

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of ALLTEL )  
Communications, Inc., for Approval of its )  
Interconnection and/or Resale Agreement )  
under Sections 251 and 252 of the )  
Telecommunications Act of 1996 with )  
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC )  
Missouri, Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252. )

Case No. TK-2005-0458

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff states that the proposed resale agreement (Agreement) between ALLTEL Communications, Inc., and Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri meets the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. §252 (e)) in that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and is not against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

WHEREFORE, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Agreement pursuant to the Act and direct the parties to submit any modifications or amendments.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE  
General Counsel

**/s/ William K. Haas**

William K. Haas  
Deputy General Counsel  
Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)  
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)  
[william.haas@psc.mo.gov](mailto:william.haas@psc.mo.gov)

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2005.

**/s/ William K. Haas**

## MEMORANDUM

**To:** Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File  
Case No. **TK-2005-0458**

Party: ALLTEL Communications, Inc.

Type of Certification:

- ☐ None
- ☒ Basic Local
- ☐ Local (restricted to private line)
- ☐ Local (no restrictions)
- ☐ Interexchange

Party: Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri

- ☐ None
- ☒ Basic Local
- ☐ Local (restricted to private line)
- ☐ Local (no restrictions)
- ☐ Interexchange

**From:** Sherri Kohly, Telecommunications Department

William L. Voight 06/29/05  
Utility Operations Division/Date

William K. Haas 06/29/05  
General Counsel Office/Date

**Subject:** Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

**Date:** June 29, 2005

**Date Filed:** June 1, 2005

**Staff Deadline:** July 3, 2005

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

- ☐ Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.
- ☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

### **Interconnection Agreement Review Items**

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed.
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties.
- ☒ The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC assessment.
- ☐ The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.
- (☐ No annual report ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:     )

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations: ☐ Yes ☒ No