FILED March 31, 2017 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: 552

Issue: Impact on Farm Environment

Witness: Jack Garvin

Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Matthew and

Christina Reichert

Case No. EA-2016-0358

Date Testimony Prepared: January, 2017

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. EA-2016-0358

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JACK GARVIN ON BEHALF OF MATTHEW AND CHRISTINA REICHERT

January 24, 2017

Reichert Exhibit No. 552

Date 3:20:11 Reporter KB

File No. EA-2014-0358

- 1 Q: What is your name?
- 2 A: Jackie L. Garvin
- 3 Q: What is your occupation?
- 4 A: Custodian
- 5 Q: What is your home address?
- 6 A: 26030 Brush Creek Lane, Brunswick, MO 65236
- 7 Q: What is your spouse's name?
- 8 A: Gaye J. Garvin
- 9 Q: How long have you lived at this address?
- 10 A: 22 years
- 11 Q: Did you or Gaye's family own this property prior to your occupancy?
- 12 A: The property was owned by my family starting with my great-grandmother, Emma Syler,
- 13 followed by my grandparents.
- 14 Q: How long has this property been in the Syler/Garvin family?
- 15 A: On September 27, 1897, Emma Syler purchased 40 acres and on March 18, 1904, she
- purchased the additional 15 acres.
- 17 Q: Are you for or opposed to the application for a CCN by Grain Belt Express Clean
- 18 Line (GBE)?
- 19 A: I am opposed with very strong convictions.
- 20 Q: What is the main reason for opposing the transmission line?
- 21 A: It is quite time consuming to go through the multitude of reasons to oppose GBE. But,
- by laying numerous personal reasons aside, it is down to the change that will be made to personal

- property rights and then used as precedent to undermine property owner rights in the entire state
- of Missouri and, possibly, the Nation.
- 3 Q: What do you think will happen if GBE is granted the CCN?
- 4 A: If granted, Grain Belt will sweep across privately owned lands with the threat of eminent
- 5 domain and, much like the locust plagues of biblical note, leave landowners devastated in their
- 6 wake. Our property will be devalued, our views and vistas permanently marred by huge
- 7 industrial looking towers, our wildlife scattered and displaced by habitat destruction, our farming
- 8 practices and land use choices forever altered and, in many cases, completely stripped away.
- 9 Q: Will this Project have a negative impact on your day to day life?
- 10 A: Yes. For years we have been very environmentally conscientious providing organic fruits
- and vegetables for our family. As hunters, we have provided meat for our family and enjoyed the
- wonders of our tranquil rural lifestyle. In addition, we have worked hard to diversify our farm to
- provide for us in our retirement years. This Project will have a negative impact on all of these
- 14 areas.
- 15 Q: What is your primary concern?
- 16 A: Granting a CCN would result in a fundamental shift in landowner's rights if eminent
- domain is granted to a non-utility company. Being allowed to abscond with personal property,
- 18 especially when the property owners will receive no direct benefit from the transmission line, is
- 19 fundamentally wrong.
- 20 Q: What is another concern?
- 21 A: Riparian Issues: Brush Creek runs through my property. If they strip the timber and
- shrubs from the buffer zone along the banks of the creek to run their line it will begin to wash at

- the first heavy rains. This will continue until farm fields are washed and flooded.
- 2 Q: What is another concern?
- 3 A: Habitat Loss: although spokespeople from GBE are quick to say hunting will be better
- since you can see better, they fail to get the point. I do not hunt only deer. I want my hunting
- 5 experience to be optimal. I enjoy hunting squirrels with a flintlock rifle. Removing my timber
- 6 eliminates this possibility. Loss of habitat will also affect my trapping season as loss of den trees
- 7 means fewer coons to catch. My bat population may also be of concern with the loss of any older
- 8 hollowed trees. Unfortunately, many bats are already endangered and cling to existence.
- 9 Q: What are your other concerns?
- 10 A: There are several:
- Limited future land use opportunities
- Property value decrease
- Will limit my ability to build more bait ponds
- Destruction of the landscape
- 15 Q: Are you a landowner that is affected by the reroute of the GBE line in Chariton
- 16 County?
- 17 A: I was not a landowner in the original route in the 2014 case. The Proposed Line ran north
- of our home on our neighbor's property, Matthew & Christina (Tina) Reichert. The first reroute
- of the 2016 Proposed Line cut my south 40 aces in half. The latest reroute still affects my
- 20 property, as explained below.
- 21 Q: Were you contacted by a representative from GBE concerning the reroute?
- 22 A: I was never notified, in any way, by GBE of the reroute for the 2016 Proposed Line. I

- learned about it from my son-in-law, Matthew Blunk, who overheard a land agent discussing the
- 2 Project with his father, Dennis Blunk. Matt called me to let me know I would be looking at the
- transmission line out my front door because the reroute crossed his grandmother's land, west of
- 4 our home. It would continue east and cross right through the middle of my south 40 acres. Matt
- 5 also informed me I should attend the upcoming meeting concerning the Project.
- 6 Q: Did you attend that public information meeting hosted by GBE?
- 7 A: Yes, I did.
- 8 Q: When and where was the meeting held?
- 9 A: If I remember correctly, it was held on Wednesday, June 15, 2016. It was held at the
- 10 Knights of Columbus Hall in Brunswick.
- 11 Q: What did you learn from the informational meeting?
- 12 A: I learned that GBE is fully intent to get what they want by telling people what they want
- to hear. As my wife and I discussed the Project with the land agent, such as the size of the towers
- used and the payment structure, our daughter, Samantha, read the printed materials on display.
- 15 She pointed out the printed materials said one thing which did not agree with what we were
- 16 being told.
- 17 O: What were those discrepancies?
- 18 A: Samantha pointed out that we were being told one amount for tower compensation but
- the printed material said something else. The same thing was true regarding the height and
- 20 footprint of the towers. We were being told one thing that did not agree with the printed material.
- 21 Q: Are you familiar with the term, "Heritage Value?"
- 22 A: Not until Tina mentioned it when discussing my testimony.

- 1 Q: In your discussions with GBE representatives regarding compensation, did they ever
- 2 mention landowners of land in their families for 50 years or more may be entitled to
- 3 additional compensation?
- 4 A: No, they did not.
- 5 Q: Did you notice any problems with the reroute selection?
- 6 A: Yes. It was going to be outside my front door.
- 7 Q: Any other problems?
- 8 A: It would cross over two small, historic cemeteries.
- 9 Q: What was the response of the land agents when you pointed out the cemeteries?
- 10 A: They asked me if I had told anyone else. I told them I had contacted the historical society
- and informed them about the transmission line. I had the impression the land agents were
- disappointed I had made this call.
- 13 Q: Did you share any other objections to the reroute?
- 14 A: Yes. I told them the reroute for the Proposed Line interfered with my daughter's plan for
- a house site. In addition, it would limit land use choices for other entrepreneurial endeavors for
- our retirement; the destruction of riparian zones; and the fact that this project violates our private
- 17 property rights.
- 18 Q: Did the land agents give any indication that the reroute being discussed was subject
- 19 to change?
- 20 A: No, they did not. We were given the distinct impression this was the route being
- 21 proposed.
- 22 Q: How do you feel about not being contacted by GBE, again, about another reroute?

- 1 A: This appears to be characteristic of this company. They have not done due diligence, nor
- do they have respect for the landowners. It is GBE's responsibility to see that each and every
- 3 landowner and, in my opinion, adjacent landowners are notified of what is taking place on their
- 4 land and changes that are being made. If landowners cannot be contacted by phone, they should
- 5 be notified by U.S. mail. They deliver six days a week to my location.
- 6 Q. How did you learn of the subsequent reroute?
- 7 A: I learned of the latest reroute from my neighbor, Tina Reichert, who informed me several
- 8 weeks ago. Tina said the Proposed Line had been rerouted, once again, and it looked like it now
- 9 crossed the northeast corner of our property. I had my daughter, Samantha, look the information
- up on her computer to show me the maps. Sure enough, the Proposed Line has been rerouted and
- looks like it will cross a different part of our land. It was no longer "outside our front door" but
- would still be visible from our home, marring the view to the north and east.
- 13 Q: According to the drawings on the GBE web site, are there any transmission poles or
- 14 towers on your property in the present reroute of the transmission line?
- 15 A: I do not know for sure, since the maps on the GBE web site do not give any indication of
- 16 pole placement.
- 17 O: Do you have environmental concerns of the Proposed Line?
- 18 A: Yes, I have several environmental concerns:
- Riparian Zone damage causing soil erosion.
- Soil compaction.
- Habitat loss and associated impact.

- Potential for herbicides used for ROW contaminating the creek, ponds, and any other waterway in the proximity.
- Possible product spills such as fuel and/or oil.
- Decreased fur-bearer populations due to habitat loss.

5 Q: Do you have any safety concerns if this Project receives approval?

- 6 A: I have two major safety concerns. First, I am concerned about the toxicity of the
- 7 pesticides and/or herbicides used for easement maintenance. As I have said, we have not used
- 8 chemicals on our land for the past 22 years and we do not want to risk contaminating the land.
- 9 GBE cannot guarantee that pesticides and/or herbicides used on adjoining land will not drift on
- to my farm or contaminate the waterways from run-off. Second, is the potential for farm
- equipment entanglement. I believe Tina covers this safety issue in her testimony.

12 Q: What are your final comments?

- 13 A: I would think the Missouri Public Service Commission would find it a slap in the face
- that their prior decision is not being honored but, instead, GBE thinks to ignore them and keep
- coming back with their unlimited assets to back them until they get what they want. What they
- want is a fundamental change in Missouri landowner property rights setting an unheard
- 17 precedent of habitat destruction, land use limitations, potential environmental hazards, property
- devaluations, and all for profits, profits, profits. After all, they are not a utility company and they
- 19 want to take from Missouri landowners so they can sell and make money. Profit is their only
- 20 concern.

21 Q: Does that complete your testimony?

22 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express

Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an interconnection on the Maywood- Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line) Case No. EA-2016-0358
Affidavit of Jack C	Ja <u>ryin</u>
STATE OF MISSOURI) SS COUNTY OF CHARITON)	
Jack Garvin, being first duly sworn on oath	states:
1. My name is Jack Garvin.	
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all puthe Missouri Public Service Commission.	rposes is my testimony submitted to
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers conta questions therein asked are true and accurate to the land belief.	
	Jack Garyin
Subscribed and sworn before me this 6 day of	TOTAL STATE OF THE
DEBBIE L. LINK NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF MISSOUR CHARITON COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPERS: 05/30/2018	Dela K Kal
The state of the s	