



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE
Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER
Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://www.psc.state.mo.us>

June 19, 2000

BRIAN D. KINKADE
Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

FILED²

JUN 19 2000

Missouri Public
Service Commission

RE: Case No. TO-2000-682

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

William K. Haas

William K. Haas
Deputy General Counsel
(573) 751-7510
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

WKH/lb
Enclosure
cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED²

JUN 19 2000

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of the Application of GTE)
Midwest Incorporated and GTE Arkansas)
Incorporated for Approval of)
Interconnection Agreement with 1-800-)
Reconex, Inc., Pursuant to Section 252 (E))
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Case No. TO-2000-682

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff states that the proposed Resale Agreement appears to meet the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) in that the Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
2. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Resale Agreement pursuant to the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Wm K Haas

William K. Haas
Deputy General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
email: whaas01@mail.state.mo.us

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 19th day of June 2000.

Wm K Haas

**Service List for
Case No. TO-2000-682
June 19, 2000**

Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

William Evans
1-800-Reconex, Inc.
2500 Industrial Avenue
Hubbard, OR 97032

Tracy D. Pagliara
Attorney at Law
GTE Service Corporation
601 Monroe Street, Suite 304
Jefferson City, MO 65101

M E M O R A N D U M

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File
Case No. **TO-2000-682**
Parties: GTE Midwest Incorporated and
GTE Arkansas Incorporated , and
1-800-RECONEX, Inc.

From: A. P. Kuss, Telecommunications Department *APK*
Bin Voigt 06/16/00 *Wm K Haas 6/16/00*
Utility Operations Division/Date General Counsel Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Resale Agreement

Date: June 16, 2000

Date Filed: April 26, 2000

Staff Deadline: July 05, 2000

R E C E I V E D
JUN 16 2000
SKR 1:17
COMMISSION COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one)

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? ☒ Yes ☐ No See Notes below.

| From | Initials | Date | Revised |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Mr. Kuss | APK | 06/19/00 | |
| Wm. Voigt | | | |
| Mr. VanEschen | | | |
| Mr. Henderson | | | |
| Legal | | | |

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed (Intervention Deadline 05-23-2000).
- ☒ Terms and rates in Agreement are similar to those contained in approved Interconnection Agreement, e.g., TO-2000-334 Delta Phones, Inc. and GTE Midwest Incorporated and GTE Arkansas Incorporated
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties

Staff recommends that the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

Attachment Note:

1. The pages of the Resale Agreement are misnumbered; Page IV-3 is included twice, and the second copy has been numbered seriatim in the lower right-hand corner as 000034. There should be a total of only 53 pages, rather than 54.