

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Interconnection Agreement )	
between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a )	
SBC Missouri and Navigator Telecommunications, )	
L.L.C.; Arbitrated as a Successor Interconnection )	Case No. TK-2006-0042
Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement )	
("M2A"). )	

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**COMES NOW** the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and for its recommendation respectfully states:

1. In the Commission's Order of July 14, 2005 in Case No. TO-2005-0336, the Commission directed the parties to the case (a compulsory arbitration of successor interconnection agreements to the Missouri 271 Agreement) to file their compliant interconnection agreements with the Commission by August 3, 2005. On that date, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri and Navigator Telecommunications, L.L.C. submitted an agreement that included a few disputed issues.

2. Under the provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission may only reject "an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration under subsection (b) if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section." 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2). The agreement was adopted by arbitration under 47 U.S.C 252(b) and thus this standard applies to the Commission's review.

3. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Agreement.

4. Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it conforms to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336. The Staff further believes that the proposed Agreement and either proposed resolution to the disputed issues conforms to the standards set forth in 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2).

**WHEREFORE**, because the terms of this agreement conform to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336, and further conforms to 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2), the Staff recommends the Commission grant approval of the Agreement. The Staff further recommends that the Commission direct the Parties to the agreement to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE  
General Counsel

**/s/ Marc Poston**

---

Marc Poston  
Senior Counsel  
Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)  
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)  
[marc.poston@psc.mo.gov](mailto:marc.poston@psc.mo.gov)

#### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 8<sup>th</sup> day of August 2005.

**/s/ Marc Poston**

---

## MEMORANDUM

**To:** Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File  
Case No. TK-2006-0042  
Party: SBC Missouri, Inc.  
Type of Certification:  
☐ None  
☒ Basic Local  
☐ Local (restricted to private line)  
☐ Local (no restrictions)  
☐ Interexchange

Party: Navigator Telecommunications, LLC  
☐ None  
☒ Basic Local  
☐ Local (restricted to private line)  
☐ Local (no restrictions)  
☐ Interexchange

**From:** Adam McKinnie, Telecommunications Department

<u>Natelle Dietrich 8/8/05</u>	<u>Marc Poston 8/8/05</u>
Utility Operations Division/Date	General Counsel Office/Date

**Subject:** Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

**Date:** August 8, 2005

**Date Filed:** August 3, 2005                      **Commission Deadline:** August 10, 2005

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☒ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the agreed upon language in the proposed Agreement and believes it conforms to the Arbitrator's Report and Commission's Arbitration Order in TO-2005-0336 and the Act. Under the provisions of the 1996 Act, the Commission may only reject "an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration under subsection (b) if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section." 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(2). Portions of the agreement remain in dispute. Staff recommends approval of the agreement subject to the Commission's resolution of the outstanding disputed language. Staff has reviewed the disputed

language and believes that either company's proposed resolution of the dispute will conform to the provisions of the Act. Staff further recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

- ☐ Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.
- ☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

☒ The Companies are not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC assessment.

☐ The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.

(☐ No annual report ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:     )

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations: ☐ Yes     ☒ No