## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Interconnection	)	
Agreement between Southwestern Bell	)	
Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri and	)	
Sprint Communications Company, L.P.,	)	Case No. TK-2006-0044
Arbitrated as a Successor Interconnection	)	
Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement	)	
("M2A").	)	

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

**COMES NOW** the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and for its recommendation respectfully states:

- 1. In the Commission's Order of July 14, 2005 in Case No. TO-2005-0336, the Commission directed the parties to the case (a compulsory arbitration of successor interconnection agreements to the Missouri 271 Agreement) to file their compliant interconnection agreements with the Commission by August 3, 2005. On that date, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri and Sprint Communications Company, L.P. did so in this case.
- 2. Under the provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission may only reject "an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration under subsection (b) if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section." 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2). The agreement was adopted by arbitration under 47 U.S.C 252(b) and thus this standard applies to the Commission's review.
- 3. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Agreement.

4. Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it conforms to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336, and further conforms to the standards set forth in 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2).

5. Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

WHEREFORE, because the terms of this agreement conform to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336, and further conforms to 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2), the Staff recommends the Commission grant approval of the Agreement. The Staff further recommends that the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement; and also direct the parties to the agreement to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

/s/ Marc D. Poston

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
marc.poston@psc.mo.gov

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 4<sup>th</sup> day of August 2005.

/s/ Marc D. Poston

## MEMORANDUM

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. TK-2006-0044		
	Party: SBC Missouri, Inc.		
	Type of Certification:		
	None		
	Basic Local		
	Local (restricted to p	private line)	
	Local (no restriction	s)	
	Interexchange		
	Party: Sprint Communication	ons Company, L.P.,	
	Type of Certification		
	None None		
	Basic Local		
	Local (restricted to p		
	Local (no restriction Interexchange	5)	
From: Mick	Johnson, Telecommunication	ns Department	
Natell	e Dietrich 8/4/05	Marc Poston 8/4/05	
Utility	Operations Division/Date	General Counsel Office/Date	
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for A	Approval of Interconnection Agreement	
Date:	August 4, 2005		
Date Filed:	August 4, 2005	Commission Deadline: August 10, 2005	
	munications Department Stane submitted (may check more	ff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted e than one):	
	Resale Agreement		
$\boxtimes$	Facilities-based Interconnec	tion Agreement	
	Wireless Interconnection Ag	greement	

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it conforms to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336 and the Act. Under the provisions of the 1996 Act, the Commission may only reject "an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration under subsection (b) if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section." 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2). Staff recommends approval of the agreement. Staff

		Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.  Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.
		Interconnection Agreement Review Items
	No ap	plications to intervene filed – n/a
$\boxtimes$	Agree	ment signed by both Parties.
assess Th relief/s should delinq	ment. e Compaction of the linst uency.	anies are not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC cany is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant cructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the all report  Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:
		achment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special s:   Yes No

further recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.