

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In Re: The Master Interconnection	)	
Agreement Between Sprint Missouri, Inc.	)	
and New Edge Networks Pursuant to	)	
Sections 251 and 252 of the	)	Case No. TK-2005-0446
Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between Sprint Missouri, Inc. and New Edge Networks, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks.

2. The Commission's authority to approve or reject this Interconnection Agreement is pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

3. The Staff concludes that the Interconnection Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and the Agreement is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. The Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends approval of the Interconnection Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE  
General Counsel

**/s/ Marc D. Poston**

---

Marc D. Poston  
Senior Counsel  
Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)  
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)  
[marc.poston@psc.mo.gov](mailto:marc.poston@psc.mo.gov)

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2005.

**/s/ Marc D. Poston**

**To:** Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No.: **TK-2005-0446**

**From:** Arthur P. Kuss  
Telecommunications Department

**Date:** June 21, 2005

William Voight 06/21/05  
Utility Operations Division/Date

Marc Poston 6/22/05  
General Counsel's Office/Date

**Subject:** Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

**Date Filed:** May 26, 2005

**Staff Deadline:** June 26, 2005

Party: **Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint** (Sprint)

Type of Certification: ☐ None  
☐ Basic Local  
☐ Local (Restricted to Private Line)  
☒ Local (No Restrictions)  
☐ Interexchange

Party: **New Edge Network, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks** (New Edge)

Type of Certification: ☐ None  
☐ Basic Local  
☒ Local (Restricted to Private Line)  
☐ Local (No Restrictions)  
☒ Interexchange

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

- 1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
- 2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

**Interconnection Agreement Review Items**

- |                                     |   |              |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No applications to intervene have been filed.   | Intervention | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">June 16, 2005</div> |
|                                     | The Commission has made New Edge a Party to this Case.  | Deadline:    |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The Agreement has been signed by both Parties.  |              |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement. |              |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.  |              |   |

**Annual report & Assessment Information**

- |                                     |  |                          |                                     |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The Parties are not delinquent in filing annual reports or paying the PSC assessments.   |                          |                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency. |                          |                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | No annual report.  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed: |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | There is an attachment to this Appendix indicating additional recommendations or special considerations.   |                          |                                     |