1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION							
2	STATE OF MISSOURI							
3								
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS							
5	HEARING							
6	March 28, 2005							
7	Jefferson City, Missouri							
8	Volume 4							
9								
10	In the Matter of the Application of)							
11	Aquila, Inc., for Specific Confirmation) or, in the Alternative, Issuance of a)							
12	Certificate of Convenience and) Case No. Necessity Authorizing It to Construct,) EA-2005-0248							
13	Install, Own, Operate, Control, Manage,) and Maintain a Combustion Turbine							
14	Electric Generating Station and) Associated Electric Transmission)							
15	Substations in Unincorporated Areas of) Cass County, Missouri, Near the Town)							
16	of Peculiar							
17								
18								
19	LEWIS MILLS, Presiding DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.							
20	STEVE GAW CONNIE MURRAY, LINWARD "LIN" APPLING,							
21	COMMISSIONERS.							
22								
23								
24	REPORTED BY: TRACY L. THORPE, CSR, CCR							
25	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES							

1	APPEARANCES
2	PAUL A. BOUDREAU, Attorney at Law JANET E. WHEELER, Attorney at Law
3	Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 East Capitol Avenue
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-635-7166
5	FOR: Aquila, Inc.
6	ANDREW J. BAILEY, Attorney at Law J. DALE YOUNGS, Attorney at Law
7	Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin 4801 Main Street, Suite 1000
8	Kansas City, Missouri 64112 816-983-8334
9	FOR: Aquila, Inc.
10	MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law Newman, Comley & Ruth
11	601 Monroe, Suite 301 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
12	573-634-2266 FOR: Cass County, Missouri
13	CINDY DEAMS MADEIN Attornor at Lar
14	CINDY REAMS MARTIN, Attorney at Law 408 S.E. Douglas Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063
15	816-554-6444 FOR: Cass County, Missouri
16	
17	DEBRA L. MOORE, Cass County Counselor 102 E. Wall
18	Harrisonville, Missouri 64701 816-380-8206 FOR: Cass County, Missouri
19	- '
20	GERARD EFTINK, Attorney at Law 704 W. Foxwood Drive
21	Raymore, Missouri 64083 816-322-8000 FOR: stopaquila.org
22	
23	M. RUTH O'NEILL, Assistant Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
24	573-751-5559
25	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public

1		DOTTHEIM, SHEMWELI				al Counse	el
2		WILLIAMS, P.O. Box	Assoc			Counsel	
3		Jeffersor 573-751-6	n City,	Misson	ıri 65	102	
4	FOR:			ssouri	Public	Service	Commission
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
1 PROCEEDINGS
```

- 2 JUDGE MILLS: We're on the record this morning
- 3 for an evidentiary hearing in Case No. EA-2005-0248. We'll
- 4 begin by taking appearance -- entries of appearance. I'll
- 5 start in the front row and just sort of work my way back
- 6 beginning with the Staff of the Commission
- 7 MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning. Lera Shemwell,
- 8 Nathan Williams and Steven Dottheim representing the Staff of
- 9 the Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 360,
- 10 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- JUDGE MILLS: Sorry. Minor technical
- 12 difficulties.
- Ms. O'Neill, go ahead.
- MS. O'NEILL: Good morning. Ruth O'Neill on
- 15 behalf of John Coffman and the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 16 Our address is PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 17 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- Mr. Comley.
- 19 MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Let the record
- 20 reflect the entry of appearance of Mark W. Comley, Newman,
- 21 Comley and Ruth, 601 Monroe street, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 22 on behalf of intervenor, Cass County.
- 23 Also, let the record reflect the entry as well
- 24 of Debra L. Moore, Cass County counselor. Her address is 102
- 25 East Wall, Harrisonville, Missouri 64701. And also Cindy

1 Reams Martin, 408 Southeast Douglas, Lee's Summit, Missouri

- 2 64063, both of whom are with me today.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 4 Mr. Boudreau.
- 5 MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, thank you. Appearing on
- 6 behalf of applicant, Aquila, Inc., let the record reflect the
- 7 appearance of Paul A. Boudreau and Janet Wheeler with the law
- 8 firm of Brydon, Swearengen and England, 312 East Capitol,
- 9 Jefferson City, Missouri. And also I have co-counsel with me
- 10 here today.
- 11 MR. YOUNGS: Your Honor, also appearing on
- 12 behalf of Aquila, Dale Youngs and Andrew Bailey of the law
- 13 firm of Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin, 4801 Main, suite 1000,
- 14 Kansas City, Missouri.
- 15 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 16 And for stopaquila.org.
- 17 MR. EFTINK: Gerard Eftink. The address is
- 18 Post Office Box 1280, Raymore, Missouri 64083.
- 19 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 20 We're going to begin with opening statements
- 21 starting with Aquila, then the Staff of the Commission, then
- 22 Public Counsel, Cass County and then stopaquila.org.
- So, Mr. Boudreau.
- MR. BOUDREAU: Good morning. I'll keep my
- 25 statement very brief with the idea that I won't necessarily be

- 1 addressing the legal issues associated with the case, at least
- 2 not at the outset. I understand the Commission may want to
- 3 reserve some time at the conclusion of the taking of evidence
- 4 to hear oral argument or to pose legal questions to counsel of
- 5 record. So I will keep my comments directed to the
- 6 evidentiary aspect of this hearing today.
- 7 As was just made apparent, also appearing with
- 8 me here today are Dale Young -- or Dale Youngs and Andrew
- 9 Bailey with the law firm of Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin in
- 10 Kansas City who have been representing the company in the
- 11 context of the appeal now pending before the Western District
- 12 Court of Appeals. And they are here to address any -- I
- 13 suppose any issues that may come up, but primarily to deal
- 14 with the legal issues associated with that to the extent the
- 15 Commission has questions about the legal issues that are posed
- 16 by the various questions that have come up both here and in
- 17 the litigation that arose out of Cass County.
- 18 The object of our evidence -- of the company's
- 19 evidence today is really sort of two-fold, I suppose. It's to
- 20 comply with the terms of the March 18th, 2005 order regarding
- 21 March 28 hearing. In that order the Commission directed the
- 22 parties to address the testimony offered at the Harrisonville
- 23 public hearing that occurred on March 15th.
- 24 There were comments made both in support of and
- 25 opposed to the South Harper facility and the associated

- 1 Peculiar substation. The company's presentation today will be
- 2 responsive to the statements made by those individuals that
- 3 made statements opposing the project. The information will be
- 4 supplemented in much greater detail and in depth than the
- 5 written response that was filed by Aquila on Friday afternoon.
- 6 The Commission also, I think, discussed this
- 7 case on the 23rd. And it is apparent, at least to me, that
- 8 Commissioners Gaw and Davis in particular want additional
- 9 information concerning considerations associated with need for
- 10 the facility and perhaps citing considerations associated with
- 11 the placement of the facility, in addition to the facts that
- 12 were participated in the joint stipulation of facts in this
- 13 case.
- 14 Should the analysis that's employed by the
- 15 Commission be one that is the traditional analysis associated
- 16 with the certificate of convenience and necessity as opposed
- 17 to just a confirmation of existing authority under the
- 18 existing certificates, the relevant statute is 393.170.
- 19 Subsection 3 states that the standard is whether the
- 20 construction or exercise of the right, privilege or franchise
- 21 is necessary or convenient for the public service.
- 22 The cases that have been decided by the
- 23 Commission in years past tend to break that analysis into two
- 24 general categories, one of which is financial ability to build
- 25 the plant and provide the service, and the second

- 1 consideration is need, which was actually a topic that was
- 2 brought up in a number of different configurations in the
- 3 public hearing.
- 4 We don't think that financial capability is in
- 5 dispute. Nobody's really raised much of an issue whether the
- 6 company has the financial ability to build the plant. The
- 7 complaint seems to be the fact that they are building the
- 8 plant. But we'll address that issue.
- 9 There's some additional matters and there's
- 10 some overlap. Some of them deal with some of the issues I've
- 11 just mentioned. And this isn't meant to be exclusive, but I
- 12 think there was some testimony and perhaps there's going to be
- 13 some questions about the peak -- what I'll call the peaker
- 14 versus combined cycle issue and that -- and that would --
- might be more specifically the South Harper versus Aries
- 16 plant.
- 17 There were some issues brought up about due
- 18 process and public input and -- and the conduct of the company
- 19 in terms of advising the public and educating the public about
- 20 what it was doing.
- 21 Another issue was damage to some of the local
- 22 roads associated during -- with the construction phase of the
- 23 South Harper project and associated substations. There was
- 24 another category that I'll loosely characterize as
- 25 environmental and I think there's three subparts to that:

- 1 aesthetics, there was some testimony about the profile of the
- 2 plant; another subcategory might be noise, that the noise
- 3 operation at the time the peaker's actually in operation at
- 4 times of peak demand; and there were some -- there was some
- 5 testimony about emissions associated with the operation of the
- 6 plant.
- 7 There was another category that talked about
- 8 the need for and conduct of external security patrols.
- 9 We've got people to address that today. I might point out
- 10 though at the outset, before the testimony is presented, that
- 11 this issue did really come as a surprise to the company. This
- 12 is the first that the company had heard about complaints about
- 13 conduct of external security patrols.
- 14 It certainly was never brought to my attention
- 15 as counsel for the company. It wasn't brought to any -- to
- 16 the attention of the attorneys at Blackwell Sanders either.
- 17 Nobody ever mentioned this to counsel of record that there was
- 18 complaints from their clients about the conduct of the
- 19 external security controls.
- I might also point out that the Commission has
- 21 received a good deal of unsolicited e-mails from various
- 22 members of the public, some of whom were from stopaquila.org.
- 23 And if you'll look back at those e-mails that were sent in,
- 24 I'll just suggest to you that nobody mentioned the security
- 25 patrols as an issue. Those were filed, by my record,

- 1 primarily during January and February of this year.
- 2 Certainly at a time the security patrols were actually in
- 3 operation. So take that for what it's worth, but this is the
- 4 first we've heard about it.
- 5 Aquila contends that a number of the issues
- 6 that were addressed in the public hearing are, frankly,
- 7 irrelevant to the legal issues that are before the Commission
- 8 and quite -- in some cases, quite clearly beyond the
- 9 Commission's statutory authority.
- 10 Just an illustration is plant emissions, which
- 11 is reserved to the Air Pollution Control Program of the
- 12 Missouri Department of Natural Resources. And the evidence
- 13 has shown and the parties have stipulated to the fact that the
- 14 company has received its operating permit, the evidence is in
- 15 compliance with all state and federal air emissions standards.
- Now, there was some testimony about it. I
- 17 think at least one of the Commissioners has expressed an
- 18 interest in maybe finding out a little bit more. It may have
- 19 been Commissioner Davis. So we are here -- we have people
- 20 here to address those issues as well. But this does not
- 21 constitute a waiver of any of the company's arguments that any
- 22 or all of these issues are, frankly, irrelevant to the legal
- 23 issue that's before the Commission to decide in this case.
- 24 If the Commission wants to inquire, we're
- 25 pleased to have them inquire, we're pleased to provide the

- 1 information about it, but it doesn't constitute a waiver of
- 2 any argument that we may have in that regard.
- 3 We have six witnesses that I've planned to call
- 4 to the stand. They are Jon Empson, who's the senior vice
- 5 president of Aquila. He'll provide some information of just
- 6 kind of an overview response to the comments that were
- 7 received in the public hearing on the 15th. He will also
- 8 introduce the subject matter -- generally introduce the
- 9 subject matter that will be addressed by some of the other
- 10 witnesses.
- 11 Those being Jerry Boehm, who is the manager of
- 12 power services for Aquila. He's here to address resource
- 13 planning issues generally, and more specifically, as it may
- 14 relate to questions about the decision to go with a peaker as
- 15 opposed to a combined cycle and that, of course, implicates
- 16 some of the Aries questions.
- 17 We also have with us today Terry Hedrick,
- 18 generation services manager for Aquila. He'll address -- he's
- 19 the project manager of the South Harper facility site. So
- 20 he'll address a number of different topics that came up, some
- 21 of which is the construction -- or the chronology, the public
- 22 outreach, the actual construction techniques, placement of the
- 23 plant. It will cover quite a few things, but he has -- this
- 24 is the guy that knows about the construction of this plant.
- 25 And that's not to minimize the next witness,

- 1 which would be Chris Rodgers, who's vice president with Sega,
- 2 Inc. He's the contractor -- or the project manager for the
- 3 contractor hired by Aquila to assist it in connection with the
- 4 construction of this project.
- 5 We also have Block Andrews, who's director of
- 6 environmental health and safety for Aquila. He'll deal with
- 7 the environmental issues, perhaps with the exception of the --
- 8 what I call the aesthetic or profile issues which are probably
- 9 better -- be better addressed by Terry Hedrick.
- 10 We also have with us Jar-- excuse me, Marc
- 11 Jacobs, who's security account manager for Corporate Risk
- 12 Solutions who has been coordinating the security efforts
- 13 associated with the South Harper facility and the associated
- 14 substation construction, electric substation construction.
- 15 We do have other members or other employees and
- 16 associates of Aquila here to address other issues that may
- 17 come up. And they're here to give some additional detail to
- 18 the extent the Commission wants to mine a particular topic,
- 19 such as transmission-type issues. They're available.
- 20 Some of the witnesses that are here may defer
- 21 specific questions to other people simply because they have
- 22 more knowledge about it, but that's just really kind of a
- 23 contingency plan. It just depends on how -- the sort of
- 24 questions that are received not only from the Bench, but for
- 25 other counsel of record. So we do have other people available

1 here that I'd like to reserve the ability to call to the stand

- 2 as needed.
- 3 And with that, I'll conclude my opening
- 4 comments except for this, is that to the extent that the legal
- 5 issues are to be addressed, I would hope that we'd have an
- 6 opportunity perhaps at the conclusion of the evidentiary
- 7 hearing to make a closing statement about the legal issues
- 8 presented and to perhaps make oral argument and answer
- 9 questions from the Commissioners about legal issues. But with
- 10 that, I'll conclude my opening statement. Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 12 Ms. Shemwell.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning. Thank you. Lera
- 14 Shemwell representing the Staff. May it please the
- 15 Commission.
- 16 Staff has two primary witnesses, Warren Wood,
- 17 who has visited this particular site is prepared to discuss
- 18 why this site would be a reasonable site and also to discuss
- 19 remediation issues in response to some of the things that came
- 20 up at the public hearing. He can briefly discuss
- 21 environmental issues, if necessary.
- 22 We would like to make the point that we are
- 23 making no recommendations concerning rate-making, financing,
- 24 the prudence that will be reviewed in a later rate case.
- 25 David Elliott has reviewed the plans briefly

- 1 and he is able to discuss whether or not Aquila actually needs
- 2 this particular plant, the South Harper plant and why a
- 3 peaking unit might be preferable or is preferable as opposed
- 4 to a combined cycle or actual purchase of electricity on the
- 5 market.
- 6 Lena Mantle is available if the Commission
- 7 would like a discussion of the IRP process. And that IRP is
- 8 Integrated Resource Planning process in which utility
- 9 companies come in and visit with the Commission Staff
- 10 concerning their planning for their future needs.
- 11 Also, if we should get into Chapter 100
- 12 financing, and I understand that that was an issue in the
- 13 public hearing, John Kiebel is here. He is actually a Staff
- 14 member on the financing case, but he can discuss briefly the
- 15 financing and the Chapter 100 financing of the case.
- Those members are available. Again, if you
- 17 want to discuss legal perhaps at the end of the hearing, that
- 18 would be a good time to have oral argument, answer questions
- 19 concerning the legal issues. Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- Ms. O'Neill.
- MS. O'NEILL: Thank you, your Honor. Good
- 23 morning, Commissioners.
- I'm kind of here at the last minute.
- 25 Mr. Coffman, who's case this is, was unavoidably called into a

- 1 meeting regarding budget issues. And I do want to just
- 2 briefly kind of address what Public Counsel's position is in
- 3 this case, which is this. We believe that Aquila has whatever
- 4 certificates are necessary to construct any generation plant
- 5 in this certificated area, that the current certificates
- 6 provide all the regulatory authority that's needed.
- 7 However, it's a separate issue from whether or
- 8 not there's -- proper zoning authority has been granted by
- 9 Cass County. We believe that the Commission should not get
- 10 involved in a local land use dispute. We don't believe it's
- 11 within the Commission's authority nor is it good public policy
- 12 for the Commission to inject itself there.
- 13 There is a case on appeal that I believe is
- 14 ready for oral argument before the Western District Court of
- 15 Appeals in a couple of weeks regarding some zoning issues in
- 16 Cass County, and we believe that those issues are properly
- 17 being litigated in another forum.
- 18 We also don't believe that any rate-making
- 19 determination should be made as a result of this proceeding.
- 20 There are other proceedings to determine the prudence of the
- 21 construction of this facility including location, value of the
- 22 combustion turbine project. I believe that there is a case
- 23 that's open, EO-2005-0156, where some of those issues may be
- 24 litigated, and certainly in any future rate cases for Aquila
- 25 this would also be considered.

```
1 Aquila's apparently chosen to -- rather than
```

- 2 get approval from Cass County zoning authority before
- 3 undertaking construction of this project, go ahead and
- 4 starting their construction project hoping that they're going
- 5 to win on appeal or that you will give them some sort of
- 6 special certificate that would support their decision.
- 7 The fact that Aquila has chosen this course of
- 8 action should not obligate this Commission to do something
- 9 that it doesn't need to do as far as establishing a special
- 10 certificate.
- 11 We appreciate the concerns of the public that
- 12 were raised at the local public hearing, but, again, we
- 13 believe that as far as authority from the Commission, Aquila
- 14 has that authority from the zoning authority in Cass County.
- 15 They need to talk to Cass County zoning officials and this
- 16 Commission need not become involved in any dispute there.
- 17 Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- Mr. Comley.
- MR. COMLEY: Judge Mills, may it please the
- 21 Commission.
- 22 Cass County's role in this proceeding is rather
- 23 limited. At least we've perceived our task as strictly
- 24 bringing to your attention that there is the pending dispute
- 25 between Cass County and Aquila on the extent to which Cass

1 County's land use controls do limit its authority to build the

- 2 plant.
- 3 The directive that we had in the recent order
- 4 about discussing the testimony of the local public hearing
- 5 witnesses, we think that there are probably other parties that
- 6 are better in line to handle that task. As a consequence, we
- 7 will not have any focus on that today.
- 8 We did want to supply a little bit more clarity
- 9 to some of the jointly stipulated facts. In several
- 10 paragraphs there is reference to building permits that were
- 11 issued to Aquila. And if I'm quoting the paragraphs
- 12 correctly -- it's in the range of paragraph 62 through 66 --
- 13 permits were issued with special conditions.
- 14 The only witness that we would have today would
- 15 be Mr. Trague Lammers (ph.), who works in the -- his title
- 16 escapes me at the moment, but he does work in the zoning area
- 17 for Cass County and he would be able to identify the
- 18 construction permits that are referred to in the jointly
- 19 stipulated facts and the special conditions or the conditions
- 20 under which they were granted. Unless, of course, those come
- 21 in some other way, Mr. Lammers would be our only witness.
- 22 And at the conclusion of this, we would ask for
- 23 an extensive amount of time to argue our points. And I think
- 24 that's all we would have.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.

```
1 Mr. Eftink.
```

- 2 MR. EFTINK: Thank you, Judge. Good morning,
- 3 Commissioners.
- 4 I represent stopaquila.org, which is a group of
- 5 people who have the misfortune of living close to this site.
- 6 And our concern is about the pollution, the health risks,
- 7 about property values, safety and noise. We think that there
- 8 must be a hearing somewhere to address all of these very
- 9 important concerns.
- 10 We think that the primary jurisdiction for such
- 11 concerns is with the county. We don't know if we'll get a
- 12 hearing from the county because there are three or four
- 13 lawsuits going on, if you count this one, and of course we
- 14 don't know where we're going to end up.
- The judge in the circuit court case involving
- 16 zoning has issued an order which tells Aquila that it's
- 17 enjoined from putting in any kind of improvement that violates
- 18 the local zoning, but of course, Aquila put up the bond and
- 19 continued to build. And Aquila never applied for a special
- 20 use permit or a zoning permit for this particular site from
- 21 Cass County, but instead has tried this gambit of trying to
- 22 get approval without having a hearing on those issues.
- 23 If we have a hearing before the Commission
- 24 today and tomorrow and however long it takes that addresses
- 25 these issues, we still think the best place and the proper

- 1 place for those particular concerns to be addressed is before
- 2 the county planning and zoning. So if we put on evidence,
- 3 that doesn't mean that we're waiving our argument, it's just
- 4 that we're put in a quandary here. This evidence needs to be
- 5 put on at some time.
- 6 So we would point out, for example, that the
- 7 Missouri Department of Natural Resources had its hearing and
- 8 told us that they had no concern, they had no jurisdiction
- 9 over noise. Nobody has addressed the question of noise
- 10 because the Department of Natural Resources said that's not
- 11 its job.
- 12 The Department of Natural Resources also did
- 13 not address location. Now, the Department of Natural
- 14 Resources has to enforce a standard across the entire state.
- 15 So the same standard applies in the industrial areas in Kansas
- 16 City and St. Louis and other places where there are power
- 17 plants and coal-burning plants. And the Department of Natural
- 18 Resources is applying that same standard to this residential
- 19 area. That's not appropriate.
- 20 We'd like to present our evidence to the county
- 21 that if you're going to build a power plant that emits
- 22 pollutants, it certainly should not be right next to a
- 23 residential neighborhood.
- 24 In the materials submitted in conjunction with
- 25 the application to the Department of Natural Resources, you'll

```
1 see that the potential for this three-turbine power plant is
```

- 2 to emit over 1,000 tons a year of nitrous oxide, about
- 3 154 tons a year of particulate matter and several tons of
- 4 other pollutants, including formaldehyde and benzene.
- 5 And from looking at the drawings, it appears
- 6 that Aquila is putting in a pad to double the size of this
- 7 power plant. It looks to us like they want to put in three
- 8 more turbines, which would double the potential output.
- 9 Now, Aquila will probably have somebody that
- 10 that will say that they're limited in hours of operation so
- 11 the potential tons of pollutants will be reduced to something
- 12 in the order of about 550 tons of pollutant a year coming out
- 13 of the power plants, which is still in my way of thinking,
- 14 500 tons too much for a residential neighborhood.
- 15 We'd like to present studies that are in the
- 16 Federal Register, which is a document that's introduced --
- 17 according to federal law, can be introduced as evidence in any
- 18 court proceeding in the country that shows that the EPA has
- 19 been studying particulate matter, particulate matter 10 and
- 20 particulate matter 2.5 and has been studying it for several
- 21 years. And last year announced that new regulations needed to
- 22 be put into effect, which have not yet been implemented,
- 23 because there is no safe level for particulate matter. And
- 24 the EPA has also said there is no safe level for ozone, which
- 25 is caused or contributed to by nitrous oxide.

```
1 So there are legitimate health concerns.
```

- 2 That's probably the number one issue for my people. There is
- 3 a concern for safety with high-line wires carrying high
- 4 voltage. There is obviously a concern for the property values
- 5 and there is a concern about the high level of noise.
- 6 So as I said, we think the county has primary
- 7 jurisdiction other these things and we think ultimately the
- 8 Court of Appeals will kick it back and say that that's the
- 9 case, that the county has to have a hearing if Aquila applies.
- 10 If Aquila doesn't apply, then the injunction is in place to
- 11 stop it from building.
- 12 Now, as we listen to this, we think you should
- 13 note that there is a big hole in Aquila's logic in its legal
- 14 argument. This will just take a minute, but I think you ought
- 15 to be thinking about this. Aquila has said that there's no
- 16 regulation by the county of power plants. That's not true.
- 17 If you look at 393.170 and 393.190 and the case law that
- 18 construes it, before you build an electric plant, you have to
- 19 get a franchise from the county.
- 20 393.190 says that the Public Service Commission
- 21 cannot enlarge or add to what that franchise provides. So we
- 22 go back to what the franchise from Cass County says. The
- 23 franchise given by Cass County to Aquila or to its predecessor
- 24 only gives Aquila the power to put in transmission lines and
- 25 poles. Cass County never gave authority to Aquila to put in a

1 power plant. In addition to that, Cass County has got the

- 2 zoning power over Aquila.
- 3 What Aquila wants is an order from the
- 4 Commission or from some court that says they don't have to
- 5 comply with the county, they can build a power plant anywhere
- 6 they want to. And that's a dangerous road to go down because
- 7 if you do that for Aquila, then you've got to do that for
- 8 every utility in the state of Missouri. And pretty soon,
- 9 we'll have the Aquila rule where everybody -- all the
- 10 utilities will be building power plants next to schools and
- 11 churches and day care centers, anywhere they want if you let
- 12 Aquila get away with this.
- 13 But back to 393.170 and 393.190 and the cases
- 14 that discuss that, in particular, 393.190 says the Commission,
- 15 the Public Service Commission, cannot enlarge or add to that
- 16 franchise. So the big hole in Aquila's case is that the
- 17 Public Service Commission cannot give it what it wants to get
- 18 from the Public Service Commission. The Public Service
- 19 Commission cannot enlarge upon that franchise from Cass
- 20 County.
- 21 Aquila needs to go back to Cass County and
- 22 straighten that out. It needs to ask for permission in the
- 23 form of a franchise to build a power plant. And according to
- 24 the case law and the regulations, Aquila also has to show to
- 25 this Commission that it has complied with all the local

- 1 requirements.
- 2 For the most part though, we don't really know
- 3 what evidence is going to come in today so it's hard for us to
- 4 say more right now. We'll just have to respond as things come
- 5 up. But I just wanted to point out our concerns and a couple
- 6 of things that I thought the Commission should pay particular
- 7 attention to. Thank you very much.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 9 Okay. That concludes opening statements.
- 10 Mr. Boudreau, let's move on with your first witness.
- 11 MR. BOUDREAU: Very good. I'd like to call Jon
- 12 Empson to the stand, please.
- 13 (Witness sworn.)
- JUDGE MILLS: Go ahead, Mr. Boudreau. Don't
- 15 mind the man behind the curtain.
- 16 JON EMPSON testified as follows:
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 18 Q. Would you state your name for the record,
- 19 please, sir?
- 20 A. My name is Jon R. Empson.
- 21 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 22 A. I'm employed by Aquila, Inc. as senior vice
- 23 president responsible for the regulated operations.
- Q. Can you briefly describe for the Commission the
- 25 nature of your responsibilities?

- 1 A. I have overall responsibilities for our
- 2 10 utility operating divisions that operate in seven states.
- 3 I also have responsibility for the regulatory legislative IT
- 4 and call center functions within Aquila.
- 5 Q. Could you also give a brief background on your
- 6 educational, professional background?
- 7 A. I've been employed by Aquila, Inc. for about
- 8 19 years. I have a bachelors degree in economics from Carlton
- 9 College and a masters degree in business administration from
- 10 the University Nebraska-Omaha. Prior to being with Aquila,
- 11 Inc., I was with a predecessor company for about 7 years. And
- 12 then prior to that, I was responsible for economic development
- 13 programs in the City of Omaha for about 8 years.
- 14 Q. Very good. Thank you.
- 15 What is the purpose of your testimony today?
- 16 A. The basic purpose of my testimony is to respond
- 17 to some of the questions that were raised during the public
- 18 hearing that was held earlier this month. We made a formal
- 19 filing with the Commission late Friday afternoon and knowing
- 20 that it was late, wanted to make sure that we could hit the
- 21 highlights of that and then indicate what other specific
- 22 witnesses we would have that could get into more detail.
- Q. Very good.
- 24 Are you familiar with the energy center being
- 25 constructed at South Harper Road in Cass County?

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Have you visited that location?
- 3 A. Yes, I have. I went to the site before we
- 4 acquired it, drove the neighborhood. And I also was down
- 5 there right before the public hearing just touring the site
- 6 and talking to the construction workers.
- 7 Q. Okay. Were you present at the public hearing
- 8 in Harrisonville on March 15th?
- 9 A. Yes, I was.
- 10 Q. Did you listen to the statements of those who
- 11 spoke in opposition to the South Harper energy station?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- 13 Q. And can you summarize your views about the
- 14 principal topics that were brought up at that public hearing?
- 15 A. Basically, I just confirm in your opening
- 16 comments the type of issues that were raised. The first was
- 17 about the need for the power and whether or not we had to be
- 18 building a peaking facility. Second was about the site
- 19 itself, whether it would accommodate this type of facility.
- 20 And then the other issues about security, road damage,
- 21 environmental, our ability to finance this facility were the
- 22 primary ones that were raised.
- Q. Okay. Were there also some questions about
- 24 what I'll characterize as the integrity or honesty of Aquila
- 25 in this process?

- 1 A. Yes, there were.
- 2 Q. You mentioned, I believe earlier in your
- 3 testimony, that the company caused to be filed some written
- 4 responses on Friday afternoon of last week; is that correct?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Was that written response prepared by you or
- 7 under your direct supervision?
- 8 A. Yes, it was. It was under my direct
- 9 supervision.
- 10 Q. Okay. Have you relied on other persons in the
- 11 company or elsewhere having first-hand knowledge to acquire
- 12 information and analysis about the various topics that were
- 13 discussed at the public hearing?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- 15 Q. Have you relied on other persons having
- 16 first-hand knowledge for support documentation that is
- 17 attached to that document as Exhibits 1 through 7?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Is that a routine practice that you employ in
- 20 your role as vice president of regulated operations at Aquila?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. So you have to rely on people that report to
- 23 you to get the information necessary?
- 24 A. Yes. But I always review it and have
- 25 discussions with them to make sure I have understanding of

1 what's being filed because I have to file the attestation

- 2 along with the filing.
- Q. Very good.
- 4 Can you name some of the people that assisted
- 5 you in the preparation of that or at least provided you with
- 6 information in the preparation of that document?
- 7 A. Yes. Terry Hedrick, who will be a witness
- 8 later today; Chris Rodgers with Sega, who will be a witness;
- 9 Block Andrews, who will also be a witness today; Denny
- 10 Williams, who is responsible for overall regulatory
- 11 responsibilities in Missouri helped provide information; we
- 12 also have Marc Jacobs on the security side that will provide
- 13 information later today.
- Q. Very good.
- 15 MR. BOUDREAU: I'd like to have a document
- 16 marked as an exhibit.
- 17 JUDGE MILLS: We'll mark it as Exhibit 1.
- 18 Actually, I believe we probably have had
- 19 exhibits marked at the local public hearing so just so we
- 20 don't have our numbers confused, I'm going to start with
- 21 No. 101 for this exhibit and we'll go on from there.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 101 was marked for
- 23 identification.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: May I approach the witness,
- 25 please?

- 1 JUDGE MILLS: Yes, you may.
- 2 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 3 Q. Mr. Empson, I've handed you what's been marked
- 4 for identification as Exhibit 101, and ask you if you
- 5 recognize that document?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. Is that the document that you and I just
- 8 discussed in terms of a written response that was filed on
- 9 this past Friday afternoon?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Is that a true and correct copy of that
- 12 document and associated attachments?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. BOUDREAU: I have one other document I need
- 15 to be marked.
- JUDGE MILLS: We'll mark this as 102.
- 17 (Exhibit No. 102 was marked for
- 18 identification.)
- 19 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 20 Q. Mr. Empson, I've also handed you what's been
- 21 marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 102. Do you
- 22 recognize that document?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is that document, for the record?
- 25 A. This is the verification that I signed to be

1 included with the filing that was made last Friday. And I was

- 2 out of town and had it faxed in and this is the original.
- 3 Q. That's the original verification that's
- 4 attached to the document that was filed last week?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- Q. Very good.
- 7 MR. BOUDREAU: With that, I'd offer Exhibits
- 8 101 and 102 into the record, please.
- 9 JUDGE MILLS: Are there any objections to the
- 10 admission of 101?
- 11 MR. COMLEY: Can I take a moment, Judge? Just
- 12 a moment.
- JUDGE MILLS: Certainly.
- 14 MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, I'd like to voir dire
- 15 the witness a moment.
- JUDGE MILLS: Okay. Please go ahead.
- 17 VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS BY MR. COMLEY:
- 18 Q. Mr. Empson, could you look at Exhibit 7 to your
- 19 101? First, I haven't had the opportunity to review in full
- 20 all of the material in Exhibit 101, but can you explain the
- 21 significance of Exhibit 7 and why you attached it?
- 22 A. Yes, I can. There was a question that was
- 23 raised at the public hearing about the road that was serving
- 24 the potential substation site that was adjacent to the
- 25 Bockelman's property and the claim made that that was a

- 1 private road. This is being provided to document that at a
- 2 meeting of the Commission itself, the Cass County Commission,
- 3 on February 15th, 2001 when they discussed the 203rd Street
- 4 Peculiar Drive West and whether or not it was abandoned, it is
- 5 saying here that this portion -- the question was, was it
- 6 abandoned or not, and Cass County has not vacated its
- 7 right-of-way.
- 8 So that was one of the basis when we moved
- 9 forward with the use of that access to the land we were buying
- 10 from the substation. So we just put that into the record that
- 11 there was a -- an indication at this time that the road had
- 12 not been abandoned by Cass County. That's in the No. 3, I'm
- 13 sorry, in that second paragraph.
- 14 Q. The one that says, Discussed 203rd Street
- 15 Peculiar Drive West?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 MR. COMLEY: Judge, the minutes of the County
- 18 Commission are subject to certification requirements in the
- 19 record. And I'm going to suggest that Mr. Empson is not
- 20 qualified to state the accuracy the extent to which these are
- 21 true and accurate copies of those minutes or the official
- 22 minutes. I'd reserve an objection to Exhibit No. 7 as to
- 23 Exhibit 101 on grounds that there's been no foundation laid
- 24 for it to be admitted.
- 25 JUDGE MILLS: Mr. Boudreau, do you have a

- 1 response?
- 2 MR. BOUDREAU: My response is that in making
- 3 decisions of this sort this is -- first of all, it's
- 4 responsive to a statement that was made by Mr. Bockelman about
- 5 a dispute over access to the private lane.
- 6 The document that's been provided or the -- the
- 7 entire document that's been provided by Aquila last Friday is
- 8 responsive to those comments that were allowed into the
- 9 record. This document has been offered to show that there was
- 10 a legitimate basis for the statement in the pleading that has
- 11 been filed or the comment that has been filed that Aquila had
- 12 reasonable belief that based on representations from county
- 13 officials, that this was a public right-of-way, that they had
- 14 not abandoned it. It is being offered for that purpose.
- 15 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. I'll tell you what, I'm
- 16 going to reserve ruling on this portion of this particular
- exhibit, that being Exhibit 7 to Exhibit 101 until the end of
- 18 the hearing.
- 19 So, Mr. Comley, you'll have the opportunity to
- 20 investigate further to see if indeed this is an inaccurate
- 21 representation of the actions of the County Commission. If
- 22 indeed it proves to be inaccurate, then I will -- well,
- 23 probably, I won't say what I'm going to rule at that point,
- 24 but if it proves to be inaccurate, I will probably sustain
- 25 your objection. If it proves to be accurate, I will probably

- 1 deny it. I'll reserve ruling until that point.
- Okay. Let's move onto 102, which is the
- 3 verification. Are there any objections to the admission of
- 4 Exhibit 102?
- 5 Hearing none, it will be admitted.
- 6 (Exhibit No. 102 was received into evidence.)
- 7 MR. BOUDREAU: Just so that I'm clear, the
- 8 Bench has reserved ruling on Exhibit 7, but the balance of the
- 9 document is in or has the Bench reserved ruling on the entire
- 10 document?
- 11 JUDGE MILLS: I'll admit the balance of the
- 12 document and reserve ruling specifically on Exhibit 7 to
- 13 Exhibit 101.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 101 was received into evidence
- 15 with the exception of Exhibit No. 7 in Exhibit No. 101.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: Very good. Thank you.
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 18 Q. With respect to the written response that we
- 19 have been discussing, does that contain a full and
- 20 comprehensive response to all the issues that were raised at
- 21 the public hearing?
- 22 A. I think this represents a good summary of what
- 23 was represented at the hearing. What we have today is
- 24 witnesses that can delve into much more detail of each one of
- 25 these issues so the Commissioners can get complete

- 1 understanding of each one of those facts.
- 2 Q. Very good.
- What I'd like to do is to ask you to address
- 4 today your views on the general topics that were raised at the
- 5 public hearing. And I'd ask you to -- I'd ask you to start
- 6 with the concept of the statements that a number of the public
- 7 speakers stated to the effect that there was no need for this
- 8 peaking facility. And I understand that deals on a number of
- 9 different levels, but if you would proceed.
- 10 A. Well, let me basically just kind of refer
- 11 everybody to the document itself. I think as background
- 12 information, we currently serve about 295,000 customers in the
- 13 state of Missouri. On a normal annual basis we see customer
- 14 growth of about 3.2 percent a year. In this area of Cass
- 15 County, it's growing about 5.4 percent a year. We have
- 16 information in the filings that shows that it is one of our
- 17 more rapidly growing parts of our system in Cass County.
- 18 And as that system grows and you add more
- 19 residential customers, it does require you to develop some
- 20 generation requirements that would meet that peak demand put
- 21 on by residential customers on that hot day. So this area of
- 22 our system is what they would classify as a load center or a
- 23 growth center. And Mr. Terry Hedrick will address more
- 24 information about that when he is called to the witness stand.
- 25 But it was also important for this site, it

- 1 does cross a transmission line that we had in existence, a
- 2 69 kV transmission line and it also has two different natural
- 3 gas interstate pipelines right on the site, Southern Star and
- 4 just a few miles south of the site we do have Panhandle
- 5 Eastern.
- 6 And that's a really important consideration for
- 7 us as we're starting to look for what is an appropriate site
- 8 to build a peaking facility. You want to have ready access to
- 9 attach to the transmission system and also have the fuel
- 10 necessary. And by having two different natural gas suppliers,
- 11 it gives us the ability to provide a competition on the lowest
- 12 cost possible.
- 13 It also had, as part of the infrastructure, a
- 14 waterline. And I think we heard testimony at the public
- 15 hearing the importance of the -- the role not only for us for
- 16 water for the facility, but more importantly, what we're doing
- 17 to help establish 20 more fire hydrants in that area to help
- 18 support the area around the City of Peculiar and in Cass
- 19 County.
- We also on this site back in July we were
- 21 approached by the mayor of the City of Peculiar with an
- 22 interest in having us come into this location. So it was an
- 23 important consideration for us that we had some support not
- 24 only from the mayor, but from the Board of Aldermen, from the
- 25 water district and several other entities within the city.

- 1 And then the land site itself, there's been a
- 2 lot of discussion about this land site. It is a 74-acre land
- 3 site. If I might for a minute --
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. -- stand and just refer to an overall aerial.
- 6 Q. Please. And let me do this. There were
- 7 some -- there were some comments, I believe, in the opening
- 8 statement of the attorney for stopaquila.org that this is
- 9 largely being built in a residential neighborhood. Do you
- 10 have a photograph that might illustrate the placement of the
- 11 plant?
- 12 A. Yes, I do. And what -- what will happen when
- 13 Mr. Hedrick takes the stand, he'll have a series of photos
- 14 that have been taken from all four directions. What I want to
- 15 do today is just to give a sense, since maybe several of the
- 16 Commissioners have an opportunity -- have not had an
- 17 opportunity to visit the site, to describe the site, what
- 18 we're doing at the site with more detail to follow from
- 19 Mr. Hedrick.
- Q. Very good. If you'd place that in view of the
- 21 Commissioners.
- 22 A. I apologize. Can I place it in view of the
- 23 Commissioners with the general audience not being able to see
- 24 it?
- 25 Q. Probably more important for the Commissioners

- 1 at this point.
- 2 A. What I'll do is just kind of hold it up right
- 3 now. And you will get an aerial like this in your packet.
- 4 Q. Now, you said you had visited the scene at the
- 5 South Harper peaking facility?
- 6 A. Correct. This would be the site itself.
- 7 Q. Is that a photograph of that location?
- 8 A. It is. This photograph -- this is 243rd Street
- 9 coming in and Harper Road. You can see the construction
- 10 activity that's going on right here (indicating). Essentially
- 11 if you were to look at what our site is, we do own 74 acres
- 12 that goes up the road, across 241st, down this area and
- 13 across. This is the compressor station that's already there,
- 14 the Southern Star compressor station. This is the gentleman,
- 15 Mr. Bremer, that sold us the land. This is also a relative of
- 16 Mr. Bremer's that lives right across the street from the land
- 17 (indicating).
- 18 Our site construction is really going on this
- 19 lower part of 30 acres, but the actual footprint -- and it's a
- 20 little deceiving because we have a lot of construction
- 21 activity -- the footprint of the substation itself is just
- 22 about 8 acres. The footprint of the turbines where they will
- 23 be situated is again about 8 acres.
- 24 Then we'll have -- this is a staging area right
- 25 now for the construction activity, but north of this site,

```
1 over 40 acres then will be maintained as a buffer for all the
```

- 2 residents that live north of that land. And that will not be
- 3 developed into any use but an agricultural buffer between
- 4 where our facility is.
- 5 It's hard to tell from this site, but Terry
- 6 again -- Mr. Hedrick will talk about the construction of this
- 7 site. As you tour the facility itself, it has been cut into
- 8 the side of a hill, so it reduces it -- it's down about
- 9 14 feet from the upper end of this site. Then we're putting
- 10 berms -- and you can see the berms here (indicating) -- on top
- 11 of that. So you'll have another 12 to 14 foot of berm area
- 12 and then trees on top of that berm. So we're doing everything
- 13 that we can to try to shelter the view from the north.
- On the south side, when I was down on the plant
- 15 touring, Tom Miller, the local construction guy, was talking
- 16 about they had some excess dirt. So what he was doing, as you
- 17 can see here, he's also building a berm to the south part of
- 18 the plant. So from the south view, even though there really
- 19 isn't much development as you can see here in the south part,
- 20 you will have a berm there and then we're cutting on the east
- 21 side into the bank and also be providing some berm there.
- 22 So we're doing everything that we can in order
- 23 to really make this something that will be not as -- kind of
- 24 non-obtrusive in the area. So that -- and there's a detailed
- 25 site aerial like this that will be given to you in a little

- 1 while in the book, but that just gives you an overall
- 2 perspective of the land location that we're going on.
- 3 Q. And you mentioned that Mr. Hedrick will have
- 4 much more detail in terms of photographs and a number of
- 5 photographs to illustrate that point?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. So that -- that kind of covers just a general
- 9 description of the -- the land site. The next part of our
- 10 response basically talks about some of the outreach that we
- 11 did. And, again, Terry will get into more detail about the
- 12 meetings, meeting with the chamber and --
- 13 Q. That's Mr. Hedrick? I apologize.
- 14 A. Mr. Hedrick will give more detail about that.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. On page 8 of our filing, No. 15, area 15, it
- 17 talks about our relationship with the City of Peculiar. A
- 18 witness at the hearing mentioned that there were a lot of
- 19 e-mails going back and forth between our company and the mayor
- 20 and the City of Peculiar. I mean, this was a natural type of
- 21 communication.
- 22 They did approach us in July to work with us on
- 23 site location. They're also working with us on the
- 24 Chapter 100 bond financing. And so there was a whole
- 25 series -- and we're more than willing to provide all of the

- 1 e-mails that were developed as part of that communication as
- 2 part of the record if the -- they were not provided after the
- 3 hearing.
- 4 In the next section we talk about the noise and
- 5 plant mitigation efforts. Again, we'll provide some detailed
- 6 testimony by Block Andrews on what the noise level is at the
- 7 edge of the site, some of the things that we have done.
- 8 A comment was made at the public hearing that
- 9 they initially thought the stacks were only going to be about
- 10 50 feet tall and now they're 70 feet tall. The reason that we
- 11 have gone to a 70-foot stack is because we have put some noise
- 12 mitigation factors into the stacks themselves at a cost about
- 13 \$1.2 million.
- But it's also important as I describe this site
- 15 location, when I talk about it cutting into the side of a hill
- 16 and the berms and the trees, that the real visible part of
- 17 that stack will be less than that as you view it from the
- 18 north. So it will not be a 70-foot stack that will be up in
- 19 the air.
- The next section talks about security. As
- 21 Mr. Boudreau mentioned in his opening comments, this was a
- 22 surprise to us at the public hearing. We had not received any
- 23 complaints. I had personally not received any complaints nor
- 24 had anybody in my organization received complaints. We have
- 25 gone out and we have tried to research whether some things did

- 1 happen. And we were not able to document some of the
- 2 accusations that were made at the public hearing.
- But it's -- I think it's important for us --
- 4 and there was an incident that occurred early on the
- 5 construction when we had minimal supervision there, minimal
- 6 security, is that we did have a vehicle trespass onto our site
- 7 and when the vehicle was leaving, it almost hit one of our
- 8 workers. And when that incident was reported to me, I did ask
- 9 the project manager, Mr. Hedrick, to increase the security.
- 10 We have an obligation to the workers there to
- 11 make sure they're in a safe and protected environment. We
- 12 have an obligation to our customers to make sure that the
- 13 plant we're putting in place is not damaged. I think we have
- 14 an obligation to the general public that they would not come
- 15 into this site and somehow be hurt as they were into the site.
- 16 So we did ask that we have some security put at the site.
- 17 We also were building a transmission line from
- 18 this site up to the substation, so as identified in -- on
- 19 page 12, we did have a lot of external inspections going on of
- 20 the various locations along our transmission site going from
- 21 South Harper up to the substation up north.
- 22 And they did have a route there. That route
- 23 did require them on four different occasions to do a
- 24 turnaround. At that time there might have been some
- 25 inadvertent headlights flashing on homes, but it was clearly

- 1 not our intent nor was it the instruction of any of management
- 2 to do anything in any way that would be intimidating to the
- 3 residents. We have now -- given that the transmission is
- 4 basically constructed, we have ended those external as a
- 5 result of the comments that were made on March 19th.
- The section on page 13 talking about
- 7 degradation and use of local roads, we clearly acknowledge
- 8 that when you have heavy hauls going on the roads, there can
- 9 be some damage. We are working very closely with Mr. Leeper,
- 10 who is the superintendent of roads and bridges for Cass County
- 11 to make sure we repair that -- any damage.
- 12 But it's also important, and what was not
- 13 stated at the public hearing, is to understand there's a rock
- 14 quarry just a little bit north and west of this property. And
- 15 that rock quarry has been using the road and has been an issue
- 16 within Cass County and the City of Peculiar for some time.
- 17 And it is important -- we cannot determine at
- 18 this time if the damage is all related or somewhat related to
- 19 what our trucks are doing or it's the damage created by the
- 20 rock quarry. And this was also brought out in the court
- 21 proceeding before Cass County where Mr. Leeper said he could
- 22 not determine whether or not the damage was done by -- whose
- 23 trucks were causing the damage. But we are committed to
- 24 repair any damage that would be done and have posted a bond to
- 25 make sure that's going to happen.

```
1 Q. You mentioned Mr. Leeper. Who is Mr. Leeper?
```

- 2 A. Mr. Leeper, as indicated on page 13, he is the
- 3 superintendent of roads and bridges for Cass County.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 A. Another aspect of this, Mr. Hedrick brought it
- 6 to my attention when I was touring. The concern about
- 7 highway -- of the street coming into the plant 243rd Street.
- 8 And he's been working with the county on a plan where they
- 9 provide some of the grading and some of the subsurface support
- 10 that we will, in fact, now pave that road coming into the
- 11 facility and then the road right into our facility. And we
- 12 believe that again will be an added benefit to that
- 13 neighborhood, but also will cut down on any future dust or
- 14 other issues that might be there.
- On page 14, just to address again
- 16 Mr. Dearhoff's concern about the taxes, that's a separate
- 17 hearing that will take place dealing with this project. But
- 18 on page 15, when Mr. Dearhoff raised those concerns, we did go
- 19 to the Missouri State Tax Commission and ask them to clarify
- 20 for us just how the calculation was made for Chapter 100
- 21 bonds. And whether it's spread over the pole miles, if you're
- 22 not Chapter 100, what those pole miles consist of.
- 23 And Mr. Doerhoff is confused in that I think he
- 24 testified at the hearing that it was spread over the pole
- 25 miles within Cass County if you do not build -- or do not use

- 1 Chapter 100. Instead, it's over our entire surface territory.
- 2 And that factor alone would reduce what he claimed I believe
- 3 was \$24,000 benefit by a factor of 10 if you go through the
- 4 calculation.
- 5 And we talk about -- the next question on the
- 6 financial difficulties, obviously we are moving very quickly
- 7 with the construction of this facility. We have expended the
- 8 majority of the dollars that are required to get it built. We
- 9 intend to have this plant ready to test fire by the end of
- 10 June, to start producing electricity by the middle of July.
- 11 So there has been no issue about our ability to finance this
- 12 project and to make sure it could become operational in time
- 13 to meet our needs.
- 14 And I think the final -- the issue, I'm sorry,
- 15 on page 17 was dealing with the Knight Road. And there was
- 16 some confusion. We believed and were informed by the county
- 17 that this still had not been a vacated road. We probably took
- 18 a little aggressive posturing on that by trying to make sure
- 19 that we could have access on what we believed was a public
- 20 road to service the substation site across from the
- 21 Bockelman's property.
- 22 We ended up buying the property from
- 23 Mr. Efferts and there was a letter then that was raised -- I
- 24 think the Commission expressed some concern that perhaps the
- 25 letter was a little intimidating for the landowner. But it

- 1 was intended to go to his lawyer. We believed that we wanted
- 2 to express our belief anyway that we had the right to use the
- 3 road. With the resistance that developed, we vacated that and
- 4 we went ahead and built a parallel road coming into our
- 5 property.
- 6 On the air emissions and health concerns,
- 7 really I'll leave that up to Block Andrews. He has some
- 8 detailed information about the -- the permit. Again, we do
- 9 have the permit from the state of Missouri, but he also has
- 10 some information just about the general environment that
- 11 exists there today as far as air quality that I think would be
- 12 very enlightening for the Commissioners to hear.
- 13 So that's kind of a brief overview of our
- 14 filing.
- 15 Q. Did you have any reaction -- I think there was
- 16 some comment about challenging the integrity or honesty of
- 17 Aguila throughout this process. Do you recall those comments?
- 18 A. I do. And I think --
- 19 Q. What was your reaction to that?
- 20 A. Well, disappointed I guess. We did make an
- 21 effort when we started the process in July, to work with the
- 22 City of Peculiar to make it known that we were interested in
- 23 this site by having a series of meetings, issuing a press
- 24 release before we advanced to start construction.
- 25 We did not make the decision to really start

- 1 construction until we received the air permit. Even though we
- 2 did acquire the land finally about October 5th, we only did it
- 3 after we had received permission from the county as far as the
- 4 grading that was required. And we did that to make sure that
- 5 we could meet our in-service date of this summer.
- 6 So there were a series of meetings. And,
- 7 again, Mr. Hedrick will go into detail about the number of
- 8 meetings, the people, the tours we had people go out on
- 9 Greenwood.
- 10 Our outreach at efforts at times were not
- 11 accepted by the people, but we did find -- and I think it was
- 12 a good example is when we built this -- we were going to build
- 13 the substation that Mr. Bockelman referred to, that we sat
- 14 down with the residents of Grand Oaks, which is a neighborhood
- 15 just right north and northwest and northeast of this site and
- 16 we went to a homeowner's meeting, explained to them what we
- 17 were doing. They were very willing to work with us on what
- 18 modifications might be made to that site. And our people did
- 19 make modifications then on how it was aligned, where it was
- 20 located and commitments that we would make.
- So we felt that if you have people that are
- 22 willing to sit down and talk about what could be done for
- 23 something that's important to serve our customers, that we
- 24 could come up with some reasonable solutions.
- 25 Q. Okay. Touching on one item that was mentioned

- 1 by counsel for Staff and let me ask you, in your view is the
- 2 Commission being asked to make any rate-making determination
- 3 or prudence determination with respect to the South Harper
- 4 facility or the associated substations in the context of this
- 5 case?
- A. No, they are not.
- 7 MR. BOUDREAU: I have no further questions of
- 8 this witness at this time. I'll tender him for
- 9 cross-examination.
- 10 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you. We'll do
- 11 cross-examination. Just for those of you who may not be
- 12 familiar with the practice here, we're going to do
- 13 cross-examination from opposing counsel, then we'll do
- 14 questions from the Bench. Then I'll allow the opportunity for
- 15 further cross-examination limited solely to topics raised by
- 16 questions from the Bench. And then we'll have the opportunity
- 17 for redirect examination on all the previous questions.
- So cross-examination first to Staff,
- 19 Ms. Shemwell.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SHEMWELL:
- 22 Q. Mr. Empson, you went through some of the buffer
- 23 areas. Would you point out where that 40-acre agricultural
- 24 buffer is for those of us who couldn't see it?
- 25 A. Sure. I'm sorry. This is our plant -- we own

- 1 this land right here (indicating) bound by that. So this
- 2 40 acres is right in this area here (indicating). This is
- 3 Mr. Bremer's home, he is going to stay living there
- 4 (indicating). So this is the land that we'll maintain as
- 5 natural and this is the berm that we're building right here
- 6 that would help shelter, again, that -- the plant site from
- 7 the homes to the north (indicating).
- 8 Q. And how tall is that berm?
- 9 A. The berm will be probably about 14 feet tall
- 10 and have trees on top of the berm that will be 10- to 12-foot
- 11 trees.
- 12 Q. Evergreens?
- 13 A. You'll have to ask Mr. Hedrick exactly what
- 14 they do use in that situation, but we will do our best to do
- 15 something that will give them some shelter.
- Q. And that 40 acres, what are going to put in
- 17 there?
- 18 A. Absolutely nothing. It will just remain as
- 19 agricultural land.
- 20 Q. So you're not going to be planting corn? It's
- 21 just going to be open land?
- 22 A. Again, I'll defer to Mr. Hedrick on the
- 23 specific use, if they have some agreement with Mr. Bremer, but
- 24 I'm not aware of that.
- 25 Q. You have some sort of buffer on all sides of

- 1 the plant?
- 2 A. Well, as you can see by the aerial, there is a
- 3 pretty heavy tree line on this side (indicating) of the
- 4 facility itself on the lower part of that and then it is open
- 5 on this side (indicating). And, again, Mr. Hedrick might
- 6 address some of the things he's doing to this those two
- 7 landowners over there, because we are in conversations with
- 8 them for some possible fencing and tree planting and stuff to
- 9 also provide a buffer.
- 10 Q. Does the street that's shown there in white
- 11 going from the lower right to the upper left, is that running
- 12 from the east on the right to the west on the left?
- 13 A. This street (indicating)?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. I'm sorry. This is going north.
- 16 MS. SHEMWELL: Okay. Thank you. That's all I
- 17 have.
- 18 THE WITNESS: This is coming in (indicating).
- 19 JUDGE MILLS: Ms. O'Neill?
- MS. O'NEILL: No questions.
- JUDGE MILLS: Mr. Comley?
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
- Q. Mr. Empson, I have a few questions about the
- 24 roads. And if you can, can you direct me to the page where
- 25 you discuss this in Exhibit 101?

- 1 A. It's on page 13. It's entitled Degradation and
- 2 Use of Local Roads, paragraph No. 28.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 At the bottom of that paragraph you're saying,
- 5 Additionally, Aquila is working on an agreement with Cass
- 6 County that would pave 243rd Street and the property frontage
- 7 of South Harper Road?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Do you know the extent to which that agreement
- 10 has been formed?
- 11 A. In the conversation I had with Mr. Hedrick,
- 12 which was the day of the public hearing, that they had come
- 13 to -- we had just gotten the cost estimates from the county on
- 14 what our share of that would be and he asked for authorization
- 15 to move forward with that proposal and I gave him
- 16 authorization at that point in time to do so. So I'm not sure
- 17 if they've finalized any documents, but he has the authority
- 18 to move forward with the cost estimates we've been provided by
- 19 the county.
- 20 Q. And would this be in addition to the security
- 21 provided by the appeal bond?
- 22 A. Yes, it would.
- Q. All right. You mentioned something about the
- 24 testimony of Mr. Leeper during the course of the trial?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. As I recall, you said that Mr. Leeper was not
- 2 ready to testify that all of the traffic on the road was from
- 3 Aquila; is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Were you present at the trial?
- A. I was not. I've read a formal document that
- 7 gives the --
- 8 Q. You read the transcript?
- 9 A. I did read the transcript provided to me by our
- 10 lawyers, yes.
- 11 Q. So technically what you're saying is just what
- 12 would be in the transcript?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. You would have no objection to Cass County
- 15 providing the transcript of that testimony to the Commission,
- 16 would you?
- 17 A. Not at all. In fact, I think it would be very
- 18 beneficial for the Commission to read several elements of that
- 19 transcript.
- Q. Very well.
- 21 MR. COMLEY: That's all I have.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 23 Mr. Eftink?
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EFTINK:
- Q. Mr. Empson, did you say that Aquila did not

1 decide to start the project until after Aquila got the DNR

- 2 permit?
- 3 A. That we did not start the formal construction
- 4 on the project. We decided to start the grading of the
- 5 project on October -- when we received from Cass County the
- 6 grading permit for the site and then we, the day after that,
- 7 bought the land. But until we had the air permit, we couldn't
- 8 start any formal construction. We did have discussions with
- 9 Minnesota DNR and they said grading of the site was
- 10 permissible before we had received the air quality permit.
- 11 Q. When did Aquila get the air quality permit from
- 12 the State of Missouri?
- 13 A. I believe it was in December sometime. I don't
- 14 have the exact date. Mr. Hedrick could provide that.
- Q. Was it December 29?
- 16 A. That sounds correct.
- 17 Q. All right. And the trial in Cass County was
- 18 held on January 5 and January 6 of 2005. Correct?
- 19 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. By the time of the trial, how much work
- 21 had Aquila done on the buildings?
- 22 A. I defer that question to Mr. Hedrick. We would
- 23 have started construction on December -- whenever we received
- 24 the air quality permit and so whatever progress could have
- 25 been made between that date and January 5th or 6th.

1 Q. You had never applied for a zoning permit from

- 2 Cass County for that site?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. By the time you got the air quality
- 5 permit from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources,
- 6 Aquila had already been sued by stopaquila and by Cass County?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And those suits had to deal with the fact that
- 9 Aquila did not have zoning for that site. Correct?
- 10 A. I couldn't speculate on the total content of
- 11 what that suit would be.
- 12 Q. Do you have an idea how much of the buildings
- 13 were put up after the court issued its injunction to stop
- 14 Aquila from putting up the buildings?
- 15 A. After we had posted the \$350,000 bond so we
- 16 could proceed with construction, we made very good process.
- 17 We already have one of the turbines and generators in. The
- 18 second turbine is being installed. So as I indicated earlier,
- 19 we're on -- on time to get this plant up and operating by
- 20 mid-July.
- 21 Q. But, generally speaking, wouldn't it be correct
- 22 to say that only grading had been done before the court issued
- 23 its injunction?
- 24 A. That is my understanding as -- grading and
- 25 anything else that wouldn't be viewed as a permanent type of

- 1 step toward building the plant. So the foundations, for
- 2 example -- we asked if we could start moving toward the
- 3 foundations and the answer was no, we need to get the air
- 4 permit first. So we didn't start the actual pouring of the
- 5 foundations for the facility until after we received the air
- 6 permit.
- 7 Q. Okay. Wouldn't it be correct to say that
- 8 probably about 100 percent of the buildings were put up after
- 9 the circuit court issued its injunction against Aquila?
- 10 A. I would say it -- that would be correct in
- 11 the -- in the case that we had the air permit on December 29
- 12 and started building the facility.
- 13 Q. Now, you talked about press releases and other
- 14 things that you described as outreach by Aquila. And I
- 15 believe some of these are attached to Exhibit 101. Is that
- 16 your testimony?
- 17 A. There are some -- some of that information is
- 18 attached. And Mr. Hedrick is prepared to go through a lot
- 19 more details on the presentations that we actually gave to the
- 20 Chamber, the presentations that were given at Greenwood during
- 21 the tour.
- 22 Q. But you verified these documents that are
- 23 attached to Exhibit 101?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- MR. EFTINK: Judge, may I approach the witness

- 1 to point out one of the exhibits?
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes, you may.
- 3 BY MR. EFTINK:
- 4 Q. Do you have 101 in front of you?
- 5 A. I do not. They took it away from me.
- 6 MR. EFTINK: Can I speak from here I guess?
- 7 JUDGE MILLS: You can. Whatever you say will
- 8 be reflected in the transcript. It won't be captured in the
- 9 video capture as long as you're away from a microphone.
- MR. BOUDREAU: I have an extra.
- 11 BY MR. EFTINK:
- 12 Q. Okay. I want to ask you about this part right
- 13 here first (indicating).
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. Now, Mr. Empson, I've pointed out to you
- 16 Exhibit 1, which you've attached to Exhibit 101. This is a
- 17 press release issued by Aquila or a news release issued by
- 18 Aquila prior to October 1 -- I should say October 11, 2004?
- 19 A. Yes. Set for October 11th, 2004, an
- 20 informational meeting.
- 21 Q. And I circled some language on the second page
- 22 of that Exhibit 1 which you have verified. And as part of
- 23 your efforts to educate the public, isn't it true that the
- 24 press release said, That similar facilities emit no more
- 25 pollution than a diesel-powered pickup truck traveling 35 to

- 1 50 miles per hour?
- 2 A. That is correct. That is in the press release.
- 3 O. Yes.
- 4 A. And Mr. Block Andrews would be happy to address
- 5 more details about that when he takes the stand.
- 6 Q. Okay. Since you verified it, I want to ask you
- 7 a few questions about this. Do you know how much pollution is
- 8 produced by one diesel pickup truck?
- 9 A. No, I do not. As Mr. Boudreau said, I'm
- 10 dependent upon some of the experts that we'll have here to
- 11 provide the information for this news release. So Mr. Block
- 12 Andrews will give you the details about that information.
- 13 Q. The next sentence on Exhibit 1 in this press
- 14 release issued by Aquila says, Noise levels during operation
- 15 will be minimal and will meet all requirements?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. You had a noise study done prior to the
- 18 issuance of this press release. Correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. And that noise study showed that at the nearest
- 21 residence, the noise would be 62 decibels?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you heard the witness at the public
- 24 hearing saying that that's much higher than what's required --
- 25 or the maximum that's required by, for example, St. Louis

- 1 City. So that's pretty loud, isn't it?
- 2 A. I disagree. Mr. Andrews again will talk about
- 3 it. I remember the witness at that public meeting when he
- 4 started to talk, right away said he was not a noise engineer
- 5 and could not testify as an expert on that issue. But
- 6 Mr. Block Andrews will be able to testify about what the noise
- 7 level is. Also, that we volunteered for any resident that was
- 8 interested to go out and do a noise test at their home to
- 9 understand the difference between background noise and what
- 10 noise might be emitted from this facility.
- 11 And, again, understanding as we tried -- I
- 12 tried to explain earlier, that the design of this plant now,
- 13 we have taken it down 14 feet into a hill, we have a berm and
- 14 trees. So we've done things for the noise anyway going north
- 15 from the facility and the east to help mitigate even what
- 16 would be an acceptable 62 decibels.
- 17 Q. All that was included in the model which
- 18 produced a noise reading at 62 decibels at the closest
- 19 residence.
- 20 A. I don't believe that is the case, but
- 21 Mr. Andrews will be able to verify that for you.
- 22 Q. In that sentence that you verified, it also
- 23 says, The noise level will meet all requirements. What
- 24 requirements are those?
- 25 A. I think they were looking at the -- the

- 1 requirements that might exist for a residential neighborhood.
- 2 And we have some testimony that we'll provide that will
- 3 document that.
- 4 Q. Was that a PSC requirement?
- 5 A. No. This was not a PSC requirement. This was
- 6 our own requirement as we started looking at the design of the
- 7 plant and looking at what the impact would be on the
- 8 neighborhood and taking into account what steps we would take
- 9 to mitigate that noise.
- 10 Q. Are you saying that the only requirement of the
- 11 noise level is the requirement set by Aquila itself?
- 12 A. Looking at what the noise is and whether it's
- 13 consistent with other standards that do exist. And so that
- 14 would be the requirement that we are looking at.
- 15 Q. But can you tell me today what requirements
- 16 those are? Are there -- are those county requirements or --
- 17 A. Mr. Block Andrews will go through the detail of
- 18 that. And he has discussed them with me, but I'm not an
- 19 expert on noise and I would just defer to him to give that
- 20 expert witness testimony.
- Q. If you would turn to Exhibit 3 attached to
- 22 Exhibit 101, paragraph 8.
- A. Number 8 in that exhibit?
- Q. Yes. And this -- I believe it's a press
- 25 release.

- 1 A. This is a series of questions and answers that
- 2 were posed to us by The Kansas City Star where they asked us
- 3 to develop answers to specific questions they were hearing
- 4 from the public so they could determine whether they'd publish
- 5 those in a story or some other way about what we were doing.
- Q. And this is dated October 29, 2004?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, if you'll return to that
- 9 paragraph 8, doesn't Aquila say in paragraph 8 that local
- 10 taxing jurisdictions and others will receive more funds from
- 11 the plant by participating in the Chapter 100 economic
- 12 development program?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- Q. But the truth is, as stated by Aquila in its
- 15 filing in EO-205-0156 before this Commission, that if you
- 16 compare the taxes that Aquila would pay if the Chapter 100 did
- 17 not go through to the amount of taxes and PILOT payments that
- 18 Aquila would pay if it goes through, Aquila would save between
- 19 14 and 17 million dollars net?
- 20 A. Well, I -- you must -- I must not be reading
- 21 this correctly. It says local taxing jurisdictions. Local
- 22 taxing jurisdictions within the Cass County/City of Peculiar
- 23 will receive more money. Any lower taxes that we would pay in
- 24 the state are not retained by Aquila. They are flowed through
- 25 to the customers. We only collect what we actually pay. So

- 1 there is no financial benefit to Aquila. This is purely a
- 2 financial benefit to the customers in the state of Missouri
- 3 that we use Chapter 100 financing.
- 4 Q. So does that mean Aquila will not ask for a
- 5 rate increase?
- 6 A. Based upon taxes being paid, no, they will not.
- 7 They will not be -- the only taxes that will be part of a
- 8 filing will be what we actually pay. The lower amount that
- 9 you indicated, not the higher amount. That higher amount is
- 10 something -- the delta is a benefit to the customers of the
- 11 state of Missouri.
- 12 Q. Right. So you agree that if the Chapter 100
- 13 bonds go through, Aquila will save perhaps \$17 million in net
- 14 taxes?
- 15 A. I agree that our customers will save about
- 16 \$17 million in rates, not that Aquila will save taxes. Taxes
- 17 are a flow-through item. It is not something that we somehow
- 18 create a false level that we're collecting from customers. So
- 19 the customers benefit by 17 million, not Aquila.
- Q. Well, let's just try to answer this question
- 21 yes or no. The way this deal is structured, hasn't Aquila
- 22 said that it will save between 14 and 17 million dollars in
- 23 taxes net?
- 24 A. It will save customers that amount. Aquila
- 25 flows through in their rate cases actual taxes paid. This is

- 1 not an amount that would accrue to the benefit of Aquila, Inc.
- 2 Q. Your statement in Exhibit 8 attached to
- 3 Exhibit 101 that local taxing jurisdictions and others will
- 4 receive more is simply false, isn't it?
- 5 A. That is not correct.
- 6 Q. How --
- 7 A. Local taxing jurisdictions, because they create
- 8 more of a value within the county to be retained within the
- 9 county, will receive more taxes versus less. And we can have
- 10 a witness address that for you in more detail on the
- 11 calculation
- MR. EFTINK: May I approach the witness, your
- 13 Honor?
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes, you may.
- 15 BY MR. EFTINK:
- 16 Q. This is Aquila's application in the case of
- 17 EO-205-0156. Paragraph 17, doesn't Aquila tell the Public
- 18 Service Commission that Aquila will have a net savings of
- 19 between 14 to 17 million dollars?
- 20 A. That is correct. And I've explained to you
- 21 what that means. It means that the savings will be flowed
- 22 through to our customers. There's nothing retained by Aquila,
- 23 Inc. as far as a financial benefit for Chapter 100 bonds. We
- 24 are indifferent on the -- on the financing mechanism used.
- 25 This is a benefit that we believe -- we, as a company -- this

- 1 is a benefit for our customers.
- 2 Q. Has Aquila entered into a power purchase
- 3 contract to get power for June 2005?
- A. I will defer the total answer to that question
- 5 to Jerry Boehm when he takes the stand, but we knew the
- 6 contract was expiring, we have taken competitive bids for what
- 7 the alternatives would be. Included in that 500 megawatts of
- 8 loss capacity will be the 300 megawatts that we'll get from
- 9 this peaking power facility and that we're looking for some
- 10 other types of purchase power agreements to supplement or make
- 11 up the difference between the 500 we're losing and the 300
- 12 we'll generate.
- 13 Q. I'm not sure if I understand. Has Aquila
- 14 entered into a contract to purchase power for June 2005?
- 15 A. I will defer the answer whether we've actually
- 16 physically signed any contracts to Jerry Boehm. I don't know
- 17 if we have physically. We're in negotiations to make sure we
- 18 have adequate power for this summer.
- 19 Q. Do I understand your testimony that there's no
- 20 way that Aquila will have any of the turbines operational in
- 21 June 2005?
- 22 A. It is my understanding, and Mr. Hedrick can
- 23 confirm, that we will test fire these facilities during the
- 24 latter part of the month of June and the first turbine will
- 25 come -- become commercial sometime during the first two weeks

- 1 of July.
- 2 Q. And the second and third turbine could become
- 3 operational in August?
- 4 A. Shortly thereafter. I mean, they follow --
- 5 and, again, Mr. Hedrick could give the exact schedule, but my
- 6 recollection is about every two weeks after that.
- 7 Q. Would you agree then Aquila has got to enter
- 8 into some kind of power purchase agreement at least for June
- 9 and July 2005?
- 10 A. We need to identify what the contingency plans
- 11 would be. And, again, Mr. Boehm could explain to you how we
- 12 plan to address our contingency if the peaking is needed
- 13 before the turbines come on.
- 14 Q. Now, you said in your testimony when asked by
- 15 Paul, that there were two gas lines on the site?
- 16 A. No, I did not. I said that Southern Star was
- 17 actually there with a compressor station. About 2 miles south
- 18 of the facility or the site was Panhandle Eastern. So we had
- 19 the ability to contract with both of them for services.
- 20 Q. But Aquila will have to lay pipe for at least
- 21 2 miles to get to that Panhandle Eastern?
- 22 A. That 2 miles of pipe, if we do execute the
- 23 contract with Panhandle, would be reimbursed to Aquila once
- 24 the plant becomes operational.
- 25 Q. Now, you said that you personally had no

- 1 complaints from people who lived around the site. Did you
- 2 ever give your telephone number to people that live in the
- 3 area?
- 4 A. I said I personally had not received a concern
- 5 about security. I am -- I did not personally give my
- 6 telephone number, but I am on the website for Aquila, Inc. and
- 7 my name is there and could be reached through the central
- 8 office down in Kansas City.
- 9 Q. Now, I'd like to ask some questions about the
- 10 proposal by Calpine to supply energy to Aquila. Are you the
- 11 person to ask about that?
- 12 A. I will defer that again to Jerry Boehm or
- 13 another witness that we might have that could give you the
- 14 details about that.
- 15 Q. Are you familiar with the statistics on the
- 16 need or lack of -- well, let me rephrase that.
- 17 Are you familiar with the studies that have
- 18 been done on excess capacity of electric generating units in
- 19 this area?
- 20 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with -- when you
- 21 say in this area, if you're talking about Cass County
- 22 specifically, I've never seen an analysis that shows there's
- 23 excess peaking capacity available in Cass County.
- Q. What about the Southwest Pool?
- 25 A. Again, I would defer that question to

- 1 Mr. Boehm. We're looking at what our internal needs are for
- 2 what our growth and peak demand has become over the last five
- 3 vears.
- 4 Q. Now, Aquila was a partner in building the Aries
- 5 plant outside of Pleasant Hills just a few years ago?
- 6 A. The -- when you say "Aquila," we had a
- 7 subsidiary of our company that was Aquila Merchant Services
- 8 that formed another entity that was a partner with Calpine. I
- 9 personally have been on the utility side of the business and
- 10 was not involved with any of the arrangements on the Calpine
- 11 partnership.
- 12 Our role on the utility side is to take
- 13 competitive bids for power. And at the time in 1999 when we
- 14 needed power, that's what we did was to go out and take bids
- 15 and took the lowest cost option available to our customers at
- 16 that time.
- 17 Q. Uh-huh. And Aguila exited that plant.
- 18 Correct?
- 19 A. The merchant part of our operation did exit the
- 20 ownership. As you're well aware, we're exiting virtually
- 21 everything that we have on the merchant side of our business
- 22 and we're realigning our whole strategy around our utility
- 23 operations.
- Q. Do you know what the capacity is at the Aries
- 25 plant at this time?

- 1 A. I couldn't say specifically. I know that we
- 2 had a contract in the summer for about 500 megawatts.
- 3 MR. EFTINK: Pass the witness, your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. We've been on the record
- 5 about an hour and a half. We will take a short recess, about
- 6 10 minutes, and then we'll come back with questions from the
- 7 Bench.
- 8 (A recess was taken.)
- 9 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. We're back on the record.
- 10 We're ready to proceed with questions from the Bench.
- 11 Commissioner Murray?
- 12 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Empson.
- A. Good morning.
- 15 Q. What recent plants has Aquila built in
- 16 Missouri?
- 17 A. We haven't built a facility on the regulated
- 18 side probably for about 20 years.
- 19 Q. And then the Aries plant was -- explain that
- 20 one.
- 21 A. The Aries plant was built through a partnership
- 22 essentially of our Aquila Merchant business and Calpine. I
- 23 believe that was one built back in -- completed about 1999.
- 24 And that was purely a -- a merchant facility at that time.
- 25 Q. So it had nothing to do with your certificate

- 1 from the Public Service Commission; is that correct?
- 2 A. That is correct. It would have been a totally
- 3 non-regulated enterprise.
- 4 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any authority that
- 5 Aquila has to build a power plant within its certificated
- 6 territory?
- 7 A. The -- the advice I have received is that our
- 8 existing certificate of -- of public convenience and necessity
- 9 addresses that, that we have the -- the requirement to meet
- 10 the needs of our customers and the needs of our customers
- 11 requires us to build facilities, whether it's generating plant
- 12 or transmission facilities. And that's the general direction
- 13 that we have historically taken.
- 14 Q. Is it your understanding that that is also the
- 15 general direction that other utilities in the state of
- 16 Missouri have taken?
- 17 A. That is my general understanding. I think
- 18 there's some cases -- and as a non-lawyer, but some cases that
- 19 have been cited in the various briefs where people have come
- 20 in for some specific authorization perhaps. But just, in
- 21 general, that is the overall authorization that enables us to
- 22 build power plants.
- 23 Q. Now, you were asked earlier about Aquila's
- 24 proceeding after the court order. And it's my understanding
- 25 from what you said, that you posted a bond; is that correct?

- 1 A. That is correct. A \$350,000 bond.
- 2 Q. So you are not violating any court order by
- 3 proceeding, are you?
- A. We are not. We are in compliance with the
- 5 court order.
- 6 Q. And has the noise level been taken below that
- 7 62 decibel level that you know?
- 8 A. The witness, Block Andrews, will talk about
- 9 that when he's on the stand coming up. I'd prefer not to
- 10 pre0state what he is going to testify to.
- 11 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Okay. That's all I have.
- 12 Thank you, Judge.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 14 Commissioner Gaw?
- 15 COMMISSIONER GAW: See if Commissioner Appling
- 16 has questions.
- 17 JUDGE MILLS: Commissioner Appling?
- 18 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER APPLING:
- 19 Q. Just one question. Good morning, Mr. Empson.
- How are you doing?
- 21 A. I'm doing fine, Commissioner.
- 22 Q. About a month ago I did have the privilege when
- 23 I was in Kansas City to visit your South Harper site and also
- 24 the nearby Greenwood site. That's not a question, but I was
- 25 on both of those sites less than a month ago to take a look

- 1 and walk the sites.
- 2 But share with me -- I'm sure that you had some
- 3 reason for doing this and maybe you already thought that you
- 4 had all the permission you needed from the county, but share
- 5 with me and my fellow Commissioners why did you not go through
- 6 the zoning permit process?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. What caused you to -- I'm sure you didn't do
- 9 something to circumvent that process, but what was the reason
- 10 for not doing it?
- 11 A. Well, first of all, the advice we did receive
- 12 was our existing certificate does enable us to go to this site
- 13 and to build the power plant. I'll back up a little because
- 14 there's been some discussion about, well, you had another
- 15 plant site at one point in time, and that was at
- 16 Harrisonville, and you did, in fact, apply for zoning. And
- 17 they are correct, we did, in fact, apply for zoning.
- 18 And that was a judgment that I personally
- 19 recommended that we -- even though I was advised at the time
- 20 that we already had all the authority we needed to build the
- 21 power plant at that location, I asked our people to go through
- 22 the process to try to work with the neighbors to come up with,
- 23 if there were some concerns, a viable solution to put the
- 24 power plant at Harrisonville.
- 25 So we went through that proceeding. And in

- 1 that case, we did not have, when we went before the zoning
- 2 board, support from the City of Harrisonville, the support
- 3 from anybody to build at that location. And so they did vote
- 4 us down as far as the recommendation for zoning change to go
- 5 before the full commission.
- 6 So at that point in time, we were -- as they
- 7 were going through those trials, we did -- we were approached
- 8 by the city manager of Peculiar saying, We have an alternative
- 9 for you that we'd like to consider because we would like to
- 10 have you part of our county.
- 11 And so at that point in time, without doing
- 12 anything else yet on Harrisonville, we sat down and worked
- 13 with them. And, in fact, we had some other people approach us
- 14 about potential interests. And so we worked with them.
- 15 And the difference now being in the Cass County
- 16 site by Peculiar is that we do have the support from the City
- 17 of Peculiar. They have issued the Chapter 100 bonds. We do
- 18 have support from the local school district there. We do have
- 19 support from the water district. So we felt we had gained
- 20 some support in that area to go ahead and advance and use our
- 21 existing certificate.
- 22 The decision had to be made of whether or not
- 23 we could further delay by trying to work out other issues that
- 24 might deal with whatever requirements might be imposed on us
- 25 or did we need to start construction under our certificate to

- 1 make sure we could get in service by the summer. And we did
- 2 make that decision that we felt the location where we had this
- 3 facility was a good location, that we were doing things to be
- 4 a good neighbor.
- 5 As I mentioned, we have 74 acres. We'll not be
- 6 occupying that 74 acres. And we're doing things to try to
- 7 buffer that site from -- or the area where we're building from
- 8 the local neighborhoods.
- 9 Q. That clears up something I had. Thank you very
- 10 much, sir.
- JUDGE MILLS: Commissioner Gaw?
- 12 COMMISSIONER GAW: Thank you, Judge.
- 13 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER GAW:
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Empson.
- A. Good morning.
- 16 Q. The zoning at the -- at the other location that
- 17 you failed to get, did -- is it -- was it Aquila's decision to
- 18 abandon that site in part or in total because of failure to
- 19 get the zoning approved?
- 20 A. I -- it was Aquila's decision. The legal
- 21 advice was we could go ahead and proceed to build with our
- 22 existing certificate. What we looked at was a community
- 23 coming to us, the City of Peculiar, wanting us to come into
- 24 their area.
- 25 And so what we did was we decided then not

- 1 because -- just because the zoning, it had not gone to the
- 2 Cass County yet, but we were advised by them that they were
- 3 going to deny the zoning. We instead decided to go into an
- 4 area where we had some support to build the plant and so that
- 5 was the primary motivation for changing from one site to
- 6 another, even though obviously not having zoning -- or using
- 7 our certificate and going through that zoning did create some
- 8 conflict.
- 9 Q. And, again, your legal advice was you didn't
- 10 have to have the zoning --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- approved?
- 13 A. In fact, at the -- at the hearing before it was
- 14 voted -- denied, our lawyers did stand up and say, We are here
- 15 trying to work to be good neighbors, we're trying to do this
- 16 in a way that would be supportive of the residential area, but
- 17 we are in no fact -- not in any way saying that we don't
- 18 already have the authority.
- 19 And then that information was also read into
- 20 the record as far as the Cass County court when we went before
- 21 them. But we've always preserved and reserved our right that
- 22 we have an existing certificate that would enable us to build
- 23 the plant.
- Q. So if you had not had this invitation from the
- 25 City of Peculiar, would you have gone ahead with the plans in

1 Harrisonville without zoning approval after you'd asked for

- 2 it?
- 3 A. It's hard to speculate now because we didn't
- 4 have to get to that decision point. But it was our belief
- 5 that our existing certificate would have allowed us there, to
- 6 build there. And given the alternatives, we decided not to
- 7 pursue our legal remedy. But I would assume if we would not
- 8 have had a choice, in fact, we would have pursued our legal
- 9 remedy.
- 10 Q. And the City of Peculiar, you say, invited you
- 11 to look at this alternative -- alternate site?
- 12 A. The City of Peculiar approached us and said
- 13 they had identified a site. We worked with them on that site.
- 14 At the time the landowner --
- 15 Q. If you could -- if you could just answer my
- 16 question, Mr. Empson. And I'll let you expand in a moment, if
- 17 you want to, but --
- 18 A. Not the specific site -- I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. The City of Peculiar approached you -- Aquila
- 20 in regard to an alternate site; is that correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. And did you personally discuss this with the
- 23 representatives of Peculiar?
- 24 A. I personally did not.
- 25 Q. Who did?

- 1 A. It would be Terry Hedrick was heavily involved,
- 2 he'll be a witness. Glen Keefe (ph.), our operating vice
- 3 president, and Mark Dawson, who is head of our economic
- 4 development group.
- 5 Q. All right. And so you don't know, of your own
- 6 personal knowledge, who the representatives of Peculiar would
- 7 have been that they discussed this invitation with?
- 8 A. Yes, I do. City manager, Mike Fisher.
- 9 Q. Mike Fisher. And this property that they told
- 10 you they thought would be a good site, was it specifically the
- 11 property that you have the aerial photo of that you're
- 12 constructing on today?
- 13 A. The initial site was not.
- 14 Q. It was not. Was the initial site somewhere
- inside of the city limits of Peculiar?
- 16 A. It -- the best of my knowledge, it was not. It
- 17 was right on the outside of the city limits. But, again,
- 18 Mr. Hedrick could address that in more detail.
- 19 Q. And do you know why that site was not utilized?
- 20 A. When we approached the landowner, he was not
- 21 willing to sell.
- Q. Was that site a site that had the gas line
- 23 close by?
- A. Again, I -- I wasn't involved in the direct
- 25 evaluation. And since we didn't get any further than seeing

1 if he was willing to sell, we never completed the detailed

- 2 site review for that alternative location.
- 3 Q. All right. So you don't know?
- 4 A. I do not know.
- 5 Q. Is this site inside of the city limits of
- 6 Peculiar?
- 7 A. It is not.
- 8 Q. How far outside is it?
- 9 A. It's about 3 miles south and west of the City
- 10 of Peculiar.
- 11 Q. And were you invited by representatives of the
- 12 governmental body that it -- whose territory it's located
- 13 within to site in their territory?
- 14 A. We were not invited by Cass County, no.
- 15 Q. That is within Cass County], in other words?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. And it is not in any other incorporated area?
- 18 A. To the best of my knowledge, it is not.
- 19 Q. So Peculiar invited you to come in outside of
- 20 its city limits?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. It wasn't a case of, We want you here but we
- 23 don't want you too close?
- A. No. The best of my knowledge would be when
- 25 you're locating this site, you're going to have to move out

- 1 from the City of Peculiar or any probably city limits in order
- 2 to find the acreage that you need and the infrastructure that
- 3 you would need with the transmission and natural gas
- 4 interstate pipeline site or facilities.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you know that specifically there
- 6 wasn't a site within the city limits that would have worked?
- 7 A. I do not know.
- 8 Q. Okay. From the standpoint of the taxes and the
- 9 changes in the taxes and the Chapter 100 treatment, do you
- 10 have specifics on the differences in all the political
- 11 subdivisions as a result of the change in the taxes from what
- would be the case if you did not build under Chapter 100?
- 13 A. Our company would have that. I do not
- 14 personally have it with me.
- 15 Q. Does someone have it available to us?
- 16 A. I will -- I'll check. We can make it
- 17 available.
- 18 Q. I think that may be something that should be
- 19 done, if you could do that, Mr. Empson.
- 20 A. I'll be glad to.
- Q. Do you know where the location would be of the
- 22 pipeline that would be constructed to go to the Panhandle
- 23 Eastern line?
- 24 A. I couldn't give you specifically where it is
- 25 coming in, but it's my understanding it's going to come in

- 1 from the south part of the site. But Mr. Hedrick could
- 2 probably give you more what the alignment is going to be
- 3 coming in.
- 4 Q. Okay. The 69 kV line that runs there, will
- 5 that line be sufficient without being improved to carry the --
- 6 to transmit the electricity generated from this plant if it's
- 7 built?
- 8 A. No. We are rebuilding part of the transmission
- 9 going up to 161 kV, which will also help reenforce the
- 10 reliability in the general vicinity.
- 11 Q. Is that going on now?
- 12 A. Yes, it is. My understanding is the majority
- 13 of the transmission itself is completed. We have the
- 14 substation at the site and the substation north is still under
- 15 construction.
- 16 Q. When will that transmission improvement update
- 17 be completed?
- 18 A. In time for us to fire the plants coming up
- 19 mid-June -- mid to late June.
- 20 Q. Okay. Mr. Empson, you asked earlier whether
- 21 we'd received those e-mails. I don't know if we have or not.
- 22 And the judge may be able to verify that.
- JUDGE MILLS: I know there were a large number
- 24 of e-mails made a part of the record from the local public
- 25 hearing.

- 1 COMMISSIONER GAW: If they're already in, then
- 2 I don't need additional copies, but if there are some missing
- 3 or you wish to update them, I will allow that to happen -- I
- 4 mean, that would be fine with me. So I'm not going to ask you
- 5 questions, but I'm -- you mentioned it and I'm not sure what
- 6 we have here so if counsel could check and see whether or not
- 7 it's complete.
- 8 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:
- 9 Q. Mr. Empson, you said that you didn't need any
- 10 additional authority. Is that still the case if the Western
- 11 District upholds the circuit court decision in Cass County,
- 12 that you don't need any additional authority in order to site
- 13 and build this plant?
- 14 A. I'd probably need to defer to our lawyers on
- 15 that question, Commissioner. I could not answer.
- Q. So you're not sure of the answer to that
- 17 question in regard to the circuit court decision in answering
- 18 that question earlier about whether or not you need additional
- 19 authority?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Did the circuit court tell you not -- did the
- 22 circuit court grant an injunction against you constructing
- 23 this plant?
- 24 A. Yes, they did.
- 25 Q. And you're constructing it despite that

- 1 injunction as a result of posting a bond to cover damages as a
- 2 result of pursuing the construction contrary to the
- 3 injunction; isn't that correct?
- 4 A. We are -- yes, we are continuing to build the
- 5 plant with our bond posted.
- 6 (Hearing interrupted.)
- 7 JUDGE MILLS: That reminds me to issue my usual
- 8 warning. Please turn off your cell phones during the course
- 9 of this proceeding so we're not disturbed.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GAW: Judge, it's usually mine
- 11 going off. Fortunately or unfortunately, Judge, you have
- 12 distracted me from my next question.
- 13 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:
- Q. Mr. Empson, earlier you were asked a question
- 15 about whether -- in regard to taxes and tax savings. Do you
- 16 recall some of those questions?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. And when you were asked about the savings, I
- 19 think counsel for stopaquila was inquiring as to whether or
- 20 not those savings would -- were savings for Aquila. And to
- 21 paraphrase, I believe you said something like those would be
- 22 passed along to consumers; is that correct?
- 23 A. There were -- yes, it is correct, that they're
- 24 more of a phantom savings because we'll never be taxed that
- 25 amount. So that benefit is to our customers. So there is no

- 1 outlay of cash.
- 2 Q. So that would be the case so long as there was
- 3 a rate case that would have captured that savings; isn't that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. No, it would not be correct.
- 6 Q. So you would pass those savings along
- 7 immediately despite the fact there was no intervening rate
- 8 case?
- 9 A. Again, I guess it's -- I've complicated this
- 10 too much. If -- if we were outside of a rate case, our
- 11 shareholders bear all the taxes that are being paid until we
- 12 file a rate case and collect those costs in our case.
- 13 Q. But they would also benefit from any tax
- 14 savings that occurred since the last case?
- 15 A. But these would be all incremental taxes
- 16 applied to a new facility. And so that incremental amount
- 17 and -- if I might digress just a minute and make sure. If we
- 18 were -- I think the numbers that Mr. Eftink used was a savings
- 19 of let's say \$14 million. That savings exists but is never
- 20 billed. The only thing that's going to be billed is the net
- 21 amount of the Chapter 100 bonds.
- 22 So if we would not have used Chapter 100, we
- 23 would have paid, in effect, 14 to 17 million dollars more in
- 24 taxes that now we're not required to pay.
- 25 Q. In fact, Mr. Empson, isn't it likely that

- 1 you're going to -- you would file an intervening rate case to
- 2 capture the new assets as closely as possible to the time
- 3 they're put into service?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have you filed that rate case yet?
- 6 A. We have not filed that rate case yet.
- 7 Q. But you intend to?
- 8 A. We do intend to file a rate case coming up in
- 9 May of -- of this year that reflects changes since our last
- 10 rate case. And as also you might recall, our interim energy
- 11 charge expires in two years. So we need to come back in and
- 12 have that reconsidered and either reinstituted or some other
- 13 action taken to recover fuel costs.
- 14 Q. So if you filed in May, you would anticipate at
- 15 the maximum taking 11 months from filing?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. Who owns those generating units currently, the
- 18 three generating units?
- 19 A. I don't know if I can give the -- the legal
- 20 answer, but right now they are part -- I consider them part of
- 21 our utility operations and we have -- we are using those
- 22 turbines to build South Harper.
- Q. Was there cash paid over to -- let me ask you
- 24 this. Who owned those three turbines last year?
- 25 A. Our Aquila Merchant Services -- in general,

- 1 I'll just classify it as that and I'm not sure of the legal
- 2 entity, but it was owned by the merchant side of our business.
- 3 Q. And is that a separate corporate entity or is
- 4 it a division of Aquila?
- 5 A. A legal question, but I -- my understanding is
- 6 it was owned by a subsidiary, so it would have been a legal
- 7 subsidiary that would have then transferred them over to the
- 8 utility.
- 9 Q. When did that occur?
- 10 A. I can't give you the exact date. It would have
- 11 occurred in 2004, sometime toward the latter part of 2004.
- 12 Q. Was there money paid by Aquila to the
- 13 subsidiary in that transfer?
- 14 A. It would be my -- my understanding that it was
- 15 just kind of transferred from one book entity to another --
- 16 another book entity because the turbines move from the
- 17 merchant side to the utility side.
- 18 Q. What value was given to the subsidiary in
- 19 exchange?
- 20 A. Well, realizing this was an affiliate
- 21 transaction, we went out and hired R.W. Beck to determine what
- 22 that value should be. Because given the standard in Missouri
- 23 being lower of cost or market, we wanted to make sure we were
- 24 transferring what we thought was an appropriate value. R.W.
- 25 Beck did go out, did detailed analysis that has now been

- 1 submitted as part of another proceeding where that value will
- 2 be judged and evaluated by the Commission. I don't know the
- 3 exact dollar amount, but I know that we did take some write
- 4 down on those turbines as they were moved from the merchant to
- 5 the utility.
- 6 Q. But you don't know whether there was cash paid
- 7 or whether there was something else recorded on the books to
- 8 indicate there's now money owed to the subsidiary by Aquila?
- 9 You don't know the answer to that?
- 10 A. I do not know, but I'll be sure --
- 11 Q. Is there somebody --
- 12 A. I'd be happy to defer.
- 13 Q. It somebody here that knows that?
- 14 A. I will check to see. If not, we can make a
- 15 call to find out.
- Okay. You already testified that the Aries
- 17 plant was a co-venture by one of the subsidiaries of -- may
- 18 have been called UtiliCorp at the time. I don't know when it
- 19 started out. You can clarify whatever you want to on how that
- 20 was done, but it was a co-venture with Calpine; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes. I just have basic knowledge about that
- 23 because I've always been on the utility side of the business.
- 24 So I would not have been in the details of how that
- 25 transaction developed. Our interest was purely in 1999, we

- 1 needed some power, we took some competitive bids for power.
- 2 Q. So you don't know anything about the Aries
- 3 plant at all, Mr. Empson? If I ask you questions about it,
- 4 you're going to tell me I need to ask somebody else, before I
- 5 start down that road of asking questions about the Aries plant
- 6 and taking a few minutes?
- 7 A. Yes. I -- I'm really on the utility side of
- 8 the business. We're required to keep separations, so I was
- 9 not involved in the details except for understanding that we
- 10 took competitive bids for power back in 1999.
- 11 Q. And you wouldn't know anything about the
- 12 decisions made on the unregulated side of UtiliCorp or Aquila,
- 13 whatever the case may be, in regard to who they thought would
- 14 be in need of the power when the Aries plant was initially
- 15 planned and later constructed?
- 16 A. I really couldn't testify to that,
- 17 Commissioner.
- 18 Q. Someone's here who could though, aren't they?
- 19 A. I'm not sure we have representatives from our
- 20 merchant business here today. We have primarily utility
- 21 personnel here today. And they can talk only about our side
- 22 of that transaction. I'm not aware we have someone that could
- 23 talk about the merchant part.
- Q. But there are people here who can talk about
- 25 the availability of that energy and the costs and prices for

- 1 that energy?
- 2 A. Very much so. Mr. Boehm will be glad to
- 3 address those details.
- 4 Q. You also stated earlier that there was no --
- 5 something to the effect, correct me if I'm wrong, that there
- 6 was no longer much merchant business or unregulated business
- 7 for Aquila, that you were winding those operations down,
- 8 something to that effect?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Are you completely out of that business today
- 11 or can you give me some idea --
- 12 A. Essentially we are. We -- we still from a
- 13 corporate perspective -- my understanding is the merchant has
- 14 three peaking facilities located maybe in the Chicago area and
- 15 down south somewhere. I'm not real familiar with them. We
- 16 have a minor part of our trading book that's just kind of
- 17 winding down, but I think that is pretty much what's left of
- 18 the merchant business.
- 19 Q. You've also made public announcements of late,
- 20 have you not, that you're not -- that you're in the process of
- 21 putting up for sale portions of your regulated business at
- 22 this point? And I'm saying you, I mean Aquila.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. One of those -- well, what entities on the
- 25 electric side are up for sale in the Missouri/Kansas region?

- 1 A. We took the St. Joe part of the Missouri
- 2 electric operations and included that as one of the six
- 3 properties. We took our Kansas electric operations and
- 4 included that as part of the process. We have a total of
- 5 about six properties that we are going out to the marketplace.
- 6 And then we will sell a subset of that based upon the
- 7 valuations in the marketplace.
- 8 Q. All right. And is the Kansas portion of
- 9 Aquila's business close to the Missouri line or not?
- 10 A. It's spread out a lot in Kansas. Primarily
- 11 you're going almost north to south and then through the center
- 12 part of the state. Our office there is in Great Bend for our
- 13 Kansas electric operations.
- Q. So is there spillover across the line there of
- 15 Aquila's business from Missouri to Kansas along the Cass
- 16 County/Jackson County areas? I'm just not sure how that
- 17 service territory looks in Kansas. So if you can help me with
- 18 that, that --
- 19 A. If they're -- I'm not sure if there's
- 20 spillover. They really are operated as two distinct different
- 21 utilities. They're not operated as a single utility business.
- 22 So the peaking facility that we're building in Missouri is to
- 23 meet the demands of our Missouri customers, not to meet the
- 24 demands of our Kansas customers.
- 25 Q. Does Kansas have adequate generation currently

- without adding any generation?
- 2 A. At the present time we do.
- 3 Q. And is that -- okay. Are those two systems
- 4 interconnected?
- 5 A. They are interconnected by a transmission
- 6 system, yes.
- 7 Q. Do you have -- do you think someone might have
- 8 a map of those service territories that could get -- that we
- 9 could look at a little later?
- 10 A. We can get -- I don't know if anybody brought a
- 11 map, but we can sure try to get one sent over from Kansas
- 12 City.
- 13 Q. Okay. Perhaps Staff has some. I don't know.
- 14 Someone else might.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Not of Kansas.
- 16 COMMISSIONER GAW: I see heads shaking no at
- 17 this point.
- 18 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:
- 19 Q. Is the St. Joe area -- is it separated from the
- 20 rest of Aquila's territory in Missouri, the St. Joe portions
- 21 that are up for sale?
- 22 A. I guess there's two forms of separation, one on
- 23 a tariff basis. We have separate tariffs for MPS and separate
- 24 tariffs for St. Joe. But as far as an operational basis,
- 25 they're integrated to some extent. And I couldn't give you

- 1 details of how they are integrated as far as electricity flows
- 2 or anything, but we do have other people here that could
- 3 address that.
- 4 Q. Okay. They are all under one corporate entity,
- 5 not in separate subsidiaries or corporations though. Correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. That took place when Aquila purchased
- 8 St. Joe -- UtiliCorp purchased St. Joe, they were merged
- 9 together. Correct?
- 10 A. In a -- yes, they are merged together, but
- 11 they've maintained, as I mentioned, separate tariffs and cost
- 12 structures.
- 13 Q. Do you know the generating units that are
- 14 allocated to St. Joe and those that are allocated to MPS?
- 15 A. I could not answer that question, but we do
- 16 have someone here that could.
- 17 Q. Who is that?
- 18 A. That would be Glen Keefe. He's not one of the
- 19 listed witnesses, but he's head of our Missouri electric
- 20 operations in total.
- Q. I would like to ask him some questions I think
- 22 at some point. I will spare you going through that,
- 23 Mr. Empson.
- 24 A. I appreciate that. Thank you.
- 25 Q. Yes. Do you know if the Iatan facility is

- 1 allocated to one or both?
- 2 A. I can't answer that question, Commissioner.
- 3 Q. And do you know anything about additional sites
- 4 that were examined by Aquila in addition to these -- to the
- 5 three sites I believe that you have mentioned, the one in
- 6 Harrisonville and the two around Peculiar?
- 7 A. I would -- it would be best to defer to Chris
- 8 Rodgers. He'll be able to testify about all the different
- 9 sites that were looked at --
- 10 Q. All right.
- 11 A. -- and what factors were considered.
- 12 Q. Do you know if he intends to discuss that or
- 13 not?
- 14 A. It's my understanding Mr. Rodgers does intend
- 15 to discuss that as part of his formal testimony.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 COMMISSIONER GAW: I believe that's all I have,
- 18 Judge. Thank you.
- Thank you, Mr. Empson.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes, Ms. Shemwell.
- 22 MS. SHEMWELL: Before we break, I would like to
- 23 let Commissioner Gaw know that Cary Featherstone is here and
- 24 may be in a position to answer questions he may about the
- 25 Aries plant.

- 1 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- I think at this point -- it's about 12:20,
- 3 we're going to go ahead and take a lunch recess. When we come
- 4 back, we'll do cross-examination based on the questions from
- 5 the Bench and then a round of redirect. But we will be off
- 6 the record until 1:30.
- 7 (A recess was taken.)
- 8 JUDGE MILLS: We're back on the record after
- 9 the lunch recess. We are ready to begin with
- 10 cross-examination from the parties based on questions from the
- 11 Bench beginning with the Staff. Ms. Shemwell.
- MS. SHEMWELL: No questions. Thank you.
- 13 JUDGE MILLS: For Public Counsel.
- MS. O'NEILL: No questions.
- JUDGE MILLS: Mr. Comley.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COMLEY:
- 17 Q. Mr. Empson, I'm going to follow up on some
- 18 questions that Commissioner Appling asked you. Correct me,
- 19 did you say in your testimony that you acquired a grading
- 20 permit from the county?
- 21 A. We had a letter from the chairman saying that a
- 22 grading permit was not required --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. -- we could go -- so we went ahead and
- 25 proceeded. I'm sorry.

- 1 Q. I wanted to clarify that. I think that's also
- 2 a matter of our joint stipulation --
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. -- and that fact is covered in that point.
- 5 Let me go through you -- Commissioner Appling
- 6 asked you about why you did not go through the zoning process
- 7 with Cass County. And let me have it straight, the chronicles
- 8 of events. My understanding is that the company had selected
- 9 a site in unincorporated Cass County near Harrisonville at one
- 10 point for this plant; is that correct?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. And at that point the company did apply for a
- 13 special use permit to construct the plant just outside of
- 14 Harrisonville in an unincorporated area of Cass County; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. And that would have been -- I'm trying to
- 18 recall the name of that area. Was it Camp --
- 19 A. Camp Branch.
- 20 Q. Camp Branch energy area. Excuse me. I'll find
- 21 it here in a moment.
- 22 That was in June of 2004, if you can recall?
- 23 Was that in June 2004 when you applied for a special use
- 24 permit for the plant near Harrisonville, do you know?
- 25 A. Yes. Right about the middle of June of 2004.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, my understanding was that that
- 2 special use permit was denied after a public hearing; is that
- 3 correct?
- A. Well, I don't believe it was formally denied.
- 5 A recommendation was made by a -- kind of a sub-organization
- 6 with the county that these -- the special use permit not be
- 7 granted. But it never went before the full commission to be
- 8 denied.
- 9 Q. Now, are you talking about the County
- 10 Commission?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. See if you understand this. There
- 13 would be a Planning and Zoning Board that would first review
- 14 the application. Are you familiar with that process?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And then there would be a Board of Zoning
- 17 Adjustment, which I think is composed of all the commissioners
- 18 of the county; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 20 Q. And your testimony is that that special use
- 21 permit -- the next step for it would have been the plan -- the
- 22 Board of Zoning Adjustment; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's my understanding, yes, it's correct.
- Q. Now, at some point did Aquila request that the
- 25 hearing before the Board of Zoning Adjustment be continued?

- 1 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 2 Q. And can you tell me why that was the case?
- 3 A. At the time we were approached by another
- 4 interested party in the City of Peculiar.
- 5 Q. City of Peculiar?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Was that Mr. Mike Fisher at that time was
- 8 talking to Aguila?
- 9 A. Yes. He was talking to our economic
- 10 development personnel, yes.
- 11 Q. And Mike Fisher did not talk with you directly;
- 12 is that correct?
- 13 A. Not with me directly, no.
- Q. And the e-mails that we've been talking about,
- 15 would the e-mails have been between Mr. Fisher and your
- 16 economic development person?
- 17 A. I think it was between several people. It
- 18 might have been between Mr. Fisher and economic development,
- 19 could also be Terry Hedrick --
- Q. Terry Hedrick?
- 21 A. -- who was the project manager on this case,
- 22 yes, sir.
- 23 Q. All right. All right. Aquila eventually
- 24 withdrew the application for special use permit for the
- 25 Harrisonville site; is that correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And I'm gathering that is because negotiations
- 3 with the Peculiar folks had gone to a stage where you were
- 4 ready to go and focus more on the Peculiar area. That's kind
- 5 of a funny way of saying it. I hope the record will put a
- 6 capital P on Peculiar.
- 7 A. That's my understanding, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did Aquila select the Bremer property
- 9 for the site of the plant we're talking about, the South
- 10 Harper facility, because it could have been annexed by the
- 11 City of Peculiar?
- 12 A. That was one of the condition -- or one of the
- 13 considerations, yes, that the City of Peculiar was agreeable
- 14 to annex that property, that's correct.
- 15 Q. So I'm gathering that this property was also in
- 16 a place where the City of Peculiar and Aquila believed it
- 17 could be annexed voluntarily?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Isn't it true that there was a residential kind
- 20 of uprising against having that annexation go through?
- 21 A. I believe there was some -- some residents that
- 22 went to the Board of Aldermen to protest against that. I
- 23 don't know what you characterize as an uprising. I'm not sure
- 24 I -- how to characterize that.
- Q. Anyway, there was some dissent by residents.

- 1 Ultimately, the City of Peculiar did advise Aquila that that
- 2 area would not be annexed; is that correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Can you remember when you were advised of that?
- 5 A. I don't call -- recall the exact date, but I'm
- 6 sure Mr. Terry Hedrick would.
- 7 Q. It's true, isn't it, that Aquila did file for
- 8 rezoning of the area for the Peculiar substation with the
- 9 County of Cass?
- 10 A. We did initially, that's correct.
- 11 Q. And I think -- I'm looking at a stipulated fact
- 12 here. On September 29th, 2004 Aquila filed an application for
- 13 rezoning of the Peculiar substation tract from agricultural to
- 14 industrial one for purposes of constructing Peculiar
- 15 substation. Is that a correct rendition of the facts as you
- 16 understand them?
- 17 A. The way I understand them, yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. All right. Were you aware that at the request
- 19 of Aquila, the application for rezoning of that tract was
- 20 continued from the Planning and Zoning Board hearing scheduled
- 21 for October 26th, 2004?
- 22 A. I was, yes.
- Q. Okay. And isn't it true that on November 19th,
- 24 2004 Aquila withdrew its application for rezoning of tract B?
- 25 A. I believe that is correct, yes.

- 1 Q. I think in your testimony and in your response
- 2 to Commissioner Appling, you said that Cass County told you
- 3 that it would deny zoning -- the rezoning request for Aquila?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- Q. Who did you talk with at the county about that?
- 6 A. Mr. Keefe, Mr. Stamm (ph.) and several others
- 7 met with Gary Mallory to say what could we do to hopefully
- 8 overcome some of the -- the issues that were raised in Cass
- 9 County at the Harrisonville site.
- 10 And basically the reaction was we had very
- 11 little support -- since we didn't have any local support or --
- 12 or from the city of like Harrisonville and others, that he --
- 13 he was telling us the vote would be to deny the special use
- 14 permit.
- 15 Q. Did you personally have conversations with
- 16 Mr. Mallory?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. So your information is coming from Mr. Stamm?
- 19 A. Coming from Mr. Stamm or Mr. Keefe. Mr. Keefe
- 20 reports directly to me.
- Q. Did you happen to review Mr. Stamm's testimony
- 22 at the hearing in front of Judge Dandurand?
- 23 A. I don't recall. I read part of the transcript.
- 24 I don't remember focusing on what Mr. Stamm said at the
- 25 testimony before Mr. Dandurand.

- 1 Q. But your testimony, you understood that
- 2 Mr. Mallory was the one who told you that Cass County would
- 3 never approve an application for rezoning of that area?
- 4 A. I will -- I will defer to Mr. Keefe. He is
- 5 here. I think we plan on calling him, but that's my
- 6 understanding, the advice was they were not -- they were going
- 7 to deny the special use permit for the Harrisonville site.
- 8 Q. I'm presuming that that kind of information
- 9 came after you had withdrawn your special use permit request
- 10 for the Peculiar substation site?
- 11 A. You know, all the timing for this, I'd have to
- 12 really sit down and look at notes but -- I couldn't tell for
- 13 sure, but I assume so, yes.
- 14 Q. You said Mr. Keefe -- you understand that
- 15 Mr. Keefe did have direct conversation with Mr. Mallory?
- 16 A. Met with the Cass County -- it's my
- 17 understanding Mr. Mallory was present during that meeting,
- 18 yes.
- 19 Q. It's true, isn't it, that Aquila did not ever
- 20 file an application for a special use permit for the 74-acre
- 21 tract for the South Harper facility; is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. The only special use permit you filed was for
- 24 the Peculiar substation?
- 25 A. I believe we filed not a special use permit,

- 1 but it might have been a zoning application for the
- 2 substation.
- Q. You're exactly right. It was an application
- 4 for rezoning.
- 5 And in the same context, you did not file an
- 6 application for rezoning for the South Harper -- South Harper
- 7 plant site; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. We've referred to that as tract A in our
- 10 stipulation.
- 11 MR. COMLEY: That's all I have.
- JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 13 Mr. Eftink.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EFTINK:
- 15 Q. The application for the special use permit for
- 16 the Camp Branch facility was finally withdrawn after the trial
- 17 that was held in January 2005; is that correct?
- 18 A. It was withdrawn. I can't remember if it was
- 19 before or after the trial was held.
- 20 Q. And the application for rezoning for the
- 21 substation was withdrawn before the trial. Correct?
- 22 A. I'm sure it's in the stipulation of facts.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, you talked about how -- I think
- 24 your words were that there was public support for this peaking
- 25 facility about 3 miles outside of Peculiar. You were aware

- 1 that there were news reports on television in Kansas City
- 2 about the number of people who came to the City Council
- 3 meetings in October and November 2004. Correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. And at the City Council meeting in
- 6 October 2004, there were a large number of people protesting
- 7 this power plant. Correct?
- 8 A. I'm not sure what the purpose of that City
- 9 Council meeting was, if it was on the annexation and the steps
- 10 to be taken to annex or if it was on Chapter 100 and what
- 11 their protest was. So I couldn't just agree with the exact
- 12 words that you used.
- 13 Q. Regardless, it had to do with the power plant?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that was in October 2004. Correct?
- 16 A. If it's in the stipulation of facts when that
- 17 occurred, then that's correct.
- 18 Q. Well, I'd like to ask you if you were aware of
- 19 the television reports about the big crowd of people that came
- 20 to the City Hall meeting in Peculiar in mid-October 2004 to
- 21 protest this?
- 22 A. I am aware, I just cannot specify the timing
- 23 for that. It's been a long time so I couldn't tell you if it
- 24 was mid-October, early November or late September. But I am
- 25 aware that there was some people that showed up at the Board

- 1 of Aldermen's meeting.
- Q. And you're aware it was a crowd of about 150
- 3 people protesting?
- 4 A. I'm not aware of the numbers, no.
- 5 Q. And you're aware that there was a news report
- 6 about a meeting held in November at the Lion's Club in
- 7 Peculiar that had to do with the Department of Natural
- 8 Resources and this power plant?
- 9 A. Again, I know there was a public hearing that
- 10 was held on our air permit. I couldn't tell you if it was at
- 11 the Lion's Club specifically or the exact date in November.
- 12 Q. And are you aware that the news media reported
- 13 that there was a large crowd that appeared to be opposed to
- 14 the power plant?
- 15 A. I will agree with that. I did not -- I don't
- 16 recall reading the story specifically on the air permit.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- MR. EFTINK: No further questions.
- 19 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Boudreau, redirect.
- 21 MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, thank you. Just a few
- 22 questions.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- Q. Mr. Empson, first, just kind of a housekeeping
- 25 matter. I believe early in your testimony in response to a

- 1 question that you received from Mr. Eftink, you referred to
- 2 the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources or DNR. Did you
- 3 misspeak?
- A. I did misspeak. It should have been the
- 5 Missouri Department of Natural Resources. Thank you.
- 6 Q. Commissioner Appling has also asked you about
- 7 why the company decided not to go through the planning and
- 8 zoning process with Cass County with respect to the South
- 9 Harper facility in contrast, I suppose, to its filings
- 10 associated with the Camp Branch facility. Do you recall that?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Was there some expectation from the company
- 13 that the property upon which the South Harper facility has
- 14 been constructed or is being constructed was going to be
- 15 annexed by the City of Peculiar?
- 16 A. Yes. That was the agreement that we were
- 17 working with the City of Peculiar on, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how would that have affected the
- 19 company's practice or conduct with respect to planning and
- 20 zoning with respect to that site?
- 21 A. Well, it would -- once it was annexed, it would
- 22 not require us to go to Cass County to get it rezoned.
- 23 Q. So that would be a factor that would
- 24 distinguish it from the Camp Branch site?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 I believe Commissioner Gaw asked some questions
- 3 about the construction activities that have occurred
- 4 subsequent to the issuance of the final judgment of Judge
- 5 Dandurand. Do you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. And I believe the question was whether or not
- 8 the company was continuing to build the site basically I
- 9 suppose at odds or adverse to the judgment. Do you recall
- 10 that?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Would you agree with me, sir, that the
- 13 injunction of Judge Dandurand has been stayed pending the
- 14 appeal?
- 15 A. Yes, I would.
- 16 Q. So the terms of the injunction are not in
- 17 effect at this time; is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. I believe there were also some questions about
- 20 the tax savings. I wanted to explore that. Not in a lot of
- 21 depth, but I wanted to come back to that.
- I believe your testimony was that basically
- 23 this was not an expense because the tax savings is a
- 24 non-outlay for the company; is that correct?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, with respect to current rates, are
- 2 any of the taxes or the tax savings associated with the
- 3 property taxes with this facility reflected in current rates?
- 4 A. No. At this time there would be zero taxes in
- 5 current rates for this -- taxes in current rates for this
- 6 facility being built in Peculiar.
- 7 Q. Okay. So if some tax bill were to come with
- 8 respect to this or some PILOT payment associated, that's not
- 9 going to be reflected in current rates?
- 10 A. That is correct. It would not be reflected in
- 11 rates until we file a rate case to get recovered.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. And then it would only be those taxes that we
- 14 were paying, like a PILOT payment, which would not be the full
- 15 assessed value that we have been discussing back forth.
- 16 Q. Right. So there's no taxes that are built into
- 17 current rates? There's no tax expense in terms of regular
- 18 property tax expense built into current rates associated with
- 19 this facility with respect to which the company would realize
- 20 savings during the interim time between now and the time the
- 21 new -- the rate case or the new rates go into effect; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct. If we were assessed taxes,
- 24 then we would pay those and not have the recovery in rates.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 JUDGE MILLS: Mr. Boudreau, before you go on,
- 2 can you identify for the record the acronym PILOT?
- 3 MR. BOUDREAU: Oh, excuse me. PILOT is an
- 4 acronym for Payments In Lieu Of Taxes.
- 5 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 6 MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 8 Q. One last thing. I believe that you received
- 9 some questions from Commissioner Gaw about generally the
- 10 electric service territories in Missouri and Kansas; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Have you been able to obtain some information
- 14 about the electric -- or electric distribution service
- 15 territories of Aquila in the state of Kansas?
- 16 A. Yes, I have. We called back and had them fax
- 17 us -- or send us over a map of where we are in the state of
- 18 Kansas.
- 19 MR. BOUDREAU: I would like to mark a document
- 20 as an exhibit.
- MR. BOUDREAU: We're up to 103.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 103 was marked for
- 23 identification.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: May I approach the witness?
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes, you may.

- 1 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 2 Q. Mr. Empson, I've handed you what has been
- 3 marked for identification purposes I believe as Exhibit 103.
- 4 Do you have that document?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 7 A. This is the document that we requested from our
- 8 Kansas operations to be sent over to give a pictorial
- 9 representation of where our Kansas electric properties are.
- 10 And as I attempted to describe the question for Commissioner
- 11 Gaw, we're primarily in the central part of Kansas running
- 12 from the north to the south.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- MR. BOUDREAU: With that, I'd offer Exhibit
- 15 No. 103.
- 16 JUDGE MILLS: Are there any objections to the
- 17 admission of Exhibit No. 103?
- 18 Hearing none, it will be admitted.
- 19 (Exhibit No. 103 was received into evidence.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: Just for the record, I'll
- 21 indicate that with any kind of luck, I'll be able to get in
- 22 another document here with a subsequent witness that will
- 23 reflect the service territories -- electric service
- 24 territories in Missouri because I believe that was another
- 25 facet of Commissioner Gaw's question.

```
JUDGE MILLS: All right. Thank you.
```

- 2 MR. BOUDREAU: I believe that's all the further
- 3 questions I have for Mr. Empson. Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 5 Mr. Empson, you may step down.
- 6 MR. BOUDREAU: I'd like to reserve the right to
- 7 re-call Mr. Empson to the stand if needed. And he will
- 8 certainly be available if the Commissioners have any
- 9 subsequent questions later on in the hearing. Thank you.
- 10 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. Mr. Boudreau, I believe
- 11 you indicated your next witness is Mr. Boehm?
- MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. I'd like to call Mr. Jerry
- 13 Boehm to the stand, please.
- 14 (Witness sworn.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: May I proceed?
- JUDGE MILLS: Go ahead.
- MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.
- 18 JERRY BOEHM testified as follows:
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- Q. Would you state your name for the record,
- 21 please, sir?
- 22 A. My name is Jerry G. Boehm.
- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity,
- 24 sir?
- 25 A. I'm employed by Aquila. My title is manager of

- 1 resource planning.
- 2 Q. Would you please state what kind of
- 3 professional experience you have that qualifies you for the
- 4 position you hold?
- 5 A. I hold a degree of electrical -- bachelor of
- 6 science electrical engineering from Missouri. I'm a
- 7 registered electrical engineer in the state of Missouri. I
- 8 have experience as a transmission planner, generation planner,
- 9 integrated resource planner.
- 10 Q. Would you briefly describe your
- 11 responsibilities as manager of resource planning for Aquila?
- 12 A. There's a number of responsibilities, including
- 13 fuel budgeting, rate case fuel analysis, resource planning.
- 14 Today I'm actually representing the -- two factors in here.
- 15 One is the need for additional capacity, and the second one is
- 16 an analysis and recommendation of the best resource to use for
- 17 it to fulfill that capacity.
- 18 Q. You are aware that the Commission held a public
- 19 hearing in Harrisonville on the evening of March 15th, 2005?
- 20 A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
- 21 Q. Are you aware that there were a number of
- 22 statements that were made opposing the power plant being
- 23 constructed near the City of Peculiar, Missouri on a number of
- 24 grounds, not the least of which is the alleged availability of
- 25 power from a combined cycle generating plant by the name of

- 1 Aries?
- 2 A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
- 3 Q. Okay. Are you prepared to discuss that
- 4 particular issue here today?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. Okay. Just for the record, can you state what
- 7 company or entity owns the Aries generating plant?
- 8 A. To my knowledge, it's I think wholly owned --
- 9 it's a subsidiary -- or ultimately it's owned by Calpine.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Well, let me just start from the beginning.
- 12 Let me just ask you the question. With respect to the South
- 13 Harper peaking power station, why is Aquila -- well, let me
- 14 just ask the more general question.
- Why is Aquila building a power plant?
- 16 A. In the end of May of 2005, we have a
- 17 500-megawatt contract with the Aries sub-- power station
- 18 expiring. And we need to fulfill or replace that capacity and
- 19 approximately 50 megawatts of native load growth.
- Q. When you say "50 megawatts of native load
- 21 growth," what do you mean by that kind of more in laymen's
- 22 terms?
- 23 A. The capacity and energy is for the native load
- 24 customers of our regulated utilities in Missouri.
- 25 Q. Okay. So this is demand that's created by

1 increasing population and just increasing demand for power in

- 2 your service area?
- 3 A. Yes. That's a small part of it. But there
- 4 is -- the biggest part of the demand is the loss of a contract
- 5 or the expiration of the contract.
- 6 Q. What type of power station is being built at
- 7 the South Harper location in Cass County?
- 8 A. It's a peaking unit power plant.
- 9 Q. And that is comprised of what?
- 10 A. Three 105-megawatt CTs, combustion turbines.
- 11 Q. When you say that the plant is being built to
- 12 meet -- well, let me ask you this.
- 13 Is this being designed to meet peak system
- 14 demand requirements?
- 15 A. That's typically the design --
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. -- requirement.
- 18 Q. And what do you mean by the term "peak system
- 19 demand requirements"? I mean, what is meant by that?
- 20 A. Well, I -- it might take a bit of a
- 21 demonstration of that, if I would.
- Q. Well, let me come back to that.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. We'll come back to that topic.
- 25 Just so the record's clear from your earlier

1 testimony, are all 550 megawatts of capacity needs that you've

- 2 identified, are they necessary to meet native load
- 3 requirements?
- 4 A. Yes. According to the stip-- the rules of
- 5 Southwest Power Pool and NERC, the capacity is needed, is
- 6 necessary and required to fulfill the requirements of the
- 7 native load customers.
- 8 Q. Let me ask you this. Why doesn't Aquila just
- 9 renew the expiring contract with Calpine?
- 10 A. When Aquila's faced with acquiring additional
- 11 capacity, we always go to competitive bidding process.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- MR. BOUDREAU: At this time I think
- 14 Mr. Boehm's testimony is likely to delve into resource
- 15 planning criteria, plans, decisions, much of which is
- 16 considered by the company to be highly confidential in that it
- 17 can reveal its planning process and perhaps give parties an
- 18 advantage, or disadvantage Aquila, in the negotiating process.
- 19 So I would like to request that we go in-camera to explore
- 20 these various topics.
- 21 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. Let me ask you a basic
- 22 question. Has there been a protective order issued in this
- 23 case?
- MR. BOUDREAU: There has been a protective
- 25 order issued. I couldn't tell you the exact date.

```
1 JUDGE MILLS: That's fine. It's not my case,
```

- 2 so I didn't know that off the top of my head.
- 3 Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you anticipate
- 4 that this testimony will be lengthy?
- 5 MR. BOUDREAU: My expectation is the testimony
- 6 may very well be fairly lengthy.
- JUDGE MILLS: I'm just specifically talking
- 8 about the highly confidential portion.
- 9 MR. BOUDREAU: My expectation is that the
- 10 testimony will frequently move in and out of highly
- 11 confidential matters. Depends on the sorts of questions that
- 12 are asked. It depends on the sort of subject matter that's
- 13 elicited. A question may not necessarily in and of itself be
- 14 highly confidential, but the answer it elicits may be.
- 15 And my sense of it is that the exchange of the
- 16 information as between counsel and the witness and
- 17 Commissioners and the witness will be facilitated by not
- 18 having to pop in and out to be on guard for that instance. I
- 19 think I want to position Mr. Boehm so that he is free to
- 20 answer freely and coherently without giving me non-verbal
- 21 signals about what's highly confidential and what isn't.
- 22 JUDGE MILLS: I understand that. But I also
- 23 don't want to have, you know, large chunks of the transcript
- 24 kept classified as highly confidential, you know, if it's only
- 25 every tenth question that's going to elicit highly

- 1 confidential information.
- 2 So I'd like you to try to structure your
- 3 cross-examination so that all the highly confidential
- 4 questions will come together. And, if possible, I'd like to
- 5 do it at the end of this witness's direct examination. If you
- 6 need to do it --
- 7 MR. BOUDREAU: I would suggest that that will
- 8 not make for the most coherent record in terms of -- in terms
- 9 of explaining to the Commission the company's decision process
- 10 with respect to this plant, in particular, the Aries -- the
- 11 potential of Aries capacity as an option. These things are
- 12 all going to run together. I've actually given this some
- 13 thought about is there an easier way to do this and, frankly,
- 14 not without a totally disjointed record, in my opinion.
- 15 MS. O'NEILL: Your Honor, we would also object
- 16 to large chunks of this transcript being designated highly
- 17 confidential. We've got a large public interest in this
- 18 situation, we've got a lot of witnesses here and other people
- 19 from the community in Cass County and around Peculiar. And if
- 20 there is some way that we can structure this so that they are
- 21 excluded from as small a part of this hearing as possible, I
- 22 think that serves a public interest.
- JUDGE MILLS: I agree, and that's what I'm
- 24 trying to do.
- 25 If you need -- for the purposes of setting the

- 1 foundation for further questions, if you need to do the highly
- 2 confidential portion first, that's fine. But I don't want
- 3 to -- there's two things I don't want to really do. I don't
- 4 want to have a big portion of the hearing classified as highly
- 5 confidential if it doesn't have to be, and I don't want to go
- 6 in and out of camera a dozen or more times this afternoon. I
- 7 mean, if we need to do it a couple times, that's fine, but I
- 8 want to avoid both of those outcomes, if at all possible.
- 9 So I'll tell you what, why don't we take a
- 10 10-minute recess and we'll figure out the best way to proceed
- 11 that way.
- 12 MR. BOUDREAU: I think we may have to.
- JUDGE MILLS: We're off the record.
- 14 (A recess was taken.)
- 15 JUDGE MILLS: Please proceed, Mr. Boudreau.
- 16 MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you. And I apologize for
- 17 the interruption. I will try -- my objective is to try to
- 18 move as far down this road as I can staying with public
- 19 information, although some of the answers that Mr. Boehm may
- 20 give may be somewhat circumspect as a result of that. And
- 21 later on in the testimony we will embark on dealing with some
- 22 highly confidential information, but hopefully we'll only have
- 23 to do that one time, at least during his direct.
- 24 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. Great. I appreciate that.
- 25 And I think it may make it a little harder for you to do the

- 1 questions, but I think for the audience it will be easier to
- 2 follow along and I think that the transcript will be hopefully
- 3 easily followable, so please go ahead.
- 4 MR. BOUDREAU: We'll give it a try. Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 6 Q. We were talking about competitive bidding
- 7 processes. Do you recall that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let me just ask you generally. You mentioned
- 10 that -- well, what techniques do you use to develop an
- 11 analysis about the competitiveness of various bids for
- 12 electrical energy capacity?
- 13 A. The technique is overall referred to as
- 14 Integrated Resource Planning.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. And the process of Integrated Resource Planning
- 17 involves collecting the load growth characteristics, the
- 18 existing resource project -- products that are available,
- 19 whether they be demand side or supply side resources. Supply
- 20 side resources would be power plants or purchased power
- 21 contracts. Demand side resources could be anything from
- 22 efficiency programs for the customers, possibly buy-back
- 23 programs for some of the industrials, things like that.
- It's our job to use computer simulation
- 25 programs to model the existing facilities. Use those

- 1 facilities in a forward view of -- of future loads. And with
- 2 that, try to piece in this -- this new need or this new
- 3 capacity that is required. And in the process of doing that,
- 4 we choose and try to find the least cost result in that
- 5 process.
- 6 Q. Okay. Is this an ongoing process?
- 7 A. Yes. It -- it doesn't stop.
- 8 Q. Has the Commission adopted rules regarding
- 9 Integrated Resource Planning for use by electric utilities in
- 10 the state of Missouri?
- 11 A. Yes, they have.
- 12 Q. Would you please explain to the Commission
- 13 generally the IRP methods or the Integrated Resource Planning
- 14 methods that are used to analyze power plant needs?
- 15 A. Specifically, I guess you're looking for maybe
- 16 the programs that we might use or the -- the --
- 17 Q. Well, to speak a little bit to load forecasting
- 18 and RF -- the type of RFPs, the process that's gone through to
- 19 accomplish that objective.
- 20 A. Oh, yes. Initially we -- we get the load
- 21 forecast, which is I guess the fundamental piece in there.
- 22 And that is a forecast of the customer needs anywhere from the
- 23 next year to possibly 20 or 30 years.
- 24 We determine if there is a need for additional
- 25 capacity and energy, and at that time we try to quantify that

- 1 need. We also may do some preliminary analysis to determine
- 2 exactly what type of capacity is needed. And I'll get into
- 3 that later possibly, whether that capacity is a peaking unit,
- 4 possibly a base old unit or a combined cycle or intermediate
- 5 unit.
- Once we have a feel for that, we'll put out a
- 7 request to anyone who will listen, we'll put out a public
- 8 request and ask for or request for proposals and state
- 9 specifically to the best of our knowledge what we need. Now,
- 10 we won't close the door on other bids, but we might go out and
- 11 say -- like recently we put out a bid for 2007 capacity needs
- 12 and we stated that we see a need for speaking capacity 2007
- 13 and possibly base low capacity 2010.
- 14 And we invite all interested parties to bid
- 15 into that process. And we also specify that those parties are
- 16 not required to fulfill all the needs of that, but are welcome
- 17 to bid partial needs of -- of the request. That's an external
- 18 point of view.
- 19 We also internally go to our engineering
- 20 department and we ask them if they would prepare
- 21 specifications and we treat them as if they're an outside
- 22 bidder. And we say, We want to see your bid to us as to what
- 23 it would cost to self-build a power plant. And we receive
- 24 bids from them.
- 25 Once we receive those bids, we take those bids

- 1 and do a certain amount of screening. There's some that are
- 2 just -- have to be weeded out, like possibly someone may bid
- 3 power to us, but say that we can have this power if we pick it
- 4 up in Louisiana. The transmission issues involved with moving
- 5 the power from their facility to ours might make us decide at
- 6 that point that no matter what their cost price is, the
- 7 possibility of moving that could be difficult or could be --
- 8 you know, we go to our transmission people and say, well, you
- 9 might be able to do it. But in some cases we get some bids
- 10 which are -- you know, we have to throw out, they just aren't
- 11 going to make it there.
- 12 Once we get that screening process done, we use
- 13 production simulation programs. And these are computer
- 14 programs that are have robust and -- very long -- they take a
- 15 long time to run them. These computer programs will run
- 16 scenarios of these -- each -- of these each individual
- 17 capacity proposals and test each one of those over a course of
- 18 anywhere from 5 to 20 years.
- 19 Once we have those production analysis numbers
- 20 together, we take a look at that and try to determine what
- 21 would be the least cost value of -- present value of revenue
- 22 requirements and declare that to be the least cost plan.
- Now, we don't just throw everything else out,
- 24 but we keep some of the other plans and continue to
- 25 investigate them against sensitivities like changes in load

- 1 forecast, changes in fuel prices, changes in economic
- 2 conditions.
- 3 Q. Okay. So it's a dynamic process?
- 4 A. Very dynamic.
- 5 Q. You mentioned a little bit about screening the
- 6 responses that you get from RFP or request for proposals. Do
- 7 you recall that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there also -- is there a concept called
- 10 resource screening?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what does that involve?
- 13 A. That's a process where you take and identify
- 14 the cost of a power plant to produce power over its capacity
- 15 factor. Capacity factor would be if you ask the power plant
- 16 to produce power every hour of the year at its full potential,
- 17 it would have 100 percent capacity factor. If you want -- if
- 18 you needed that power plant to produce only half of its load
- 19 level for every hour of the year, it would be 50 percent
- 20 capacity factor. And, likewise, if you had a power plant that
- 21 you only ran half a year at full -- at full throttle, it would
- 22 also be a 50 percent capacity factor.
- 23 We investigate the capacity fact-- the cost of
- 24 producing power for each one of these power plants at those
- 25 capacity factors and determine -- it's a screening method to

1 determine if one clearly is better than the other, in which

- 2 case we would get rid of that one.
- Q. Is this the analysis that goes towards
- 4 determining whether there's a need or the appropriateness of
- 5 base load versus intermediate versus peaking capability?
- 6 A. Yes. And we consider it along the tool of
- 7 possibly a barometer in that it would give you an indication,
- 8 but not necessarily make the selection. So it's a first step
- 9 in understanding what is best to fulfill the need, but the
- 10 second step would be using a production analysis program, a
- 11 costing program, that would tell you exactly what the best fit
- 12 is.
- 13 Q. Okay. If I might digress a little bit at this
- 14 point, I've used the term "base load" and "intermediate" and
- 15 "peaking" --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- do you recall that?
- 18 I wonder if you could take the time to explain
- 19 the differences -- just generally the differences between
- 20 those sort of loads for purposes of production modelling or
- 21 screening?
- 22 A. Yes, I can. And I've prepared a three-page
- 23 mini report at the request of Commission Staff. We discussed
- 24 this and said that it might be best if we try to just at least
- 25 cover this ground in a generic sort of way. It's -- it's kind

- 1 of like pulling you into our world of doing this. It gets
- 2 much more complicated than possibly these three pages will
- 3 show. But it does give us a feel for the flavor of why power
- 4 plants are used in a base load, intermediate and peaking
- 5 facilities though.
- 6 Q. Very good.
- 7 MR. BOUDREAU: I'd like to get another document
- 8 marked, please.
- 9 JUDGE MILLS: I believe we're on Exhibit 104.
- 10 (Exhibit No. 104 was marked for
- 11 identification.)
- MR. BOUDREAU: Is this 104?
- JUDGE MILLS: 104.
- MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.
- MR. BOUDREAU: May I approach the witness,
- 16 please?
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes, you may.
- 18 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- 19 Q. Mr. Boehm, I've handed you a document which has
- 20 been marked for identification as Exhibit 104. Do you
- 21 recognize that document?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is that document?
- 24 A. This is a document I've entitled Choosing the
- 25 Right Type of Power Plant.

```
1 Q. Was this prepared by you or under your direct
```

- 2 supervision?
- 3 A. I prepared this document.
- 4 Q. Okay. And, again, the purpose for preparing
- 5 it?
- 6 A. Was in helping to explain the different types
- 7 of power plants in this proceeding.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is this, in your view, a good synopsis
- 9 of analysis of different characteristics of power plants for
- 10 just general understanding?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Would you just kind of explain -- walk the
- 13 Commission through what the various components of this
- 14 document are?
- 15 A. Yes, I will. And I want to point out in this
- 16 document I do use some of the load characteristics of Aquila,
- 17 but point out that this is somewhat anecdotal. It doesn't
- 18 necessarily reflect the decisions we're making with -- with
- 19 the recent Integrated Resource Plan.
- 20 But I would like to just start you out and look
- 21 at -- I won't really cover the -- the text that's in here.
- 22 I'll leave that for people to look through if they want to
- 23 just refresh themselves and let's just concentrate on the
- 24 figures that are in the -- the report.
- 25 If you would look at figure No. 1. And figure

- 1 No. 1 is basically the -- a graph of the hourly loads for
- 2 Aquila on a typical sample 2005 day. And if you see during
- 3 out -- throughout the day, the load varies. And while the
- 4 load varies, our power plants have to keep up with that. In
- 5 other words, if there's -- in the morning -- at three o'clock
- 6 in the morning, there's approximately just a little over 900
- 7 megawatts of load on the system, but throughout the morning as
- 8 people wake up, start making breakfast, businesses open, we
- 9 see the load rise sharply.
- 10 In fact, for this winter day it looks like you
- 11 actually see as the day goes on, in the morning it starts to
- 12 slack off as it warms up and there's less load on the system
- 13 for heating. Gets into about four o'clock, business closes
- 14 for the day and you see the effect of our system, which has a
- 15 high degree of residential users.
- 16 We start seeing actually a load build up for
- 17 dinner time as people start cooking, going home, using their
- 18 homes for doing work at home, entertainment, things like that.
- 19 And then after the hour of approximately eight or nine
- 20 o'clock, it starts to slide off as people turn off their
- 21 lights, quit cooking, go to bed, things like that.
- 22 If you notice just looking at this, it's
- 23 interesting to note that you can look at this as how our power
- 24 plants have to react to this kind of changes in the load.
- 25 Throughout the day, we have to have 900 megawatts available

- 1 all the time. It's always on. There's always at least 900
- 2 megawatts that has to be supplied to the system.
- 3 But also at -- at one or two points of the day
- 4 it's almost a double peak here, but you can see at one point
- 5 of the day we have to have at least 1,200 megawatts of
- 6 generation being supplied to the customer. And this is the
- 7 capacity of the plant.
- 8 So if our power plants -- in the morning we've
- 9 got 1,000 megawatts of power plants on in the morning. At
- 10 three o'clock, those 1,000 megawatts have their generators
- 11 back down a little bit. As that load grows, they start to
- 12 reach the top of their peak and we may have to add another
- 13 generator on to follow it.
- 14 And so what we're seeing here in typical
- 15 fashion is there's -- based on the load, there are times when
- 16 we have to have a certain amount of generation on all the
- 17 time. At other times, you can say approximately another
- 18 150 megawatts -- let's say around 1, 050, that has to be on
- 19 pretty much a good part of the time. And then just for a few
- 20 hours of the day, there has to be maybe another 3- or 400
- 21 megawatts on for just a small part of the time.
- 22 Let's take this one day then and go to the next
- 23 figure 2. Now, figure 2 is -- as busy as it looks, it's
- 24 basically just 365 of those little one-day squiggles put
- 25 together again, put end to end. And you actually follow for

- 1 one year the total load patterns that the generation has to
- 2 follow.
- 3 On that little figure you will see that at
- 4 about 450 megawatts is our minimum load. So at no time during
- 5 the year can we have available anything less than 450
- 6 megawatts. We have to have that on all the time.
- 7 But you also look at maybe the 800 megawatt
- 8 mark. There's a period in there where another 350 megawatts
- 9 is on some of the time. Well, then you look at the very top
- 10 and -- and notice that we have to have 1,800 megawatts in the
- 11 middle of the summer to meet the air conditioning load. So
- 12 there's almost another 1,000 megawatts there that has to be on
- 13 just a very short part of the time.
- 14 We call those -- those positions in the load --
- 15 in the load graph that the power plants have to fulfill, we
- 16 call those base load for the power plants that have to be on
- 17 all the time. Power plants that are on just part -- some of
- 18 the time are called intermediate power plants. Ones that are
- 19 on just a very short part of the time are called peaking
- 20 units.
- 21 If you go to figure 3, it's basically
- 22 everything in a nutshell. And it kind of describes why we
- 23 take these power plants and put them in different categories.
- 24 And it has to do with how these power plants operate, how much
- 25 they cost and how long it takes for them to -- to get online.

```
1 If you look at base load power plants in the
```

- 2 first top -- in the first part, that's usually your typical
- 3 coal power plant, nuclear power plant, something that you turn
- 4 on and you very rarely want to turn it off. It has a very
- 5 high construction cost and that is usually because you have
- 6 steam involved.
- 7 When you have the steam involved, you have to
- 8 put a lot more metal into the power plant to make sure the
- 9 steam system stays enact. It's a very harsh system, it
- 10 operates at very high temperatures, but once this power plant
- 11 gets up and running, it runs on a cheaper fuel. And so if
- 12 you're going to have a power plant that runs all the time, you
- don't mind the fact that you had to pay a lot of money to put
- 14 it -- to put it into the ground because what's -- you're going
- 15 to gain that back from getting lower fuel prices and lower
- 16 operating costs as that power plant goes on.
- 17 So what you see is it's got a high construction
- 18 cost, it has a low energy cost. It costs you a lot to start
- 19 it up. So once you start it up, you want to keep it on. It
- 20 takes about 14 -- 15 to 24 hours to bring a large coal power
- 21 plant up to speed and ready to go. So it's not something you
- 22 want to just cycle on and off.
- So your duration in the situation is always on.
- 24 About the only time you really want to take that off is if you
- 25 have some severe changes in load, like supposedly you may have

- 1 a peak -- or a base load power plant that comes off only in
- 2 some of the lower months of maybe October or April. You also
- 3 take it off for maintenance. So there is some need that you
- 4 do take it off.
- 5 An intermediate power plant is -- a classic
- 6 example of that is a combined cycle power plant. It uses
- 7 combustion turbines, but those combustion turbines also
- 8 produce waste heat. That waste heat is then pushed forward
- 9 into a -- into generating steam. Once again, now you've got a
- 10 situation where one -- when you're making steam in a power
- 11 plant, the costs go up significantly on that.
- 12 So with an intermediate power plant, you're
- 13 trying to get a quick start situation like CTs, combine them
- 14 with some of the lower cost values of pulling steam out of the
- 15 system -- or pulling heat out of the waste of the system and
- 16 giving that some value.
- The good news is, is you don't have to pay as
- 18 much to build that power plant. It's got a moderate cost,
- 19 about one-fourth to one-half the cost of the base plant.
- 20 Its energy cost is moderate. Usually it uses a higher cost
- 21 fuel like natural gas, but the efficiency of the power
- 22 plant -- because you paid extra to put the steam recovery
- 23 system in there, the efficiency of the power plant is an
- 24 improvement.
- 25 You have some disadvantages though. When you

- 1 start that power plant, there is a certain amount of time you
- 2 have to pay. It takes you about maybe 4 to 8 hours, in some
- 3 cases depending on how the power plant is built, up to 15 or
- 4 16 to get that power plant up and running. So once you turn
- 5 that on, you do want to leave it on for a certain amount of
- 6 time.
- 7 However, as your load goes off in the daytime,
- 8 you have to make a decision whether or not to take that power
- 9 plant off and possibly lose the momentum you have from
- 10 building up the heat and the steam or whether you want to keep
- 11 that power plant back on when -- during times when it wouldn't
- 12 really be in the money.
- 13 So the duration of that kind of power plant is
- 14 you probably want to leave it on for a few days. And
- 15 typically the best time is on the weekends the load drops off
- 16 a little bit. So quite possibly what you would want to do
- 17 with that is turn it on in the afternoon -- on a Monday
- 18 afternoon as the load's building up for the day, leave it on
- 19 until maybe Friday afternoon and then turn it off.
- 20 So that's a typical way you would operate a
- 21 combined cycle or an intermediate power plant. The duration
- 22 then is days and not -- not always on. The cycle then is
- 23 either weekly or possibly you would have that plant and only
- 24 use it in the summertime when summer loads are up higher than
- 25 normal.

```
1 So that comes to the last type of power plant
```

- 2 and that's a peaking power plant. Peaking power plant, an
- 3 example would be just a simple combustion turbine. You're not
- 4 trying to do anything with the heat that comes out of that
- 5 turbine, you're just using it to spin a rotor, which then
- 6 basically produces -- sends the power to a turbine and makes
- 7 the electricity.
- 8 So the construction costs, because there's no
- 9 steam train involved, is much lower. In fact, it could be
- 10 one-sixth to one-third of the cost of a base load unit.
- 11 Unfortunately though, the energy cost is quite high. What
- 12 happens is when you give away what you gain on the fact that
- 13 you didn't have to spend a lot of money to put it into the
- 14 ground, you don't really have that many efficiencies already
- 15 in the plant. So when you run that plant, it costs you a lot
- 16 of money.
- 17 But the good news with that is you can choose
- 18 those times that you want to put that online. You're not
- 19 stuck trying to carry it over because you're holding steam in
- 20 the plant and want it to go on. You can turn that thing on --
- 21 on and off daily or sometimes even twice a day. It has a very
- 22 quick run -- up to speed time. Some combustion turbines can
- 23 get online as quickly as 15 minutes.
- So you can really take a good look at the
- 25 market, take a good look at your other options and turn that

- 1 thing on exactly when it makes money for you. So its duration
- 2 is hours. And it's -- and it's cycled on and off daily.
- 3 I'm going to go quickly to the last figure and
- 4 this is -- this is kind of a busy figure here. And I just
- 5 want you to bear with me. Let's just go ahead and look only
- 6 at the point where it's 0 percent. What this is is this is a
- 7 cost curve for each of these power plants, each type of these
- 8 power plants.
- 9 And this specifically is taken from the
- 10 Electric Power Research Institutes 2004 best in class. I
- 11 found what I thought to be maybe the best combustion turbines
- 12 that they knew of, the best combined cycle unit that they knew
- 13 of and best coal unit they knew of and took it and created it.
- 14 Let's look at 0 percent capacity factor.
- 15 What that cost there actually represents is, is what it costs
- 16 you per year to own 1 kilowatt of that plant without even
- 17 running it.
- 18 So what you see there is at the very bottom of
- 19 that, at 0 percent -- if you just bought a power plant and
- 20 didn't put it online and you were trying to recover your cost
- 21 every year, that power plant for the combustion turbine would
- 22 be the cheapest because it was the cheapest to build. So it's
- 23 around maybe \$75 a kW per year to own this power plant. If
- 24 you look at a combined cycle plant, because you had to spend
- 25 more, it was around \$100 per kW. Then if you look straight up

- 1 at the coal plant, it's much more expensive, it's more than
- 2 twice the cost of the combined cycle. So you have -- you have
- 3 these three power plants and you don't run them at all,
- 4 they're at 0 percent.
- 5 Let's say you only will decide you're going to
- 6 call on these plants for 10 percent of the energy they can
- 7 produce every year. If you look, these curves or each of
- 8 these lines starts to move, some at different rates. Because
- 9 this combustion turbine energy costs so much, its rate rises
- 10 rapidly, it costs a lot to operate this because you're not --
- 11 you don't have as efficient use out of the fuel so that cost
- 12 goes up.
- 13 The combined cycle has a stream train in it.
- 14 That combined cycle goes up at a much slower rate, but still
- 15 does climb rather -- at a steep angle. The coal unit, on the
- 16 other hand, doesn't really climb that much at all. You've got
- 17 a lot of cost already associated with that power plant and you
- 18 put it in to get the efficiency out of the fuel. So as you
- 19 use more and more of that plant, that efficiency has a lower
- 20 curve.
- 21 So if you follow this from the zero point, you
- 22 will see that from 0 to 10 percent, that is, if you only
- 23 wanted the power plant to produce 10 percent of its total
- 24 capability every year -- because, let's face it, you have to
- 25 have 1,800 megawatts for the whole year available to you, but

- 1 there's only a few times you're going to use the last maybe
- 2 4- or 500 megawatts of that. So you may only use that for 10
- 3 percent of the year.
- 4 So what that tells you is maybe 4-, 500
- 5 megawatts or more you want to be a combustion turbine because
- 6 if you're only going to use a plant for 10 percent of its
- 7 capability total or less, it is the cheapest way to put power
- 8 onto the system.
- 9 The next thing you see is that 10 percent there
- 10 seems to be a little bit -- there seems to be an intersection.
- 11 And that's the intersection of the combined cycle and the
- 12 combustion turbine. So the combustion turbine -- or combined
- 13 cycle at that point is saying -- is showing us that above
- 14 10 percent the combined cycle is the cheapest way to go. If
- 15 you're going to only have so much of that power on from
- 16 10 percent up to 35 percent, the combined cycle is the way to
- 17 go.
- 18 So you want an intermediate block in your -- in
- 19 your stable of generation resources, you want an intermediate
- 20 block in there to cover times when you have to call on -- on
- 21 capacity, either a little bit more than 10 percent but less
- 22 than 35 percent of the time.
- And as you can see, after you reach 36 percent,
- 24 the clear winner is the coal plant. And that tells you that
- 25 any time you think you're going to have to use the capability

- 1 of a power plant -- the annual capability of a power plant
- 2 more than 35 percent per year, the choice is to go to a coal
- 3 plant or go to a base load plant.
- 4 That in a nutshell is as simple as I can put
- 5 the process of trying to screen different kinds of power
- 6 plants. I hope it was helpful, but the reading material that
- 7 goes along with it may -- may be helpful to review after this,
- 8 if you want.
- 9 Q. Thank you for that.
- 10 And let me ask you this question. Taking into
- 11 consideration what you've just said about the various cost
- 12 advantages and operational advantages of various
- 13 characteristics of plant, these are things that you take into
- 14 consideration, I assume, in doing your production modelling
- 15 and your resource planning decision process; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Does production modelling involve
- 18 simulation of power plant operation? Is that the process that
- 19 you just went through?
- 20 A. Yes. In fact, that's one thing I wanted to
- 21 mention is this technique, while it gives you an indication of
- 22 which way you want -- what type of power plant you want, that
- 23 indication is there's problems with -- with -- with following
- 24 that.
- 25 If you have a situation where the load

- 1 volatility -- where your load goes up and down quite rapidly,
- 2 you're going to need responsive generation. So even if you
- 3 think there's a certain amount of the time of year where you
- 4 need like maybe a 6- or 700 megawatt block of either base load
- 5 or intermediate power, you have to understand that the ability
- of a power system to react to these quickly changing loads is
- 7 also part of that.
- 8 So when you do a production model, that
- 9 production model's job is to go in and simulate how the
- 10 operators would approach a problem, an upcoming problem. The
- 11 problem being their increase or decrease in load, which power
- 12 plants to put on next. So the simulation program will
- 13 follow -- will do dispatcher logic or as best as it can and
- 14 use these power plants.
- 15 Q. Very good. Thank you.
- 16 MR. BOUDREAU: At this point I'd like to move
- for the introduction of I believe it's Exhibit 104.
- 18 JUDGE MILLS: Any objections to the admission
- 19 of Exhibit 104?
- Hearing none, it will be admitted.
- 21 (Exhibit No. 104 was received into evidence.)
- 22 BY MR. BOUDREAU:
- Q. Mr. Boehm, I believe you just mentioned that
- 24 there were some power production modelling tools that you use.
- 25 What models -- or what do you use on behalf of Aquila?

```
1 A. There two models which are -- get very much use
```

- 2 within our group. One of the models is called MIDAS,
- $3 \quad M-I-D-A-S.$
- 4 Q. And do you know what that is an acronym for?
- 5 A. It's an acronym for Multi-Integrated Decision
- 6 Analysis System.
- 7 Q. Sounds good. What does it do?
- 8 A. It does a number of things. In fact, we use it
- 9 in actually two separate areas within our group. When you --
- 10 when you operate a system as dynamic as the one we have and
- 11 it's highly interconnected as we are, there's situations
- 12 that -- that you cannot -- cannot ignore because they're
- 13 just -- you need -- they make sense.
- One of those is that you're going to have to
- 15 interact with the outside world. Our dispatchers daily look
- 16 at how they position our power plants against what the market
- 17 offers them. And so there's an expectations of how that -- of
- 18 these guys are working with the market and their existing
- 19 power plants to meet the loads of the customer.
- So what we have to do is we have to have a good
- 21 fundamental view of what we think the power market is going to
- 22 do every hour for the next 10 to 20 years. We use the MIDAS
- 23 program in a -- in a -- I would say a macro-type of version of
- 24 it. And that macro version is every power plant in this part
- 25 of the country is -- is shown within this -- the database of

- 1 this program.
- 2 Each of those power plants -- because we're
- 3 using so many of them, all the power plants from Mississippi
- 4 on up to Minnesota, we're using so many power plants in there,
- 5 there's some fundamental adjustments we have to make, like we
- 6 make some blanket assumptions about the fuel prices in each of
- 7 these regions, the coal prices.
- 8 The power plants are -- that are in there
- 9 basically are tuned by the fact that public information is
- 10 available by -- for power plants and is reported to the
- 11 government. We use a company called Energy Velocity which
- 12 takes all the information about those power plants, assigns
- 13 their operating characteristics to them. So we have a good
- 14 feel for what the owners of those power plants say they're
- 15 capable of doing and how they operate.
- Power companies also have to report their load
- 17 forecasts. And so their loads are pushed into this. So what
- 18 we have is all the tools we need to actually simulate how this
- 19 part of the country can operate for the next 10 to 20 years.
- Now, you've got to understand it's a very broad
- 21 brush, but it still gives us a feel for what we think the
- 22 power market's going to be based on how that operates. I have
- 23 one man dedicated to do nothing but that. His job is to
- 24 understand the overall view of the power market. He's
- 25 available if we want to get into this. Jim Okenfoose (ph.) is

- 1 available to discuss this if it's important. But basically
- 2 his job is to come and present to us a forward-market curve.
- 3 So he gives us a fundamental piece that says this is what the
- 4 market is going to be for us for the next 10 to 20 years.
- 5 We also take our existing -- our internal power
- 6 plants and we take what the market presents to us, what we
- 7 have internally in our system and we run that for 20 years.
- 8 That's in a different piece of MIDAS, but that's what we use.
- 9 So MIDAS is a production simulation. It will simulate every
- 10 hour of operation for anywhere up to 20 years in our models.
- 11 And we -- it takes a while for the program to run, of course,
- 12 but with today's computers, brute force methods work fairly
- 13 well.
- 14 Another thing I wanted to tell you quickly
- 15 about MIDAS --
- 16 Q. Please do.
- 17 A. Okay. Yeah. -- was that MIDAS is a decision
- 18 analysis tree model. In short, what that says is I can take
- 19 MIDAS and say, I've got 500 megawatts of need to fulfill. I
- 20 want you to take and run one -- one power plant and stick it
- 21 in there and run it using that. So I may stick a coal plant
- 22 in for that 500 megawatts and let MIDAS run it out for
- 23 20 years. I'll also stick a combined cycle plant in that hole
- 24 or a combustion turbine. It will run all of those out and at
- 25 the very end of the study will tell me which one seems to do

- 1 the best for our company.
- 2 Q. All right. Very good.
- 3 A. Now, the second --
- 4 Q. Yeah, you mentioned there was a second
- 5 modelling program.
- 6 A. I'm going to very quickly go through that
- 7 because I know I might be kind of stretching this. The second
- 8 model is called Real Time. And it is a production costing
- 9 model. The strength of Real Time is that it's not really made
- 10 to go out 20 years. It's a -- it's more of an intricate
- 11 model.
- 12 What Real Time has is probably features in it
- 13 that more closely resemble how the operator operates. Because
- 14 MIDAS has to very quickly take and go out 20 years or do a
- 15 large number of scenarios, it has to make some shortcuts in
- 16 doing that. But when I finally get down to seeing where MIDAS
- 17 wants to take me, I take it and put it in a more strengthened
- 18 production costing program like Real Time, which models the
- 19 operations a little bit more closely to the operations of
- 20 the -- of the operators.
- 21 And that gives me a better feel for if I have
- 22 two scenarios which look very close, I put them in the Real
- 23 Time to make sure that they -- the robustness of those
- 24 scenarios is fulfilled. So those are the two programs we use.
- 25 Q. Okay. I take it from that, you use the two

- 1 models because they both have different focuses and different
- 2 strengths and weaknesses?
- 3 A. They have different strengths and weaknesses,
- 4 yes.
- 5 Q. Did you use these two modelling techniques to
- 6 determine the -- well, did you use these two modelling
- 7 techniques in the process of determining whether or not to
- 8 build the South Harper peaking facility?
- 9 A. Yes, we did.
- 10 Q. Okay. What did that -- what did that result --
- or the result of that process show or demonstrate?
- 12 A. The results of that process showed that the
- 13 least cost plan was for us to build a power plant with five
- 14 combustion turbines at 105 megawatts apiece. That, however,
- 15 wasn't the plan that we chose -- that we declared to be the
- 16 preferred plan.
- 17 Q. Okay. And the preferred plan was what?
- 18 A. The preferred plan was building three
- 19 combustion turbines at 105 megawatts apiece and purchasing
- 20 purchase power contracts as a supplement to that.
- 21 Q. Okay. Why did the company -- or why did you, I
- 22 guess, decide that your -- to choose the preferred plan over
- 23 the least cost plan?
- A. Well, we -- we considered a too many eggs in
- 25 one basket type scenario, that because the CTs looked good,

- 1 piling them on, you know, making them look better, there could
- 2 have been some pitfalls in there that we didn't see.
- 3 We also tested that -- once we do these tests,
- 4 we also examine them and how they operate over a sensitivity
- 5 range. And that sensitivity range could be -- the biggest I
- 6 guess teller was if gas prices were high, was this -- was this
- 7 still a good choice? If gas prices were low, is this still a
- 8 good choice?
- 9 And what we saw is we had some -- some
- 10 scenarios which were very close together and we saw that as
- 11 gas prices rose, it would have been better that we go out and
- 12 find possibly contracts which represented either base load or
- intermediate power along with these CTs.
- 14 Q. I believe when you and I have discussed this
- 15 topic before, you've used the term "portfolio approach" --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- do you recall that phraseology?
- 18 Could you explain to the Commission what you
- 19 mean -- or the meaning of that term?
- 20 A. When we sent out a request for proposals, I
- 21 mentioned before that one of the things we said we made clear
- 22 in our proposal in order to get as much response as we could,,
- 23 we made it clear that even though we needed 500 megawatts, we
- 24 weren't requiring the people proposing to us that they fulfill
- 25 all 500 megawatts. So we got a number of bids for anywhere

- 1 from 40 megawatts up to 700, but there were a number of them.
- 2 There were a number of these bids that were in the smaller 100
- 3 or less range.
- 4 We took those and added those as a portfolio,
- 5 keeping in mind that if we are -- if we are looking for an
- 6 intermediate type -- intermediate-type product, an
- 7 intermediate-type product because of load volatility could be
- 8 fulfilled with a piece of a base load product and a piece of a
- 9 peaking load product. So we kind of mixed some of these in,
- 10 tried different versions of that. I'd have to say that in the
- 11 process of doing this, we looked at well over 60 or 70
- 12 scenarios.
- 13 Q. So the results -- or the outcome was that the
- 14 decision was that the preferred approach was a peaking
- 15 facility; is that correct? You said the preferred approach --
- A. Well, the preferred plan was a portfolio --
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. -- which contained --
- 19 Q. Which contained --
- 20 A. -- a peaking facility and --
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 A. -- a purchase power contract.
- Q. Thank you for that correction.
- 24 Now, did your modelling or analysis tell you
- 25 where to build the peaking facility? In other words, did it

- 1 direct that it be built at the South Harper location?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know how that determination was
- 4 made?
- 5 A. I assume Mr. Hedrick had -- did that.
- 6 Q. Okay. But there were some other individuals
- 7 that are available to address this?
- 8 A. Yes. We addressed only the need for the plant
- 9 and did not have anything to do with siting.
- 10 Q. Okay. That's what I wanted to accomplish.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 MR. BOUDREAU: I think at this stage we're
- 13 going to get more specific, so I think at this time we'll --
- 14 in order to address the particular decisions that were made
- 15 and the particular parties that participated, we'll need to go
- 16 into in-camera.
- JUDGE MILLS: Okay. At this point we're going
- 18 to go in-camera. And what that means is that anyone who is
- 19 not counsel of record for one of the parties in the case or
- 20 has signed a nondisclosure agreement pursuant to the
- 21 protective order in this case is going to have to clear the
- 22 room.
- 23 And we've tried to structure this portion so
- 24 that it's going to go as quickly as possible and we will --
- 25 once we're done with the highly confidential portion, we will

```
1 sort of prowl the lobby of the building to try and gather up
```

- 2 the people who have been emptied from the room.
- 3 And, Mr. Boudreau, since it's your client's
- 4 confidential information, I'm going to rely on you to satisfy
- 5 yourself that there's no one here who shouldn't be here.
- 6 MR. BOUDREAU: Understood. Thank you.
- 7 MR. COMLEY: Judge, could we have clarification
- 8 of when that motion was granted?
- JUDGE MILLS: What motion? I'm sorry.
- 10 MR. COMLEY: Motion for protective order.
- 11 JUDGE MILLS: Let me check.
- MR. BOUDREAU: The protective order?
- MR. COMLEY: Yes.
- JUDGE MILLS: Yes.
- 15 MR. BOUDREAU: Protective order was issued by
- 16 my listing here on February 2nd, 2005.
- 17 MR. COMLEY: The motion was filed on the 2nd.
- 18 MR. BOUDREAU: Oh, no, the -- I have order
- 19 establishing protective order.
- MR. COMLEY: Okay.
- 21 JUDGE MILLS: Give me just one second. I'm
- 22 looking at the docket of the case. I can confirm that.
- MS. SHEMWELL: I have order establishing
- 24 protective order.
- 25 MR. BOUDREAU: I have it dated February 2nd,

- 1 2005.
- 2 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes.
- 3 MR. BOUDREAU: Would you like to see my copy?
- 4 JUDGE MILLS: No.
- 5 Yeah, motion was filed February 1st for a
- 6 protective order and order establishing protective order was
- 7 issued the following day, February 2nd.
- 8 MR. COMLEY: Thank you.
- 9 MR. EFTINK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is
- 10 Gerry Eftink for stopaquila. One of my witnesses, who also is
- 11 a member of stopaquila, is an engineer. And I was wondering
- 12 if he could sign a nondisclosure agreement and listen to this
- 13 testimony?
- MR. BOUDREAU: If I might address this. The
- 15 information is considered highly confidential and it's
- 16 restricted to counsel of record and outside consultants that
- 17 are hired by the parties.
- 18 JUDGE MILLS: If you look at the terms of the
- 19 protective order, I believe that's correct. So as a sort of
- 20 in-house expert witness, I don't think that stopaquila's
- 21 engineer would be allowed to listen to highly confidential
- 22 information.
- MR. EFTINK: I've been asking him questions as
- 24 we've gone along. We haven't gone into confidential
- 25 information yet. What are the restrictions on my asking him

1 questions so I can ask him more intelligent questions of the

- 2 witness?
- JUDGE MILLS: We don't really have a lot of
- 4 time for me to explain what the protective order means, but
- 5 it's one that the Commission has used for many years and most
- 6 of the wrinkles I think have been worked out. And it should
- 7 be relatively self-explanatory and I'll just refer you to the
- 8 order that was issued February 2nd that tells you who has
- 9 access to what kind of information and under what
- 10 circumstances.
- 11 MR. EFTINK: Okay. Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: You're welcome.
- 13 Okay. Mr. Boudreau, are you satisfied the room
- 14 is clear of anyone that shouldn't be hearing this information?
- MR. BOUDREAU: I am. Thank you.
- JUDGE MILLS: I see some suspicious people
- 17 looking people in the back, but I know who they all are.
- 18 MR. BOUDREAU: I didn't say they weren't
- 19 suspicious looking, just that they're permitted to be here.
- 20 And I've asked my client to keep an eye out as people come and
- 21 go and police that. Thank you.
- 22 (At this time, an in-camera session was held,
- 23 which is contained in Volume No. 5, pages 306 through 374 of
- 24 the transcript.)

1	I N D E X	
2	Opening Statement by Mr. Boudreau	167
3	Opening Statement by Ms. Shemwell	175
4	Opening Statement by Ms. O'Neill	176
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Comley	178
6	Opening Statement by Mr. Eftink	180
7		
8	AQUILA'S EVIDENCE	
9	JON R. EMPSON	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Boudreau	185
11	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Comley	191
12	Direct Examination (Cont'd) by Mr. Boudreau	194
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	208
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	210
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eftink	212
16	Questions by Commissioner Murray	227
17	Questions by Commissioner Appling	229
18	Questions by Commissioner Gaw	232
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	251
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eftink	259
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boudreau	261
22		
23		
24		

1	AQUILA'S EVIDENCE (CONT'D)	
2	JERRY BOEHM	
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Boudreau	267
4	In-camera Session	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Boudreau	306
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eftink	326
7	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Boudreau	333
8	Questions by Commissioner Gaw	339
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Comley	368
10	Further Questions by Commissioner Gaw	371
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eftink	373
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	EXHIBITS INDEX		
2		Marked	Rec'd
3	Exhibit No. 101		
4	Response of Aquila, Inc to Matters Brought		
5	Forth in the Local Hearing in		
6	Harrisonville, Missouri	189	194
7	Exhibit No. 102		
8	Verification	190	194
9	Exhibit No. 103		
10	Pictorial representation of Kansas		
11	electric properties	265	266
12	Exhibit No. 104		
13	Choosing the Right Type of Power Plant	281	294
14	Exhibit No. 105-HC		
15	Response to Data Request No. MPSC-6,		
16	Highly Confidential	316	321
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			