Exhibit No.:

Issues: Depreciation

Cost of Removal

Witness: Rosella L. Schad, PE

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Supplemental Direct

Testimony

*Case No.: GR-99-315* 

Date Testimony Prepared: August 20, 2004

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

## SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE

CASE NO. GR-99-315

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2004

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Tariffs to Revise Natural Gas Schedules.		) )	Case No. GR-99-315				
AFFIDAVIT OF ROSELLA L. SCHAD, P E							
STATE OF MISSOURI	) ) ss. )						
Rosella L. Schad, P E, being of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following supplemental direct testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 3 pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following supplemental direct testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.							
		Rosella L. Sch	L. Schod, P.E. ad, P.E				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $20^{1/2}$ day of August 2004.							
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri County of Cole My Commission Exp. 07/01/2008		Notary	yellankin				

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2	SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF			
3	ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE			
4	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY			
5	CASE NO. GR-99-315			
6	Depreciation2			
7	Cost of Removal			

8

# SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROSELLA L. SCHAD, PE LACLEDE GAS COMPANY CASE NO. GR-99-315 Q. Please state your name and business address. My name is Rosella L. Schad, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

- A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (PSC or Commission) as an Engineer in the Engineering and Management Services Department.
  - Q. Please describe your educational training and professional background.
- A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree (1978) in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Missouri-Columbia. I received a Masters of Public Administration degree with an emphasis in Public Management in 2004 from the University of Missouri-Columbia. I am a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Missouri. I am a member of the National Society of Professional Engineers and the Society of Depreciation Professionals. I was employed by Union Electric (now AmerenUE) as an Engineer Intern during the summer of 1977. I was employed as a Mechanical Engineer by Union Electric in its Nuclear Construction Department from 1978 to 1980. I have been with the Missouri Public Service Commission's Staff since 1999. In my current position I have completed training in depreciation concepts, attended numerous industry seminars for electric, natural gas, telecommunications, water, and wastewater and made on-site tours of many of the electric, natural gas, telecommunications, water, and wastewater utilities operating in the State of Missouri.

Q. Please describe Staff's position in GR-99-315?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. The depreciation rates established in GR-99-315 were based on Laclede's cost of providing service to its customers. The issue that remains in this case is the appropriate cost to remove retired property, one that is known and measurable to a sufficient degree to be included in the rates charged to Laclede's customers. Staff's position is that cost of removal dollars included in rates should be reasonably certain to be expended to remove retired plant.

Supplemental Direct Testin Rosella L. Schad	nony			
Q Is this the f	irst time that Staff has proposed using a current level of cost of			
removal?				
A. No. In a M	lissouri Public Service Company ("MPS", now Aquila) Case No.			
ER-90-101, Staff's position	n for determining depreciation expense was that the magnitude of			
the accrual for net salvage (represented as either negative net salvage or positive cost of				
removal) be reduced to ma	atch the experience of the past and that a factor for terminal net			
salvage (again, represented as either negative net salvage or positive cost of removal) be				

Q. Does that conclude your Supplemental Direct Testimony?

excluded. The Commission used Staff's approach in deciding the case.

A. Yes.

#### **CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION**

#### ROSELLA L. SCHAD

COMPANY	CASE NO./ FILING	ISSUES
	TIEM	
AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric) AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P (Electric and Steam)	ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024 (Consolidated) Surrebuttal	Production Plant Retirement Dates; Accumulated Depreciation; Cost of Removal and Depreciation
AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P	GR-2004-0072 Rebuttal	Depreciation; Accumulated Depreciation; Cost of Removal and Production Plant Retirement Dates
AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric) AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P (Electric and Steam)	ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024 (Consolidated) Rebuttal	Production Plant Retirement Dates; Accumulated Depreciation Reserve Balances; Cost of Removal and Depreciation
AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P	GR-2004-0072 Direct	Depreciation and Accumulated Depreciation Reserve
AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric) AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P (Electric and Steam)	ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024 (Consolidated) Direct	Depreciation and Accumulated Depreciation Reserve
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356 Rebuttal	Decommissioning
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356 Direct	Depreciation
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2002-1 Surrebuttal	Depreciation; Steam Production Plant Retirement Dates; Decommissioning Costs; Callaway Interim Additions
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2001-629 Direct	Depreciation
Ozark Telephone Company	TC-2001-402 Direct	Depreciation Rates
Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company	TR-2001-344 Direct, Surrebuttal	Depreciation Rates
Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company	TT-2001-328 Rebuttal	Depreciation Rates
KLM Telephone Company	TT-2001-120 Rebuttal	Depreciation Rates
Holway Telephone Company	TT-2001-119	Depreciation Rates

COMPANY	CASE NO./	ISSUES
	FILING	
	Rebuttal	
Peace Valley Telephone Company	TT-2001-118	Depreciation Rates
	Rebuttal	
Iamo Telephone Company	TT-2001-116	Depreciation Rates
	Rebuttal	
Osage Water Company	WR-2000-557	Depreciation
	Direct	
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556	Depreciation
	Direct	