STATE OF MISSOURI 1 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 Local Public Hearing June 3, 2010 8 Millersburg, Missouri 9 Volume 2 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Application) of Mid MO Sanitation, LLC For a) File No. SR-2010-0095 Small Company Rate Increase 13) 14 15 16 KENNARD L. JONES, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. 17 TERRY JARRETT, 18 COMMISSIONER. 19 20 REPORTED BY: KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR 21 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 22 23 24 25

```
1
                            APPEARANCES:
 2
    CHRISTINA BAKER, Assistant Public Counsel
            P.O. Box 2230
             200 Madison Street, Suite 650
 3
             Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
             (573)751-4857
 4
 5
                    FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
                              and the Public.
 б
    SAM RITCHIE, Legal Counsel
 7
             P.O. Box 360
             200 Madison Street
 8
             Jefferson City, MO 65102
             (573)751-3234
 9
                    FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
10
                              Service Commission.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS
 JUDGE JONES: Good evening. My name is
 Kennard Jones. I am the Regulatory Law Judge assigned to
 this matter. To my right is Commissioner Jarrett, one of
 five Commissioners on the Commission. He's here to hear
 your comments.

7 We have two people that have signed up to give testimony in this case, which is SR-2010-0095, Mid Mo 8 9 Sanitation, LLC's request for a small company rate 10 increase. I'm going to have the witness, I suppose, sit at this chair. I'll swear you in. You will give your 11 12 comments, which will be part of a transcript that will be 13 filed in the case. And after I've called these two 14 witnesses, I will ask if anyone else would like to give 15 comment. So if you haven't signed up, you'll still have 16 an opportunity to give comment.

Does anyone have any questions? Probably had a lot of questions earlier, I'm sure, but do you have questions about how this process might work?

20 (No response.)

JUDGE JONES: Okay. I will ask that after you have given your comments, that you remain seated for questions that myself or Commissioner Jarrett might have. Okay. Let's go ahead and get started. We'll call first Tom McNeill. (Witness sworn.)

1

23

2 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may 3 proceed.

4 MR. McNEILL: Not being terribly familiar 5 with these processes, but I have a couple of comments I'd 6 like to go on record and present to Commissioner Jarrett 7 and yourself.

8 The -- in looking over the budget items, 9 and you are correct, there have been a lot of questions and answers prior to this, and that's helped clarify some 10 of the information. But as a very small, in my opinion, 11 very small sewer district where we only have about 28 12 current connections and very few additional ones could 13 14 ever be added, it's extremely important to me when I look 15 at where costs are, especially fixed costs that have to get divided out, each one of those dollars impacts each 16 17 connection, each one of us as a household or business. 18 And I did question the regulatory 19 commission expenses in the budget, which is about \$2,526 according to the budget report. I've asked what services 20 21 that were rendered back by the State to Mid MO, why that 22 was set in, because that is about 11 and a half percent of

24 percent of revenue. I would only state that while that 25 may or may not be able to be changed by anyone involved

what the revenue is. I understand it's driven by a

here at this time, I just go on the record that is high in my opinion as part of this cost. 11 and a half of what I pay is going for that, and there are no specific services that were given to me as to what's being returned.

5 Second to that, I asked the question were б there -- was there any efforts to trim the cost, because 7 in this time when most of us both in our business world as 8 well as our personal world are trying to cut costs, and my 9 understanding is that the budget itself was actually 10 derived by looking at prior costs that had been associated with operating the district or the sanitation system, and 11 by projecting those to go forward as to what would be 12 needed to operate. 13

While I understand that process, a couple of things come to mind that concern me with that, and one of those would be that I do believe that there are some alternatives particularly in the billing area, how billing could be done, how it could be processed and how it could be collected that could streamline the cost of an operation as small as this one.

I understand and there was some discussion even amongst our group about the possibility of being more on a metered or a use basis versus just a flat fee, but everything we do that increases the labor or the cost or the time to generate billing is just going to be a cost

1 that has to be passed on to us. So I don't necessarily 2 favor nor am I opposed to doing it that way per se, but I 3 don't see adding time and expenses as a way that we're 4 going to reduce the cost of the system.

5 Along with that, the -- in looking at the б other costs that are associated, it's difficult for me to 7 say, but obviously we have an operator cost of 5,000. 8 We've got 3,000 in for management fees. We've got another 9 2,600-some dollars for accounting, as well as the 2,454 10 for billing and collections. When I start looking at all those expenses associated with it as a small business, it 11 concerns me there may be some of those costs, too, that 12 13 may be out of line.

14 I understand some may not be able to be 15 controlled. I understand there's already been some 16 efforts to reduce the operator's salary and expenses associated there, and I appreciate that because it's a 17 dollar saved out of my pocket, too, on a monthly amount. 18 19 But on the record, my real issue is that I 20 believe the budget, rather than just being built off 21 historical information -- and my hat's off to the 22 gentleman for running Mid MO Sanitation and taking over 23 the way it was because there had been a long period where there had not been really probably the maintenance and 24 25 upkeep to the system that it needed, and I'm sure in the

б

1 beginning there's going to be some additional costs that may have otherwise been able to be averted. 2 3 But as we look at this, there's got to be 4 some cost savings or I believe there's cost savings could 5 be achieved if that eye was given to the budget versus б just looking at historical data and trying to project. 7 Those are my comments. 8 JUDGE JONES: All right. Commissioner 9 Jarrett, do you have any questions? 10 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I don't have any questions of Mr. McNeill, but I will have to disclose that 11 12 I do know him. I haven't seen him for a long time. Good 13 to see you. 14 MR. McNEILL: It's been a while. COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I will stipulate for 15 the record that he is a very credible witness. But I 16 17 appreciate -- Tom, I appreciate your comments. 18 MR. McNEILL: Thank you. JUDGE JONES: I wanted to clarify something 19 20 quickly. You mentioned several costs when you were 21 talking about accounting, budget, billing. Were you 22 trying to say that those costs may overlap in some way? 23 MR. McNEILL: Well --24 JUDGE JONES: That's where I thought you 25 were going with it.

1 MR. McNEILL: What I would say is that 2 every -- in being a businessperson myself, every time 3 there's an entry on the set of books, there's an 4 accounting fee. Every time there's a bill sent out, 5 there's work that has to be done, there's collections to б be done. If you're doing it in a less frequent manner 7 than once a month, then those entries should be less and time involved should be less and, in theory, the costs 8 9 should be less. So yes, I do think that. 10 Now, quite honestly, there's a \$3,000 management salary that's included in here, and I'm not 11 sure if that's on a -- if there's a flat amount that's 12 allowed for that. I'm not sure how that's determined. 13 14 I'm not even sure what services are given on the 15 management side. But my question is, was it looked at from a 16 17 standpoint of are there costs that could be cut or, quite 18 honestly, were numbers just plugged in to an open budget 19 someplace and say, well, gee, here's a number and we allow a range of X to X, let's plug in X. I'm not trying to be 20 21 smart about that. I'm just -- I'm not sure how it was 22 generated. There was not enough supporting documentation 23 to the budget that was attached to the web file for me to

24 really be able to see what was being put into each of

25 those cost items.

1 JUDGE JONES: Questions from Staff or Public Counsel? 2 3 MR. RITCHIE: No questions. 4 MS. BAKER: No questions. Thank you for 5 coming. б JUDGE JONES: Okay. Mr. McNeill, thank you 7 for your comments. 8 Now we hear from Russ Craighead. Raise 9 your right hand. 10 (Witness sworn.) JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may 11 proceed. 12 MR. CRAIGHEAD: Tom did a very good job of 13 14 explaining my concerns, so mostly I want to kind of ditto 15 what he has expressed. He's done a very good job, as I 16 said. 17 The biggest shock to my family when it was 18 all taken over because we almost doubled what we had 19 originally. We've had a lot of explanations, and they all were good. Also Tom pointed out we had a lot of catchup 20 21 that had to be done because of improper maintenance of 22 things that fell behind. So that part is understandable. 23 With the discussions we had earlier, the questions we were wanting to save at least a little bit of 24 25 money. As Tom pointed out, the postage, the processing

1 fees and so on, the more we can reduce that, the more 2 savings that we can have. As we pointed out in our 3 question and answer, sometimes we're not talking about a 4 lot of money, but some of us are on a fixed income of 5 such, and every little bit does help, especially in this б day and time. I'm a state worker, like you guys, but we 7 haven't had a raise for a few years. You can almost call 8 that a fixed income. And my wife is retired. So we're 9 very concerned about the amount of money we're paying, and 10 any way we can save, the farther our budget can go.

11 I am concerned that the money or the budget was determined by just plugging in figures and not looking 12 13 at maybe how to help save a little bit more money other 14 places to help the sewer operators out. It was pointed 15 out that you were able to find an operator at a better 16 price. That's definitely appreciated, and information 17 like that is what I think as public service we should be doing. That's what I do in my work is try and save the 18 19 State money. And I think that should be done more with the Public Service Commission, not just plug in figures. 20 21 And I didn't feel like that was expressed, 22 that there was a little bit more digging in to how can we 23 help save the public that we're serving as much money as we can but still give them the service that they deserve

25 as well. It's a very fine line, and it's a challenge, and

24

I'd like to see a little bit more that approach if that is
 possible.

3 JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Jarrett? 4 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Just a question of 5 you, Judge. How long is the record going to remain open б in this case, I mean for additional comments? 7 MS. BAKER: I can say that Public Counsel will take comments all the way up until the case is closed 8 9 or submitted to the Commission. 10 JUDGE JONES: I can probably -- the tariff isn't effective until August 11th. So I could probably --11 I haven't set a fixed time, but we can certainly do that. 12 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: The reason I ask 13 14 that, I know Mr. McNeill talked about some specific issues 15 and some specific items where, you know, the numbers might be changed, and if you would have the time to do some more 16 17 specific work on that and file a document with the Commission, that might be helpful. 18 19 MR. McNEILL: Okay. COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Like I said, I don't 20 21 know when the record will close on it, but --22 JUDGE JONES: Well, I don't want to do 23 anything off the cuff, but --24 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Right.

25 JUDGE JONES: -- it can stay open enough

1 time. How much time would you think you might need if you wanted to file something? 2 3 MR. McNEILL: We could get something within 4 ten days or two weeks maybe. 5 JUDGE JONES: That's well within the time I б was contemplating. 7 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I think that would 8 be helpful. 9 JUDGE JONES: And Christine, would you want 10 him to file that with Public Counsel? MS. BAKER: He certainly can send it to my 11 office, or were you meaning for it to be filed as a letter 12 13 in the case itself? 14 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: That's what I was thinking. 15 16 MS. BAKER: That may be better off going 17 through you for something like that. JUDGE JONES: That will be fine. I'll 18 speak with you afterwards to make sure that -- well, you 19 have the Order that I sent to all -- in fact, all of you 20 21 all did get the Order that I mailed out? 22 MR. McNEILL: Right. 23 JUDGE JONES: That has in it instructions 24 on how to file a comment. You can just do it that way. 25 Thank you, Mr. Craighead.

1 Is there anyone else who would like to give 2 testimony that wasn't signed up? Yes, sir. State your 3 name. 4 MR. FROGGATT: It's Tim Froggatt, 5 F-r-o-g-g-a-t-t. б (Witness sworn.) 7 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir. You may 8 proceed. 9 MR. FROGGATT: I just wanted to go on 10 record as saying that I agree with Tom's statements. Also, I wanted to point out that at our annual homeowners 11 12 association meeting that we had where we did have a large majority of the homeowners there, although we didn't have 13 14 any commercial meetings because they're not a member of the homeowners association, but we did have the majority 15 of the homeowners there, and we did talk about the sewer 16 17 budget and things and were there different ways where we 18 could cut costs. And one of the things that everybody agreed 19 20 to was going to quarterly billing to cut down on the 21 number of bills that have to be sent out and received and 22 stamped and all that thing to help cut down on the billing

24 associated with that. And everybody voted on that, and it 25 was virtually unanimous for everybody that was there,

cost as well as, you know, time, everything else

which was the greater majority of the subdivision for the
 homeowners that are involved.

3 Otherwise, it's just to mirror their 4 comments that I think there should be several ways on 5 there where you can look at certain things, maybe possibly б check around with different accountants or such, to 7 possibly get a better rate. You know, everybody else is always looking for other business as well. Sometimes 8 9 they're a little more competitive with that. 10 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. Questions, Commissioner Jarrett? 11 12 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I don't have any questions. I appreciate that information. Thank you for 13 14 testifying. 15 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Anyone else who would like to give comment on the record? 16 17 (No response.) 18 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Would you like to make 19 any closing remarks? COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Yeah. I would just 20 21 like to say, as Judge Jones said, I'm one of five 22 Commissioners on the Public Service Commission, and we're 23 the decision-makers in these rate cases. We're stretched kind of thin as Commissioners recently. We've got lots of 24 25 rate cases filed. I know Judge Jones was in St. Louis

earlier today. Were you at another rate case?

1

2 JUDGE JONES: No. I was taking a kid to 3 the doctor.

4 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I know these two 5 were with me in Highlandville last night, which is down 6 between Springfield and Branson. We have had local public 7 hearings in St. Louis. The Laclede Gas Company has a rate 8 case going there. So as Commissioners we're spread kind 9 of thin, so we're not all able to attend all of the public 10 hearings.

So I do want to say on behalf of my other 11 Commissioners, we do take this process very seriously, the 12 public hearing process, and especially tonight this has 13 14 been helpful. So many times as the Commissioners when we sit in these rate cases, people will come forward and, 15 16 legitimately so, they're very sincere and they talk about 17 how the rates are going to be high and they can't afford 18 them, but it's really helpful to us when folks come 19 forward maybe with some concrete suggestions and some 20 examples, and that gives us something to look at 21 seriously.

22 So I do appreciate the fact that you folks 23 seem to be focusing on ways to cut costs rather than just 24 complaining about the rates going up. That's very 25 helpful. Thank you.

JUDGE JONES: All right. Well, with that, then, we will go off the record. Thank you all. WHEREUPON, the public hearing was concluded.

1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. 4 COUNTY OF COLE) 5 I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified 6 Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation 7 Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present 8 at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the 9 time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and there took down in Stenotype the 10 proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true 11 12 and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at 13 such time and place. Given at my office in the City of 14 Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri. 15 16 Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25