Exhibit No.

Issue: Regulatory Amortization Witness: Robert W. Sager

Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District Electric Case No. ER-2010-0130

Date Testimony Prepared: April 2010

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Robert W. Sager

April 2010

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ROBERT W. SAGER THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2010-0130

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. Robert W. Sager, 602 South Joplin Avenue, Joplin, Missouri 64801.
- 3 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME ROBERT W. SAGER THAT PRESENTED DIRECT
- 4 TESTIMONY PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS CASE?
- 5 A. Yes I am.
- 6 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. My rebuttal testimony will respond to the methodology used by the Staff of the
- 8 Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") to calculate the Regulatory Plan
- 9 Amortization ("RPA") in this case.
- 10 O. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE REGULATORY PLAN
- 11 AMORTIZATION?
- 12 A. The purpose of the RPA provisions agreed to in Case No. EO-2005-0263 is to
- determine whether rate relief calculated for The Empire District Electric Company
- 14 ("Empire" or "Company") under traditional methods must be supplemented to
- enable Empire to maintain its investment grade rating, although the amortization and
- its effect on the Company's financial ratios by itself does not guarantee that
- 17 Empire's ratings will be assigned an investment grade.

1 Q. IS THE STAFF'S CALCULATION CONSISTENT WITH T	1	Q. IS	THE	STAFF'S	CALCULATION	CONSISTENT	WITH	TH
---	---	-------	-----	---------	-------------	------------	------	----

- 2 CALCULATION USED IN EMPIRE'S PREVIOUS ELECTRIC RATE
- 3 CASES?
- 4 A. It appears that the Staff has utilized a methodology that is generally consistent with
- 5 the RPA calculation used in the previous Empire electric rate cases, such as Case
- No. ER-2008-0093, although Empire suggests certain modifications. In addition,
- 7 Empire expects that the final RPA numbers included in the pending case will be
- 8 updated nearer the conclusion of the case.
- 9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MODIFICATIONS TO THE RPA
- 10 CALCULATION USED BY THE STAFF THAT YOU BELIEVE SHOULD
- 11 BE MADE FOR THIS CASE.
- 12 A. Based on recent discussions with our Standard & Poors ("S&P") analyst, I propose
- that the calculations be modified for purposes of imputing debt and depreciation
- related to our Purchased Power Agreements ("PPA"). These new calculations have
- been submitted to Staff in response to Data Request 204. The calculations are
- attached hereto as Rebuttal Schedule RWS-1.
- 17 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR THE MODIFICATIONS.
- 18 A. Empire's PPAs for the Elk River and Meridian Way windfarms provide for energy
- charges only and do not contain a capacity charge. As a result, Empire's S&P analyst
- 20 indicated, during a phone conversation on March 22, 2010, that S&P would typically
- reduce the expected cash payments under each agreement by 50% is an attempt to
- 22 estimate a capacity charge for purposes of their ratio calculations. The "proxy
- capacity charge" would then run through the remaining calculations as has been

1		done previously. The remaining calculation requires applying a net present value							
2		calculation and a risk factor in order to determine the imputed debt for purposes of							
3		he ratio. The 50% reduction, which is intended to estimate the capacity charge, was							
4		not used in previous RPA calculations.							
5	Q.	ARE YOU PROPOSING FURTHER CHANGES TO THE STAFF'S RPA							
6		CALCULATION?							
7	A.	Yes. Additional communications I had with our S&P analyst revealed the risk factor							
8		our S&P analyst intends to use for purposes of imputing debt on all PPAs will be							
9		50% going forward. Previously, Empire had estimated 30% for purposes of imputing							
10		lebt on all PPAs, and the original information Empire submitted under a data request							
11		to Staff in this case utilized 30% as well. The 50% risk factor should also be used in							
12		determining Empire's imputed depreciation for purposes of the ratio calculations.							
13	Q.	DID S&P INDICATE WHY IT PREFERED TO USE 50%?							
14	A.	Yes. Our S&P analyst indicated that it is normal for S&P to use a 50% risk factor on							
15		PPAs when capacity charges are not included in fuel adjustment clause mechanisms.							
16		Even though Empire's capacity charges are presently included in base rates, our S&P							
17		analyst indicated the 50% risk factor was automatic based on that set of							
18		circumstances.							
19	Q.	DOES EMPIRE'S REGULATORY PLAN REQUIRE USING S&P							
20		METHODS FOR CALCULATING THE RPA RATIOS?							
21	A.	No, the Regulatory Plan does not require using S&P methods, in part because the							
22		parties do not have access to the actual models used by S&P. However, I believe it is							

23

quite clear the Regulatory Plan intended to mirror the S&P ratios as best as they can

ROBERT W. SAGER REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

- be determined. It would be inappropriate to omit known metrics used by S&P when
- determining the level of Regulatory Amortization, because one of the main purposes
- of the Regulatory Plan is to enable Empire to maintain certain financial metrics
- 4 utilized in the rating process.
- 5 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT W. SAGER

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss	
COUNTY OF JASPER)	
On the 31 day of March, 2010, before me appeared Robert W. Sage personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that he is the District Services of The Empire District Electric Company and acknowledges	irector of s that he
has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements the true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.	erein are
Mobriful Sage	
Robert W. Sager	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31 day of March, 2010.	
Viele L. Kelemer . H. Notary Public	Man
My commission expires: 10-30-10. VICKI L. KRAMER-GIBS Notary Public - Notary STATE OF MISSOUF Jasper County - Comm#064 My Commission Expires Oct. 3	Seal RI 82169

PURCHASED POWER DEBT EQUIVALENT - PLUM POINT Starting August 1, 2010

Depreciation on @ 50%	696,700 19 3,507,380 87 3,840,655 87 4,198,489 45 4,582,588 81 2,043,091	
Depreciation	1,393,401 7,245,419 7,933,887 8,673,087 9,466,545 4,086,181	
6.80% Interest Exp	2,381,599 1,920,281 1,415,127 862,908 260,169	
50% Imputed Debt by Year		Interest exp on the 50% 1,190,800 1,075,470 83,569 569,509 280,769
50% 50% lmputed Debt by Year by Year		50% Imputed Debt Interest exp by Year on the 50% 0 0 17,511,760 1,190,800 15,815,735 1,075,470 1,1262,527 833,855 8,375,126 569,500 4,128,958 280,769
6.80% Discount NPV	35,023,521 28,239,420 20,810,687 12,689,819 3,826,013	6.80% Discount NPV 35,023,521 31,631,470 24,525,053 16,750,255 8,257,916
Annual \$	0 0 0 0 0 3,775,000 9,165,700 9,349,014 9,535,994 9,535,994	
Jun-Dec 7 Mons Monthly \$	3,775,000 5,390,700 5,498,514 5,608,484 5,720,654	
Jan-May 5 Mons Monthly \$	3,775,000 3,850,500 3,927,510 4,006,060	
Jun-Dec 7 Mons KW Month	15.10 15.40 15.71 16.02 16.37	
Dec Jan-May Jun-Dec ons 5 Mons 7 Mons MW KW Month KW Month	- 15.10 15.74 15.71 16.32	<u>8</u>
Jun-Dec 7 Mons MW P	20 20 20 0	debt for S
Jan-May 5 Mons MW	ରି ର ର ର ର	Total NPV and Imputed debt for S&P 2006 2007 2008 2010 2011 2011 2013 2013 2013
Year	2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2010 2011 2012 2013 2013	Total NPV a 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2013 2013

PURCHASED POWER DEBT EQUIVALENT ELK RIVER WIND FARM

		50%	6.8%	50%			
		Implied	Discount	Imputed Debt	6.80%		Depreciation
Year	Annual \$	capacity charge	NPV	by Year	Interest exp	Depreciation	@ 50%
2003	0						
2004	0						
2005	0						
2006	13,769,078	6,884,539	85,474,483				
2007	13,769,078	6,884,539	84,402,209		5,739,350	1,145,189	554,366
2008	14,996,025	7,498,013	82,643,547		5,619,761	1,878,251	909,228
2009	14,996,025	7,498,013	80,765,295		5,492,040	2,005,972	971,056
2010	14,996,025	7,498,013	78,759,323		5,355,634	2,142,379	1,037,088
2011	15,912,146	7,956,073	76,158,884		5,178,804	2,777,269	1,344,427
2012	15,912,146	7,956,073	73,381,615		4,989,950	2,966,123	1,435,848
2013	15,912,146	7,956,073	70,415,492		4,788,253	3,167,819	1,533,486
2014	16,888,251	8,444,125	66,759,620		4,539,654	3,904,471	1,890,086
2015	16,888,251	8,444,125	62,855,149		4,274,150	4,169,975	2,018,612
2016	16,888,251	8,444,125	58,685,174		3,990,592	4,453,534	2,155,877
2017	17,400,842	8,700,421	53,975,345		3,670,323	5,030,098	2,434,982
2018	17,400,842	8,700,421	48,945,247		3,328,277	5,372,144	2,600,560
2019	17,400,842	8,700,421	43,573,103		2,962,971	5,737,450	2,777,399
2020	17,924,340	8,962,170	37,573,904		2,555,025	6,407,144	3,101,586
2021	17,924,340	8,962,170	31,166,760		2,119,340	6,842,830	3,312,494
2022	17,924,340	8,962,170	24,323,929		1,654,027	7,308,143	3,537,743
2023	18,469,650	9,234,825	16,743,132		1,138,533	8,096,292	3,919,272
2024	18,469,650	9,234,825	8,646,840		587,985	8,646,840	4,185,783
2025	18,469,650	9,234,825			0	9,234,825	4,470,416
			0.00/	=00/			•
			6.8%	50%			l
77 - 1 - 1 A 100 4		4-1-1 G - 00D	Discount	Imputed Debt			Interest exp
	and Imputed	debt for S&P	NPV	by Year			on the 50%
2006			42,737,241	21,368,621			0.007.004
2007			84,938,346	42,469,173			2,887,904
2008			83,522,878	41,761,439			2,839,778
2009			81,704,421	40,852,211			2,777,950
2010			79,762,309	39,881,155			2,711,919 2,633,610
2011			77,459,103	38,729,552 37,385,125			2,542,188
2012			74,770,250	35,949,277			2,444,551
2013			71,898,554 68,587,556	34,293,778			2,331,977
2014			64,807,385	32,403,692			2,203,451
2015			60,770,161	30,385,081			2,066,185
2016			56,330,259	28,165,130			1,915,229
2017			51,460,296	25,730,148			1,749,650
2018			46,259,175	23,730,148			1,572,812
2019 2020			40,573,503	20,286,752			1,379,499
2020			34,370,332	17,185,166			1,168,591
2021			27,745,344	13,872,672			943,342
2022			20,533,530	10,266,765		-	698,140
2023			12,694,986	6,347,493			431,630
2024			4,323,420	2,161,710			146,996
2020			.,020,120	,			,

PURCHASED POWER DEBT EQUIVALENT MERIDIAN WAY WIND FARM (2009)

		50% Implied	6.8% Discount	50% Imputed Debt	6.80%		Depreciation
Year	Annual \$	capacity charge	NPV	by Year		Depreciation	
2003	0	<u> </u>					
2004	0						
2005	0						
2006	0						
2007	0		76,032,583				
2008	0		76,032,583				
2009	13,918,414	6,959,207	74,243,591		5,048,564	1,910,643	955,321
2010	13,918,414	6,959,207	72,332,949		4,918,641	2,040,566	987,802
2011	13,918,414	6,959,207	70,292,382		4,779,882	2,179,325	1,054,973
2012	13,918,414	6,959,207	68,113,058 65,785,539		4,631,688 4,473,417	2,327,519 2,485,790	1,126,711 1,203,327
2013 2014	13,918,414 13,918,414	6,959,207 6,959,207	63,299,749		4,304,383	2,654,824	1,285,154
2014	13,918,414	6,959,207	60,644,925		4,123,855	2,835,352	1,372,544
2016	13,918,414	6,959,207	57,809,573		3,931,051	3,028,156	1,465,877
2017	13,918,414	6,959,207	54,781,417		3,725,136	3,234,070	1,565,557
2018	13,918,414	6,959,207	51,547,347		3,505,220	3,453,987	1,672,014
2019	14,542,958	7,271,479	47,781,087		3,249,114	4,022,365	1,947,156
2020	14,542,958	7,271,479	43,758,722		2,975,593	4,295,886	2,079,563
2021	14,542,958	7,271,479	39,462,836		2,683,473	4,588,006	2,220,973
2022	14,542,958	7,271,479	34,874,830		2,371,488	4,899,990	2,371,999
2023	14,542,958	7,271, 4 79	29,974,840		2,038,289	5,233,190	2,533,295
2024	14,542,958	7,271,479	24,741,650		1,682,432	5,589,047	2,705,559
2025	14,542,958	7,271,479	19,152,603		1,302,377	5,969,102	2,889,537
2026	14,542,958	7,271,479	13,183,502		896,478	6,375,001	3,086,026
2027	14,542,958	7,271,479	6,808,501		462,978	6,808,501	3,295,875
2028	14,542,958	7,271,479			0	7,271,479	3,519,995
			6.8%	50%			
			Discount	Imputed Debt			Interest exp
Total NPV	and Imputed	debt for S&P	NPV	by Year			on the 50%
2006				0			
2007			76,032,583	38,016,291			2,585,108
2008			76,032,583	38,016,291			2,585,108
2009			74,243,591	37,121,796			2,524,282
2010			73,288,270 71,312,666	36,644,135 35,656,333			2,491,801 2,424,631
2011 2012			69,202,720	34,601,360			2,352,892
2012			66,949,298	33,474,649			2,276,276
2013			64,542,644	32,271,322			2,194,450
2015			61,972,337	30,986,168			2,107,059
2016			59,227,249	29,613,624			2,013,726
2017			56,295,495	28,147,747			1,914,047
2018			53,164,382	26,582,191			1,807,589
2019			49,664,217	24,832,108			1,688,583
2020			45,769,905	22,884,952			1,556,177
2021			41,610,779	20,805,390			1,414,766
2022			37,168,833	18,584,417			1,263,740
2023			32,424,835	16,212,418			1,102,444
2024			27,358,245	13,679,123			930,180
2025			21,947,127	10,973,563			746,202
2026			16,168,053	8,084,026 4,998,001			549,714 339,864
2027 2028			9,996,001 3,404,250	4,998,001			339,004 115,745

3,404,250

1,702,125

115,745

Notes: \$39 first 10 years, \$40.75 years 10-20

2028

EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANYPurchased Power Debt Equivalent

	NPV	IMPUTED DEBT 50%	Depreciation	Depreciation @ 50%
2008	187,543,595	93,771,797	16,638,473	8,054,392
2009	169,645,820	84,822,910	19,680,532	9,557,412
2010	191,232,948	95,616,474	12,323,645	5,987,839
2011	180,403,239	90,201,620	12,202,013	5,906,780
2012	168,498,023	84,249,011	13,227,529	6,403,214
2013	155,598,105	77,799,052	14,326,696	6,935,301
2014	141,388,116	70,694,058	16,025,840	7,757,827