Exhibit No.:

Issue: Rate Design

Witness: Jarrod J. Robertson

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.:

WR-2016-0064

Date Testimony Prepared:

May 11, 2016

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JARROD J. ROBERTSON

Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.

CASE NO. WR-2016-0064

Jefferson City, Missouri May 2016

Staff Exhibit No. 5
Date 5/19/16 Reporter Ph/8
File No. WR-2016-0064

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	JARROD J. ROBERTSON
4	HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY1
7	WATER RATE DESIGN REVISIONS1
8	SEWER RATE DESIGN REVISIONS3
9	PUBLIC COUNSEL'S PROPOSAL4

10

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	JARROD J. ROBERTSON			
4	HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.			
5	CASE NO. WR-2016-0064			
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.			
7	A. Jarrod J. Robertson, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.			
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?			
9	A. I am a Utility Policy Analyst I with the Missouri Public Service Commission			
10	("PSC" or "Commission").			
11	Q. Are you the same Jarrod J. Robertson who has previously filed direct			
12	testimony in this proceeding?			
13	A. Yes, I am.			
14	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY			
15	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony for this case?			
16	A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to document revisions made to my			
17	previously submitted water and sewer rate design(s) and to address the proposal made by			
18	the Office of the Public Counsel ("Public Counsel" or "OPC") within the Direct Testimony			
19	of Public Counsel witness James Russo, of creating a commercial class for both water			
20	and sewer.			
21	WATER RATE DESIGN REVISIONS			
22	Q. What revisions were made to the previously submitted water rate design?			

8

12 13 14

15

21 22 23

20

24 25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33 34

A. The following five revisions were made to Staff's water rate design as a result of data not properly linked to the appropriate allocator in Staff's workpapers, therefore causing the implanted formulas to be defunct:

- Staff adjusted the previous allocation of Property Tax, by correctly linking the proper allocation formula to both the customer charge and the commodity charge. Therefore, subtracting \$148 from the commodity charge allocation, and then adding the same \$148 to the customer charge allocation; for a new customer charge allocation of \$164.
- Staff adjusted the previous allocation of State and Federal Income Taxes, by correctly linking the proper allocation formula to both the customer charge and the commodity charge. Therefore, adding \$1 to the customer charge allocation and subtracting the same \$1 from the commodity charge allocation; for a new customer charge allocation of \$1,424 and a new commodity charge allocation of \$2,891.
- Staff adjusted the previous allocation of Depreciation Expense, by correctly linking the proper allocation formula to both the customer charge and the commodity charge. Therefore, adding \$338 to the customer charge allocation, and then subtracting the same \$338 from the commodity charge allocation; for a new customer charge allocation of \$11,470 and a new commodity charge allocation of \$15,205.
- Staff adjusted the previous allocation of Interest Expense, by correctly linking the proper allocation formula to both the customer charge and the commodity charge. Therefore, adding \$145 to the customer charge, and then subtracting the same \$145 from the commodity charge; for a new customer charge allocation of \$11,829 and a new commodity charge allocation of \$24,015.
- Staff adjusted the previous allocation of Return on Rate Base, by correctly linking the proper allocation formula to both the customer charge and the commodity charge. Therefore, adding \$181 to the customer charge allocation and subtracting \$181 from the commodity charge allocation; for a new customer charge allocation of \$5,719 and a new commodity charge of \$11,611.
- Q. Are there any other revisions Staff needs to address, regarding the previously submitted water rate design?
- Staff has no other revisions to address, regarding the previously submitted A. water rate design.

		•			
1	Q.	Did the revisions made by Staff to the previously submitted water rate design			
2	have an effect on the proposed customer and/or commodity charge?				
3	A.	The revisions made to the Income Statement of the previously submitted water			
4	rate design ca	sused the proposed customer charge to increase from \$34.44 per month to \$34.72			
5	per month, ar	nd the proposed commodity charge decreased from \$6.60 per 1,000 gallons used			
6	to \$6.53 per 1	,000 gallons used.			
7	Q.	Did these changes also impact Staff's alternative phase-in rate design?			
8	A.	Yes. These changes were also made to Staff's alternative phase-in rate design.			
9	SEWER RA	TE DESIGN REVISIONS			
10	Q.	What revisions were made to the previously submitted sewer rate design?			
11	A.	Staff made the following revisions to the previously submitted Income			
12	Statement por	rtion of the sewer rate design. The following three revisions were made as a			
13	result of dolla	r amounts not allocated to the proper expense item(s):			
14 15 16 17	•	Staff adjusted the total amount allocated to Outside Services by subtracting \$90 from this item, and adding \$90 to the total amount allocated to Bank Fees. The new Outside Services total is \$929, and the new total for Bank Fees is \$2,241.			
18 19 20 21 22	•	Staff adjusted the total amount allocated to Telephone & Internet Expense by adding \$38 to this item and subtracting the same \$38 from the total amount allocated to Miscellaneous General Expenses. The new Telephone & Internet Expense total is \$361, and the new total for Miscellaneous General Expenses is \$0.			
23 24 25 26	•	Staff adjusted the total amount allocated to Employee Pensions & Benefits by subtracting \$2,104 and adding the same \$2,104 to the total amount allocated to Employer FICA Taxes. The new Employee Pensions & Benefits total is \$6,971, and the new Employer FICA Taxes total is \$2,104.			
27	Q.	Are there any other revisions Staff needs to address, regarding the previously			
28	submitted sew	ver rate design?			

# Rebuttal Testimony of Jarrod J. Robertson

1	Α.	Staff has no other revisions to address, regarding the previously submitted
2	sewer rate de	sign.
3	Q.	Did the revisions made by Staff to the previously submitted sewer rate design
4	have an effec	t on the proposed customer charge?
5	A.	The changes made by Staff to the previously submitted sewer rate design
6	had no effect	on the proposed customer charge. Since there is only a flat, monthly fee for
7	sewer servic	e, there were no allocations to be made between a customer charge and a
8	commodity ra	nte.
9	PUBLIC CO	UNSEL'S PROPOSAL
10	Q.	Did Public Counsel propose to add a new customer class for both water and
11	sewer custom	ers?
12	A.	Yes. Public Counsel has proposed to create a commercial class for both water
13	and sewer ser	vice.
14	Q.	What is Staff's position regarding OPC's proposal to create a commercial class
15	for both wate	r and sewer?
16	Α.	Staff does not oppose the proposal made by OPC to create a commercial class
17	for both water	r and sewer.
18	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
19	A.	Yes.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Request of Hillcrest Utility Company, Inc.		) )	Case No. WR-2016-0064
	AFFIDAVI	T OF JARRO	DD ROBERTSON
STATE OF MISSOURI	)	0	
COUNTY OF COLE	) s:	s.	

**COMES NOW** Jarrod Robertson and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Jarrod Robertson

**JURAT** 

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 104L day of May, 2016.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: June 28, 2019 Commission Number: 15207377

Notary Public )

# Residential Customer Bill Comparison-Water

Phase in Alternative					
Rates for 5/8" Meter					
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed		
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate		
\$3.58	\$28.65	\$1.84	\$4.26		

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

MONTHLY BILL CO	MPARIS	SON	
5,300 gallons/mon	All Carrier and recommended for		
-,			
Current Rates			
Customer Charge	\$	3,58	
Usage Charge	\$ \$ \$	9.75	
Total Bill	\$	13.33	
Proposed Rates			
Customer Charge	\$	28.65	
Usage Charge	\$	22.57	
Total Bill	\$	51.22	
<u> </u>			
INCREASES			
Customer Charge			
\$ Increase	\$2	25.07	
% Increase	70	700.31%	
Usage Charge			
\$ Increase	\$12.82		
% Increase	13	131.44%	
Total Bill			
\$ Increase		37.89	
% Increase	28	4.20%	

## **Residential Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer**

#### Phase In Alternative

#### Rates for Residential Customer

Current Base

Proposed Base

**Customer Charge** 

**Customer Charge** 

\$14.63

\$55.53

current service charge is monthly charge

#### MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

\$	14.63 - 14.63
φ	14.03
¢	55.53
	55.55
	-
Þ	55.53
-	10.90 9.55%
•	0.00 N/A
\$4	10.90
~~	9.55%
	\$ \$ \$ 27

# Residential Customer Bill Comparison-Water

The state of the s	Rates for 5/8" N	leter	0. (1990)
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate
\$3.58	\$34.72	\$1.84	\$6,53

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

MONTHLY BILL COMP	PARIS	SON
5,300 gallons/month o	ısage	
Current Batas		
Current Rates	•	0.50
Customer Charge	\$ \$ \$	3.58
Usage Charge	\$	9.75
Total Bill	\$	13.33
Drawn and Dates		
Proposed Rates	æ	24.72
Customer Charge	\$	34.72
Usage Charge	<u>\$</u>	34.61
Total Bill	\$	69.33
INCREASES		
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$:	31.14
% Increase	•	9.84%
70 IIICICUSE	00	J.U470
Usage Charge		
\$ Increase	\$2	24.86
% Increase	254.90%	
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$8	6.00
% Increase	42	0.03%

## **Residential Customer Bill Comparison-Sewer**

#### Rates for Residential Customer

**Current Base** 

Proposed Base

**Customer Charge** 

**Customer Charge** 

\$14.63

\$73.75

current service charge is monthly charge

#### MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Current Rates	¢	14.60
Customer Charge	\$	14.63
Usage Charge	<u>\$</u>	
Total Bill	\$	14.63
Proposed Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	73.75
Usage Charge	\$	-
Total Bill	\$	73.75
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	59.12
% Increase	40	4.07%
Usage Charge		
\$ Increase	\$	0.00
% Increase		N/A
Total Bill		
\$ Increase	\$	59.12
% Increase	40	4.07%