;, and Attorneys for AT&T Missouri, Respondent 314-247-0014.

R

9029 Gravois View Ct. #C St. Louis, Missouri 63123