Exhibit No .:

Issue: Rate Design

Witness:

Jarrod J. Robertson

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

WR-2016-0064

Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

April 15, 2016

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JARROD J. ROBERTSON

Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.

CASE NO. WR-2016-0064

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2016

> Staff Exhibit No_ Date 5/19/16 Reporter

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	JARROD J. ROBERTSON
4	HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS1
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
8	COMPANY OVERVIEW3
9	RATE DESIGN6
10	TARIFF ISSUES9

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	4)1	OF
3		JARROD J. ROBERTSON
4		HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5		CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	A.	Jarrod J. Robertson, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A.	I am a Utility Policy Analyst I with the Missouri Public Service Commission
10	(PSC or Cor	nmission).
11	BACKGRO	OUND OF WITNESS
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
13	A.	I graduated from Columbia College, Columbia, Missouri, where I earned a
14	Bachelor of	Arts degree in Biology, May of 2004.
15	Q.	Please describe your work background prior to working at the Commission.
16	A.	Prior to starting at the Commission, in July of 2015, I worked as an
17	Environment	tal Specialist at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for both
18	the Hazardo	us and Solid Waste Management Programs, from October 2008 - July 2015.
19	I worked fo	or the University of Missouri, Columbia as a Research Specialist
20	from 1998 –	October 2008, in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments,
21	respectively.	
22	While	e at DNR, as Project Manager in both the Hazardous and Solid Waste
23	Management	Programs, I analyzed data related to the release/spill of gasoline/petroleum, such

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

as Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL), at 2 Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks and violations which occurred at Permitted 3 Landfills and Infectious Waste Disposal, respectfully. The data analysis involved volatile and 4 non-volatile chemical concentration(s), their toxic; carcinogenic; flammability and other 5 health hazards and the subsequent "desired" remedial levels of said chemicals. While with 6 the Hazardous Waste Management Program, I also performed qualitative data analysis of concentration vs time and/or distance and point by point analysis using both the 8 Mann-Kendall and Linear Regression statistical methods.

While at the University of Missouri, I analyzed data as it relates to the genetic and biological study/manipulation of various organisms: maize (corn); bovine and bacteria. I worked on the "Maize Project," mapping the genetic structure of corn, using Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) DNA Marker Technique; studied heat stress in bovine using microarray analysis; and I created mutagenic strains of bacteria by deletion of a single gene or an operon (a cluster of genes) combined with cloning sequence(s) and amplification by way of a Poly Chain Reaction (PCR) in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments, respectively.

- Q. Please describe your duties while employed by the Commission.
- A. As a Utility Policy Analyst I, my core duties revolve around being a Case Coordinator for Small Company Rate Cases filed with the Commission. These duties include, but are not limited to: setting up the case Activities Timeline; authoring Customer Notice(s); coordinating meetings and correspondence between Staff, Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC"), and the utilities; disseminating information between Staff,

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- OPC and the utilities; reviewing and if necessary, revising utilities' tariff(s), as well as performing rate design.
 - Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
 - A. No, I have not previously filed testimony before this Commission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide rate design alternatives to the Commission for consideration in determining the ultimate rates for Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc. ("Hillcrest" or "Company").

COMPANY OVERVIEW

- Q. Please provide a brief history of Hillcrest.
- A. Hillcrest is a water and sewer service utility that provides service to approximately 241 water and 240 sewer customers, which consist of single-family homes, apartments, and small commercial businesses in the Hillcrest Manor subdivision in Cape Girardeau County, just outside the city of Cape Girardeau. The water and sewer systems are believed to have been constructed in approximately 1974, and operated by Hillcrest Utilities Company. Hillcrest Utilities Company received its original Certificates of Convenience and Necessities in Case No. 17938 for water and No. 17937 for wastewater. In 2006, utility system assets were transferred from Hillcrest Utilities Company to Brandco Investments, LLC in Case No. WM-2007-0261. The Commission granted the Company's current owners a CCN for water and sewer service in the context of approving the sale of assets from Brandco in File No. WO-2014-0340 through an Order that became

effective on October 22, 2014. The current Commission-approved rates and charges have not

been changed since 1989.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Added a backup generator.

Q. Please briefly describe the water system.

A. As was mentioned in Staff's water and sewer memo filed in the Partial Disposition Agreement in this proceeding, the water system consists of a single well, a newly constructed 58,000 gallon ground storage tank, and two high-service pumps that pump from the tank to the distribution system, which is made up of predominately six-inch and some eight-inch PVC pipe. There are also several flush hydrants located throughout the system. Each customer is individually metered. Prior to acquisition by Hillcrest the system only had a 20,000 gallon storage tank, which was inadequate to meet a minimum one-day average use, as recommended by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' (DNR) Minimum Design Standards for Missouri Community Water Systems.

As was documented in Staff's Recommendation in File No. WO-2014-0340, both the drinking water system and the sewer system were in critical need of repairs and upgrade, and were subject to an Abatement Order on Consent agreement with DNR. These upgrades were necessary not only to meet regulatory requirements, but were also critical to improving the operational efficiency and dependability of both systems.

Drinking water system upgrades made by the Company, include the following:

- Replaced the old 20,000 gallon storage tank with a new 58,000 gallon tank.
- Added permanent chlorination which required a chlorine room to be added to the well house.
- Replaced the roof on the well house.
- Rewired all of the electrical components and electric motor controls in the well house.

- Resealed the well head.
 - Replaced the master meter at the well head.
 - Constructed a security fence around the well house and storage tank.
 - Added eight valves to the distribution system.
 - Replaced some broken meters in the distribution system.
 - Installed a supervisory control and data acquisition system (SCADA) for more efficient system operation.
 - Q. Please briefly describe the wastewater system.

A. The wastewater system consists of gravity sewers, one existing lift station within the collection system, and one new lift station that was constructed as a part of the treatment facility in conjunction with a new treatment component, called a bioreactor. The bioreactor is a piece of fairly new technology. It consists of two concrete in-ground tanks filled with small plastic balls called media. The media provide surface area for bacteria to attach and grow on. Bacteria are used to break down and treat the raw sewage, especially to break down ammonia, which is the Company's purpose for utilizing the bioreactor. Utilizing the bioreactor means more sewage can be treated faster in a smaller plant. The treatment facility is a modification of what was previously a four-cell lagoon. The prior owner, Brandco, was required by DNR to meet new limits for ammonia discharge by July 1, 2016. This drove the Company to upgrade the facility and treatment process immediately after acquisition. This involved a reconfiguration of the treatment process while still utilizing the original system.

1	Upgrades include:
2	A new moving bed bioreactor to help remove ammonia.
3	A new lift station to pump effluent to the bioreactor from an existing lagoon cell.
4	Rerouting a portion of the sewer pipe to a new entry point at the treatment system.
5	Adding a fence around the lagoons and bioreactor.
6	Cleared brush and over growth from around the lagoons and adjacent area.
7	Riprapped the eroding drainage ditch between the lagoons.
8	Installed SCADA on the two lift stations and bioreactor.
9	RATE DESIGN
10	Q. What is the general purpose of rate design?
11	A. The general purpose of rate design is to set "rates" that are both fair and just
12	for the customer, while still affording the company an opportunity to collect its
13	Commission-approved revenue requirement.
14	Q. What is the purpose of Staff's rate design alternatives?
15	A. The purpose of Staff's rate design alternatives is to design rates that will be
16	used to collect the appropriate levels of revenue from each customer class in order to cover
17	the Company's cost of service while giving the Commission flexibility to address the
18	proposed rate increase and ultimate impact on the ratepayers.
19	Q. What is Hillcrest's current rate design structure for its water system?
20	A. The water system's current rate design structure combines all customers into
21	one rate class, charging them the same customer charge of \$3.58 per month and the same
22	commodity fee of \$1.84 per 1,000 gallons used.
23	Q. What is Hillcrest's current rate design structure for its sewer system?

1	A.	The sewer system's current rate design structure combines the residential and
2	commercial	customers into one class with a flat, monthly customer charge of \$14.63.
3	The apartme	ents are separated into a separate class with a flat monthly customer charge
4	of \$11.70.	
5	Q.	Did Staff design a new rate structure for the water customers?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Why did Staff design a new rate structure for the water customers?
8	A.	The current rate design structure for water customers accounts for only one
9	class, "Resid	lential." Yet, there is more than one distinct customer type/class on the system.
10	Q.	What is the justification for Staff creating a new customer class in the
11	water rates?	
12	A.	A new customer class, and therefore a separate customer charge, is appropriate
13	for the custo	mers residing in the apartments, due to the nature of the apartment complex and
14	the reduction	in costs associated with reading the apartment's meters. Furthermore, this will
15	make the wa	ter system's rate structure consistent with the sewer system's rate structure.
16	Q.	What are Staff's alternative rate design proposals for the implementation of
17	new rates?	
18	A.	There are two alternatives that Staff is proposing to the Commission for the
19	purposes of o	designing rates:
20		The first alternative is the traditional manner of rate design.
21		• The total revenue requirement for water is \$177,171; an increase of
22		\$144,778 in revenue requirement. This will create an average monthly
23		water bill, based on 5,300 gallons of usage, for the residential and

commercial customers, of \$69.41, which is a total increase of 420.6%, and creates an average monthly water bill, based on 5,300 gallons of usage, for the proposed apartment customers, of \$62.52, which is a total increase of 368.96%

The total revenue requirement for sewer is \$208,844; an increase of \$167,413 in revenue requirement. This will create an average monthly sewer bill for the residential and commercial customers, of \$73.75, which is a total increase of 404.07%, and an average monthly sewer bill for the proposed apartment customers, of \$58.98, which is a total increase of 303.14%.

The second alternative is a phase-in proposal. The reason for considering a phase-in is to alleviate the amount of "rate shock" on the customer as a result of implementing the entire rate increase all at once.

- Q. Please describe Staff's alternative phase-in proposal.
- A. If the Commission decides to phase-in the increase, Staff recommends the Commission order the Company to file a rate case 12 months after the effective date of new rates in this proceeding. Staff further recommends the amount of revenue requirement (non-cash flow items) not calculated in the initial rate be "carried over" and included in the rates determined in the subsequent rate case. The amounts will include carrying costs and will be included in rate base and amortized over five years.
 - By removing the non-cash flow items, the new revenue requirement for water is \$132,699, which is less than the \$177,171 in the traditional method. This is an increase of \$100,306 in revenue requirement.

This will create an average monthly water bill, based on 5,300 gallons of usage, for the residential and commercial customers, of \$50.19, which is a total increase of 276.49%, and an average monthly water bill for the proposed apartment customers, of \$43.70, which is a total increase of 227.76%. The difference between the two revenue requirements is the amount that will be "carried-over" to the next rate case plus the carrying costs.

• By removing the non-cash flow items, the new total revenue requirement for sewer is \$157,253, which is less than the \$208,844 in the traditional method. This is an increase of \$115,822 in revenue requirement. This will create a monthly sewer bill for the residential and commercial customers, of \$55.53, which is a total increase of 279.55%, and an average monthly sewer bill for the proposed apartment customers, of \$44.41, which is a total increase of 203.55%. The difference between the two revenue requirements is the amount that will be "carried-over" to the next rate case plus the carrying costs.

TARIFF ISSUES

- Q. Has Hillcrest proposed various changes to its Tariffs?
- A. Yes. Hillcrest has proposed various changes to its schedule of service charges.
- Q. Is Staff in agreement with those proposed changes?
- A. Staff agrees with a majority of the changes that have been proposed. However, there are three items for which Staff is waiting for justification of the proposed changes from

- 1 the Company. Staff will continue to work with the Company to hopefully resolve this issue
- 2 prior to filing rebuttal testimony.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 4 A. Yes.

3

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Water Rate Increase Request of Hillcrest Utility Operating) .	Case No. WR-2016-0064
Company, Inc.)	<i>,</i>

AFFIDAVIT OF JARROD ROBERTSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE	.)	

COMES NOW Jarrod Robertson and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Direct Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Jarrod Robertson

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 15th day of April, 2016.

DIANNA (VOLIGHT

Natary Public Hotary Soal
State of Missouri
Commissioned in Tank County
My Commission Exercises 100 & 2019
Commission Factors

Notary Public 0

Jarrod J Robertson
Utility Policy Analyst

Present Position:

I am a Utility Policy Analyst in the Water and Sewer Department, of the Commission Staff Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission. I have been employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission since, July 2015.

Education and Employment Background:

I graduated from Columbia College, Columbia, Missouri, where I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology, May of 2004. Prior to starting at the Commission, in July of 2015, I worked as an Environmental Specialist at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for both the Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Programs, from October 2008 – July 2015. I worked for the University of Missouri, Columbia as a Research Specialist from 1998 – October 2008, in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments, respectfully.

While at DNR, as Project Manager in both the Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Programs, I analyzed data related to the release/spill of gasoline/petroleum, such as Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL), at Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks and violations which occurred at Permitted Landfills and Infectious Waste Disposal, respectfully. The data analysis involved volatile and non-volatile chemical concentration(s), their toxic; carcinogenic; flammability and other health hazards and the subsequent "desired" remedial levels of said chemicals. While with the Hazardous Waste Management Program, I also performed qualitative data analysis of concentration vs time and/or distance and monitoring well by monitoring well analysis using both the Mann-Kendall and Linear Regression statistical methods.

While at the University of Missouri, I analyzed data as it related to the genetic and biological study/manipulation of various organisms: maize (corn); bovine and bacteria. I worked on the "Maize Project," mapping the genetic structure of corn, using Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) DNA Marker Technique; studied heat stress in bovine using microarray analysis; and I created mutagenic strains of bacteria by deletion of a single gene or an operon (a cluster of genes) combined with cloning sequence(s) and amplification by way of a Poly Chain Reaction (PCR) in the Agronomy, Animal Science and Biochemistry Departments, respectfully.

Case Participation:

Company Name	Case Number(s)	Testimony/Issues
Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WR-2015-0064 SR-2015-0065	Water and Sewer Rate Increase Request
Cannon Home Association	SR-2016-0112	Sewer Rate Increase Request

Rate Making Income Statement-Water

Phase In Alternative

	Operating Revenues at 0	Current Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	32,378
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	15
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	32,393

4 * See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

	Cost of Service			
	Item	Α	mount	
1	Operators Salary-Maintenance	\$	18,479	
2	Operator-Backup	\$	_	
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	6,129	
4		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-	
6	Water Treatment Expense-Chemicals	\$	1,797	
7	Bank Fees	\$	2,421	
8	Outside Services Employed	\$	927	
9	System Repairs Maintenance	\$	1,037	
10	Billing & Collections	\$	6,253	
11	Office Supplies	\$	495	
12	Postage	\$	168	
13	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153	
14	Office Utilities	\$		
15	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	361	
	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598	
	Fuel Expense-Vehicles	\$	-	
	Medical Expense			
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827	
	Rent Expense-Building	\$	6,121	
21	Rate Case Expense	\$	-	
22	MO DNR Fees	\$	200	
23	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	6,971	
24	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	735	
25	Uncollectable Accounts	\$	-	
26	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	89,672	
27	Property Taxes	\$	164	
28	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-	
29	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104	
30	Federal Unemployment Taxes			
31	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600	
32	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,315	
33	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	7,183	
34	Depreciation Expense	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
35	Interest Expense	\$	35,844	
36	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$ \$	-	
37	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	35,844	
38	Return on Rate Base	\$	•	
39	Total Cost of Service	\$	132,699	
40	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	100,306	
40	Aterdinive teline nicrease needed	#2.50 F	100,500	

Rate Making Income Statement-Sewer

Phase In Alternative

Operating Revenues at Current Rates			
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	41,431
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	41,431

4 * See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details

	Cost of Service			
	Item		Amount	
1	Operators Salary	\$	-	
2	Operator-Backup	\$	-	
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	4,971	
4	Electricity-Shop	\$ \$	-	
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-	
6	Sewer Treatment -Chemicals		4,179	
7	Sewer Treatment -Testing/Laboratory Fees	\$ \$	23,088	
8	Sludge Removal	\$	-	
9	Maintenance Expense-Parts/Equipment	\$	11,687	
10	Maintenance Expense-Outside Labor		1,019	
11		\$	2,331	
12	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153	
	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	323	
	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598	
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827	
	Rent Expense	\$	6,121	
	Rate Case Expense	\$	-,	
	Office Supplies	\$	495	
	Postage Expense	\$	58	
	Bookkeeping	\$	6,229	
	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	9,075	
	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	3,745	
	Uncollectable Accounts	\$	٠,٠	
23	Miscellaneous General Expenses	\$	38	
	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	110,937	
	Property Taxes	- -	164	
	MO Franchise Taxes	Š		
	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	-	
	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	_	
	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600	
	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,895	
	Sub-Total Taxes	- š	5,659	
	Depreciation Expense	- *		
	Interest Expense	Š	40,657	
	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-	
	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	- s	40,657	
	Return on Rate Base	\$		
37 37	Total Cost of Service	= v ==================================	157,253	
<i>31</i> :	TOTAL O'ST OF OCIVICE		107,200	
38	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$ ***	115,822	

Phase In Alternative Customer Bill Comparison-Water

Rates for 5/8" Meter					
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed		
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate		
\$3.58	\$32.50	\$1.84	\$3.34		

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

5,300 gallons/month usage		
Current Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	3.58
Usage Charge	\$	9.75
Total Bill	\$	13.33
Proposed Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	32.50
Usage Charge	<u>\$</u> \$	17.70
Total Bill	\$	50.19
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$2	28.92
% Increase	807.72%	
Usage Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	7.95
% Increase	81.48%	
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$3	36.86
% Increase	276.49%	

Phase In Alternative Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer

Rates for Residential Customer

Current Base

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

Customer Charge

\$14.63

\$55.53

current service charge is monthly charge

Current Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge	\$ \$	14.63 -
Total Bill	\$	14.63
Proposed Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge Total Bill	\$ \$ \$	55.53 - 55.53
INCREASES Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	40.90
% Increase	27	9.55%
Usage Charge \$ Increase % Increase	\$	0.00 N/A
Total Bill \$ Increase	\$4	40.90
% Increase		9.55%

Customer Bill Comparison-Water

Rates for 5/8" Meter			
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate
\$3.58	\$34.44	\$1.84	\$6.60

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

MUNITHLY BILL COMPARISON			
5,300 gallons/month usage			
Current Rates			
Customer Charge	\$	3.58	
Usage Charge	\$	9.75	
Total Bill	\$	13.33	
Proposed Rates			
Customer Charge	\$	34.44	
Usage Charge	<u>\$</u> \$	34.97	
Total Bill	\$	69.41	
INCREASES			
Customer Charge			
\$ Increase	\$30.86		
% Increase	861.88%		
Usage Charge			
\$ Increase	\$25.22		
% Increase	258.60%		
Total Bill			
\$ Increase	-	6.07	
% Increase	42	0.60%	

Rate Making Income Statement-Sewer

	Operating Revenues	at Current Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	41,431
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	•
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	41,431
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details		

	Cost of Service		
	Item		Amount
1	Operators Salary	\$	-
2	Operator-Backup	\$. -
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$ \$ \$	4,971
4	Electricity-Shop	\$, -
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-
6	Sewer Treatment -Chemicals	\$	4,179
7	Sewer Treatment -Testing/Laboratory Fees	\$	23,088
8	Sludge Removal	\$, -
9	Maintenance Expense-Parts/Equipment	\$	11,687
10	Maintenance Expense-Outside Labor		1,019
	Bank Fees	\$ \$	2,331
12	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153
13	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	323
	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
	Rent Expense	\$	6,121
	Rate Case Expense	\$	-,
	Office Supplies	\$	495
	Postage Expense	\$	58
	Bookkeeping	\$	6,229
	Employee Pensions & Benefits		9,075
	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$ \$	3,745
	Uncollectable Accounts	\$	467
23	Miscellaneous General Expenses	\$	38
	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	- <u>- </u>	111,404
	Property Taxes		164
	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	_
	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	-
	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	-
	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,895
	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	5,659
32	Depreciation Expense	\$	31,467
	Interest Expense	\$	40,657
	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-
	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	<u></u>	72,124
	Return on Rate Base	- \$	19,657
37	Total Cost of Service	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	208,844
38	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	167,413

WATER & SEWER COMPANY Rate Making Income Statement-Water

	Operating Revenues at Cu	rrent Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	32,378
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	15
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	32,393
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details		

	Cost of Service		
	Item	Α	mount
1	Operators Salary-Maintenance	\$	18,479
2	Operator-Backup	*****	-
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	6,129
4		\$	-
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-
6	Water Treatment Expense-Chemicals	\$	1,797
7	Bank Fees	\$	2,421
8	Outside Services Employed	\$	927
9	System Repairs Maintenance	\$	1,037
10	Billing & Collections	\$	6,253
11	Office Supplies	\$	495
	Postage	\$	168
13	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153
14	Office Utilities	\$	-
15	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$	361
	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598
	Fuel Expense-Vehicles	\$	
	Medical Expense		
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827
	Rent Expense-Building	\$	6,121
	Rate Case Expense	\$	
	MO DNR Fees	\$	200
23	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	6,971
24	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	735
	Uncollectable Accounts	\$	467
26	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	90,139
27	Properly Taxes	\$	164
28	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	- •
29	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104
30	Federal Unemployment Taxes		
31	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600
32	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,315
33	Sub-Total Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	7,183
34	Depreciation Expense	\$	26,675
35	Interest Expense	\$	35,844
36	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-
37	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$	62,519
	Return on Rate Base	\$	17,330
39	Total Cost of Service	\$	177,171
•			
40	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	144,778

Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer

Rates for Residential Customer

Current Base

Proposed Base

Customer Charge

Customer Charge

\$14.63

\$73.75

current service charge is monthly charge

Current Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge	\$ \$	14.63
Total Bill	\$	14.63
Proposed Rates Customer Charge Usage Charge Total Bill	\$ \$ \$	73.75 - 73.75
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	59.12
% Increase	40	4.07%
Usage Charge \$ Increase % Increase	\$	0.00 N/A
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$!	59.12
% Increase	40	4.07%