

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Request for Approval)	
of an Interconnection Agreement between)	
Chariton Valley Telecom Corporation and)	
Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership, d/b/a)	Case No. TK-2005-0485
Chariton Valley Wireless, Pursuant to)	
Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications)	
Act of 1996.)	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement Chariton Valley Telecom Corporation and Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership, d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless.

2. The Commission's authority to approve or reject this Interconnection Agreement is pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

3. The Staff concludes that the Interconnection Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and the Agreement is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. The Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends approval of the Interconnection Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

/s/ Marc D. Poston

Marc D. Poston
Senior Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
marc.poston@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 14th day of July 2005.

/s/ Marc D. Poston

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No.: **TK-2005-0485**

From: Arthur P. Kuss
Telecommunications Department

Date: July 14, 2005

William Voight 07/14/05
Utility Operations Division/Date

Marc Poston 7/14/05
General Counsel's Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

Date Filed: June 13, 2005

Staff Deadline: July 14, 2005

Party: **Chariton Valley Telecom Corporation (CVT)**

Type of Certification: ☐ None
☒ Basic Local
☐ Local (Restricted to Private Line)
☐ Local (No Restrictions)
☐ Interexchange

Party: **Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless (CVW)**

Type of Certification: ☒ None
☐ Basic Local
☐ Local (Restricted to Private Line)
☐ Local (No Restrictions)
☐ Interexchange

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☐ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☒ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

- 1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
- 2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

☒ No applications to intervene have been filed.

Intervention

Deadline: July 05, 2005

☒ The Agreement has been signed by both Parties.

☐ Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

Annual report & Assessment Information

☒ The Parties are not delinquent in filing annual reports or paying the PSC assessments.

☐ The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.

☐ No annual report. ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:

☐ There is an attachment to this Appendix indicating additional recommendations or special considerations.